

so prescribed to the Patrons affected together with a Notice in each case requiring any objections to such draft Scheme to be stated or transmitted in writing to the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners within the time prescribed in the same Rules:

And whereas the prescribed time has elapsed and no such objections have been so stated or transmitted to the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners:

And whereas neither of the two Benefices affected by the said Scheme is situate in a City or Municipal Borough:

And whereas the said Scheme has been approved by His Majesty in Council:

Now, therefore, His Majesty, by and with the advice of His said Council, is pleased hereby to affirm the said Scheme and to order that the two Benefices therein recommended to be united shall be united to the extent and for the purposes recommended in the said Scheme, and further to order and direct that the said Scheme and every part thereof shall be effectual in law immediately from and after the time when this Order shall have been duly published in the London Gazette pursuant to the said Act.

And His Majesty, by and with the like advice, is pleased hereby to direct that this Order be forthwith registered by the Registrar of the said Diocese of Bath and Wells.

Almeric FitzRoy.

At the Court at *Buckingham Palace*, the 14th day of *February*, 1921.

PRESENT,

The KING's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of His late Majesty King William the Fourth, Chapter 77, of the Act of the 3rd and 4th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 113, and of the Act of the 37th and 38th years of Her said late Majesty, Chapter 63, duly prepared, and laid before His Majesty in Council, a Scheme, bearing date the 20th day of January, 1921, in the words and figures following, that is to say:—

“We, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, in pursuance of the Act of the 6th and 7th years of His late Majesty King William the Fourth, Chapter 77, of the Act of the 3rd and 4th years of Her late Majesty Queen Victoria, Chapter 113, and of the Act of the 37th and 38th years of Her said late Majesty, Chapter 63, have prepared, and now humbly lay before Your Majesty in Council, the following Scheme for constituting a new Archdeaconry within the Diocese of Peterborough and for endowing such new Archdeaconry:

“Whereas the said Diocese of Peterborough now comprises three Archdeaconries and no more, namely, the Archdeaconry of Leicester, the Archdeaconry of Northampton, and the Archdeaconry of Oakham:

“And whereas the Right Reverend Frank Theodore, Bishop of Peterborough, has represented to us and it appears to us to be expedient that a new Archdeaconry should be constituted within the said Diocese of Peterborough and should comprise the Rural Deaneries of East Akeley, South Akeley, West Akeley, Guthlaxton First Division, Guthlaxton Second Division, Guthlaxton Third Division, Sparkenhoe First Division and Sparkenhoe

Second Division, which are at present within the said Archdeaconry of Leicester, and that such new Archdeaconry should be endowed in the manner which is hereinafter recommended and proposed:

“And whereas it appears to us to be undesirable that procurations, synodals, visitation fees and induction fees should be received by the Archdeacon of the said proposed new Archdeaconry:

“And whereas it has been made to appear to us that by reason of the discontinuance of the collection of the said fees there will be no annual income available for the said proposed Archdeaconry from fees, dues and emoluments, and that the grant hereinafter recommended and proposed will not be such as to raise the annual income of the said proposed Archdeaconry to an amount exceeding £200:

“Now, therefore, We, the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, with the consent of the said Frank Theodore, Bishop of Peterborough (testified by his having signed and sealed this Scheme), humbly recommend and propose that upon and from the day upon which any Order of Your Majesty in Council ratifying this Scheme shall be published in the London Gazette and without any assurance in the law other than such duly gazetted Order one new Archdeaconry shall be created within the said Diocese of Peterborough and that such Archdeaconry shall be named ‘The Archdeaconry of Loughborough,’ and shall comprise and consist of the said Rural Deaneries of East Akeley, South Akeley, West Akeley, Guthlaxton First Division, Guthlaxton Second Division, Guthlaxton Third Division, Sparkenhoe First Division, and Sparkenhoe Second Division.

“And we further recommend and propose that the Rural Deans and other the Clergy and the Inhabitants generally of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Loughborough shall be under and subject to the archidiaconal jurisdiction, authority and control of the Archdeacon of such proposed Archdeaconry for the time being, and that such Archdeacon shall have and exercise all the rights, powers and duties of an Archdeacon within the limits of his Archdeaconry.

“And we further recommend and propose that we be authorized to pay by half-yearly instalments on the 1st day of January and the 1st day of July in every year out of the Common Fund created by the secondly hereinbefore mentioned Act to the Archdeacon of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Loughborough, and to his successors in the same Archdeaconry the yearly sum of £200, the instalment so first becoming due being an apportioned part only of the said sum of £200 in respect of the period between the date of the collation of the first Archdeacon of the said proposed Archdeaconry of Loughborough and the first half-yearly day of payment next ensuing; Provided always, that every payment in respect of the said yearly sum of £200 shall be made by us only after we shall have been satisfied that the Archdeacon who applies for the same has during the previous 12 calendar months complied with the conditions as to residence which for the time being are required by law, and that he has not collected procurations, synodals, visitation fees, or induction fees during the same period:

“And we further recommend and propose that whenever any Archdeacon who may be entitled to receive the said yearly sum of £200