

At the Court at *Buckingham Palace*, the 26th day of *February*, 1934.

PRESENT,

The KING's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 37, duly prepared, and laid before His Majesty in Council, a Scheme bearing date the 22nd day of February, 1934, in the words and figures following, that is to say:—

“ We, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 37, have prepared, and now humbly lay before Your Majesty in Council, the following Scheme for assigning the right of Patronage of the District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, situate partly in the County of Warwick and partly in the County of Worcester and wholly in the Diocese of Birmingham:

“ Whereas by an Order of Your Majesty in Council bearing date the 25th day of May, 1933, and published in the London Gazette on the 30th day of same month, the said District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, was, under the provisions of the hereinbefore mentioned Act, constituted partly out of the Parish of Northfield, situate partly in the said County of Warwick and partly in the said County of Worcester and wholly in the said Diocese of Birmingham, and partly out of the New Parish of Saint Mary, Selly Oak, in the said County of Warwick and in the said Diocese of Birmingham:

“ And whereas no assignment of the Patronage of the said District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, was made by the said Order and no such assignment has since been made:

“ And whereas a sum of £2,000 has been contributed and paid to the credit of our account at the Bank of England by Henry Thomas Clutton Salt Ledsam, of Number 14 Waterloo Street, in the City of Birmingham, chartered accountant, and William Francis Joseph Salt Ledsam, of Hazel Oak, Burman Road, Shirley, in the said County of Warwick, manufacturer, as the personal representatives of the late James Goddington Ledsam, towards the cost of erecting a permanent Church for the said District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, and we have in respect of the said sum agreed with the said Henry Thomas Clutton Salt Ledsam and William Francis Joseph Salt Ledsam and have undertaken that the said sum of £2,000 shall be disbursed and expended by us in defraying the cost of such Church accordingly:

“ And whereas the said capital sum of £2,000 has been so contributed as aforesaid upon the understanding that (such arrangement appearing to us to be expedient) the whole right of Patronage of the said District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, and, when such District shall have become a New Parish, then of the said New Parish, and of the nomination of the Minister or Incumbent thereto should be assigned in the manner which is hereinafter recommended and proposed:

“ And whereas the said Henry Thomas Clutton Salt Ledsam and William Francis Joseph Salt Ledsam have nominated to us the Bishop for the time being of the said Diocese of

Birmingham as the person to whom they desire that the Patronage and right of nomination and presentation of and to the said District or New Parish of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, should be assigned, and we are satisfied that the said assignment may properly be effected:

“ Now, therefore, we, the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, humbly recommend and propose that the whole right of Patronage of the said District of Saint Gabriel, Weoley Castle, and, when such District shall have become a New Parish, then of the said New Parish and of the nomination of the Minister or Incumbent thereto shall without any conveyance or assurance in the law other than this Scheme and any duly gazetted Order of Your Majesty in Council ratifying the same and upon and from the day of the date of the publication of such Order in the London Gazette be assigned to and be absolutely vested in and shall and may from time to time be exercised by the Right Reverend Ernest William, Bishop of Birmingham, and by his successors in the same Bishopric for ever.

“ And we further recommend and propose that nothing herein contained shall prevent us from recommending and proposing any other measures relating to the matters aforesaid, or any of them, in conformity with the provisions of the hereinbefore mentioned Act, or of any other Act of Parliament.”

And whereas the said Scheme has been approved by His Majesty in Council:

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His said Council, is pleased hereby to ratify the said Scheme, and to order and direct that the same and every part thereof shall be effectual in law immediately from and after the time when this Order shall have been duly published in the London Gazette pursuant to the said Act.

And his Majesty, by and with the like advice, is pleased hereby to direct that this Order be forthwith registered by the Registrar of the said Diocese of Birmingham.

M. P. A. Hankey.

At the Court at *Buckingham Palace*, the 26th day of *February*, 1934.

PRESENT,

The KING's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Right Reverend William, Lord Bishop of Exeter, has, under Section 28 of the Pluralities Act, 1838, made a Representation to the Right Honourable and Most Reverend Cosmo Gordon, Lord Archbishop of Canterbury, in the following terms:—

“ There is in the County of Devon and Diocese of Exeter the Benefice or Rectory of Huntsham the Parish whereof contains a population of 214 persons or thereabouts with accommodation in the Parish Church for 175 persons.

“ The net annual value of the Benefice of Huntsham is £230 or thereabouts.

“ There is also in the same County and Diocese the United Benefice or Vicarage of Hockworthy with Holcombe Rogus but the