

CUSTOMS AND EXCISE

NOTICE OF SEIZURE UNDER THE
CUSTOMS AND EXCISE ACT 1952

To: Vijaykumar Kashibhai Patel, of Malar Bragol, at and Post Nar Tal Petlad, Dist Kaira, Gujarat State, India, and of 3536 North Kimbal, Chicago, U.S.A.

10th July 1979

Pursuant to section 139 (6) of the Customs and Excise Management Act 1979, and paragraph 1 of the 3rd Schedule thereto, the Commissioners of Customs and Excise hereby give you notice that by virtue of the powers contained in the customs and excise Acts and enactments amending those Acts, certain goods, namely seven bottles containing a mixture of gold dust and cyanide taken from you at Heathrow Airport, London, on 11th December 1978 have been seized as liable to forfeiture upon the grounds that:

The said goods were imported into the United Kingdom packed in a manner appearing to be intended to deceive a Customs Officer.

Whereby and by force of section 44 (f) of the Customs and Excise Act 1952 the said goods are liable to forfeiture.

If you claim that the said goods are not liable to forfeiture you must within one month from the date of this notice of seizure give notice of your claim in accordance with the said Schedule to the said Act. In default of such notice the said goods will be deemed to have been duly condemned as forfeited and will be liable to be disposed of in such manner as the Commissioners of Customs and Excise may direct. If you make such claim within the time aforesaid, legal proceedings will be taken for the condemnation thereof.

If you are resident outside the United Kingdom when making any such claim you must specify in any notice of claim that you may give the name and address of a solicitor in the United Kingdom who is authorised to accept service of process and to act on your behalf.

C. Cottam, Officer of Customs and Excise, Collection Investigation Unit, Terminal 2, Office Annexe, Heathrow Airport—London, Hounslow, Middlesex.

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE

Companies Registration Office,
Companies House, Crown Way,
Mandy, Cardiff CF4 3UZ

10th July 1979

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division)
No. of 197

In the matter of CRAIGWORTH LIMITED and in the matter of the Companies Act 1948

Notice is hereby given that by an order made on Wednesday, the 25th day of April 1979 upon the application by originating summons dated the 16th March 1979 of the above-named Craigworth Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Stephen Phillip Kay of 16 Waynelet Tower Avenue Esher in the county of Surrey a member of the company

And upon hearing the Solicitors for the applicants and no-one appearing for or on behalf of the Registrar of Companies (the respondent) and upon reading the said originating summons the affidavit of Stephen Phillip Kay filed the 2nd April 1979 and the exhibits therein referred to and the Court being satisfied that the respondent has been served with the said originating summons

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said originating summons as appears from the letter of the Treasury Solicitor dated the 24th April 1979

And the applicants by their solicitors undertaking within 2 months of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to procure or use their best endeavours to procure the delivery to the Registrar of Companies and filing at his office of all notices returns accounts and other documents in respect of which the company or its directors may at the date of such restoration be in default and to comply with any direction of

A 3

the Registrar of Companies given within 6 months of such restoration that the company should change its name

It is ordered that the name of the above-named Craigworth Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an Office Copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the Companies Act 1948 the said Craigworth Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Craigworth Limited and Stephen Phillip Kay do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said application such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed

Liberty to restore on 7 days notice to vary or discharge the above undertakings

D. B. Nottage, Registrar of Companies

In the High Court of Justice (Chancery Division)
No. 001078 of 1979

In the matter of DRYBRIDGE INDUSTRIAL SERVICES LIMITED and in the matter of the Companies Act 1948.

Notice is hereby given that by an order made on Wednesday the 16th day of May 1979 upon the application by originating summons dated the 10th April 1979 of the above named Drybridge Industrial Services Limited (hereinafter called the company) and of Brian John Charles Were of 4 Drybridge Street, Monmouth in the county of Gwent, a member of the company

And upon hearing the solicitors for the applicants and the solicitor for the Registrar of Companies (the respondent)

And upon reading the said originating summons the affidavit of Brian John Charles Were and the affidavit of Adrian Geoffrey John Attwell both filed the 11th May 1979 and the exhibits in the said affidavits respectively referred to.

And there being no opposition on behalf of Her Majesty to the relief sought by the said originating summons as appears from the said affidavit of Adrian Geoffrey John Attwell and the exhibit thereto and the applicants by their solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to forward to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 124 and 126 of the Companies Act 1948 a copy of the annual return of the company for the year 1978 and to send to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 200 of the Companies Act 1948 as originally enacted a return in the prescribed form containing the particulars in the register of directors and secretaries of the company specified in section 200 of the Companies Act 1948 and a notification in the prescribed form of any change among the directors of the company or in its secretary and in the particulars contained in its register of directors and secretaries and of the date on which each such change occurred and to give to the Registrar of Companies as required by section 107 of the Companies Act 1948 notice of the situation of the registered office of the company at the date of its incorporation and notice in the prescribed form of any change in such situation since that date

And the applicant the said Brian John Charles Were by his solicitors undertaking within one month of the restoration of the name of the company to the register of companies to deliver to the Registrar of Companies as required by sections 1 and 6 of the Companies Act 1976 a copy of every document required by the said section 1 to be comprised in the accounts of the company

It is ordered that the name of the above named Drybridge Industrial Services Limited be restored to the register of companies

And it is ordered that an office copy of this order be delivered to the Registrar of Companies and pursuant to the Companies Act 1948 the said Drybridge Industrial Services Limited is thereupon to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off

And it is ordered that the Registrar of Companies do advertise this order in his official name in the *London Gazette*

And it is ordered that the applicants the said Drybridge Industrial Services Limited and Brian John Charles Were do pay to the Registrar of Companies his costs of the said application such costs to be taxed on the Common Fund basis if not agreed.

D. B. Nottage, Registrar of Companies