



The London Gazette

Published by Authority

Registered as a Newspaper at the Post Office

MONDAY, 6TH JULY 1992

State Intelligence

CROWN OFFICE

Lord Chancellor's Department,
House of Lords, London SW1A 0PW
1st July 1992

The QUEEN has been pleased by Letters Patent under the Great Seal of the Realm dated the forenoon of the 1st July 1992 to confer the dignity of a Barony of the United Kingdom for life upon the Right Honourable Nigel Lawson by the name, style and title of BARON LAWSON OF BLABY, of Newnham in the County of Northamptonshire.

(6SI)

J. L. Waine

Lord Chancellor's Department,
House of Lords, London SW1A 0PW
1st July 1992

The QUEEN has been pleased by Letters Patent under the Great Seal of the Realm dated the afternoon of the 1st July 1992 to confer the dignity of a Barony of the United Kingdom for life upon the Right Honourable Merlyn Merlyn-Rees by the name, style and title of BARON MERLYN-REES, of Morley and South Leeds in the County of West Yorkshire and of Cilfynydd in the County of Mid Glamorgan.

(7SI)

J. L. Waine

TREASURY SOLICITOR

In the High Court of Justice
Queen's Bench Division
Divisional Court

Before the Right Honourable Lord Justice Beldam and the Honourable Mr. Justice Laws. In the Matter of section 42 of the Supreme Court Act 1981 (as amended by section 24 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985) between Her Majesty's Attorney General (Applicant) and Ivan Aubrey Landau (Respondent).

Upon reading the Originating Motion herein dated the 5th day of June 1991 issued by the Applicant Her Majesty's Attorney General seeking an all proceedings order against the above-named respondent pursuant to section 42 of the Supreme Court Act 1981 (as amended by section 24 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985) that:

(i) no proceedings shall without the leave of the High Court be instituted by the above-named Respondent in any Court and

- (ii) that any proceedings instituted by the said Respondent in any Court before the making of the Order shall not be continued by the said Respondent without the leave of the High Court and
- (iii) that no application (other than an application for leave under section 42 of the said Act) shall without leave of the High Court be made by the said Respondent in any proceedings instituted in any Court whether by the Respondent or another
- (iv) no information shall be laid before a Justice of the Peace by the Respondent without the leave of the High Court
- (v) no application for leave to prefer a bill of indictment shall be made by the Respondent without the leave of the High Court on the ground that the said Respondent has habitually and persistently and without any reasonable ground instituted vexatious proceedings in the High Court and/or made vexatious applications in civil proceedings in the High Court and County Court and instituted or attempted to institute vexatious prosecutions against different persons.

And upon reading three affidavits of Helen Kathleen Montague sworn the 4th day of June 1991, 19th day of September 1991 and 4th day of December 1991 together with the exhibits thereto on behalf of the Applicant Her Majesty's Attorney General in support of these proceedings.

And upon reading the affidavit of the Respondent sworn on the 26th of July 1991 filed on behalf of the Respondent.

And upon hearing Mr. P. Havers of Counsel on behalf of the applicant.

And Mr. Landau the Respondent who appeared from time to time each day during the course of the hearing and judgment, did not remain in Court to resist the proceedings nor address any submissions.

And the Court making no Order as to costs herein.

It is ordered that the applicant's application herein be granted and that the said Respondent be and is hereby prohibited from

1. instituting any proceedings in any Court and
2. continuing any proceedings instituted by him in any Court before the making of this Order and
3. making any application other than an application for leave as required by section 42 of the said Act in any proceedings instituted in any Court by any person unless he obtains the leave of the High Court having satisfied the High Court that the proceedings or application are not an abuse of the process of the Court in question and that there are reasonable grounds for the proceedings or application
4. laying any information before a Justice of the Peace by the Respondent without the leave of the High Court
5. making any application for leave to prefer a bill of indictment by the Respondent without the leave of the High Court.