THOMAS GIBBINS.

WHEREAS by an Order of the Chancery Division of WHEREAS by an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, dated the 30th May, 1906, made in an action "Gamble v. Gibson," the following enquiry was directed, namely: "An enquiry who are the persons legally and beneficially entitled, and in what shares and proportions, to the Consols and cash in Court to the credit of this action under the title of Gamble v. Gibson, the account of William Johnson and his issue." Notice is hereby given, to Thomas Gibbins (son of Thomas Gibbins and Frances Gibbins, is wife, née Brown), or his representatives or persons his wife, née Brown), or his representatives or persons claiming under him, and to all other persons claiming claiming under him, and to all other persons claiming to be entitled to the said funds, or any part thereof, by themselves, or their Solicitors, to enter their names in a book kept for the purpose, in Room No. 317, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, and also, on or before the 24th day of October, 1906, to come in and prove their claims, at the chambers of Mr. Justice Buckley and Mr. Justice Warrington, at the said Royal Courts of Justice, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Tuesday, the 30th day of October, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, at the said chambers (Room No. 315), is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims. and adjudicating upon the claims.

NOTE.—The above named Thomas Gibbins (the son) was baptised 28th August, 1817, and was a drover, and was last heard of shortly before the year 1860, when he was in Leicester, England.

Dated the 31st day of July, 1906.

CHAS. HULBERT, Master.

DURSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of The High Court of Justice, dated the 5th February, 1906, made in the matter of the estate of MARY CLARK TOMISMAN, Chapman against Westmoreland, 1906, T., 204, the persons claiming to be the heir-at-law and next-of-kin of Mary Clark Tomisman, late of Billingborough, in the county of Lincoln, Spinster (who died on the 26th October, 1905), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 24th day of October, 1906, to come in and prove their claims at 1905), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 24th day of October, 1906, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Swinfen Eady and Mr. Justice Neville at the Royal Court of Justice, Strand, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Wednesday, the 31st day of October, 1906, at 11.30 o'clock in the forencon, at the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.

and adjudicating upon the claims.

NOTE—The said Mary Clark Tomisman was a daughter of William Tomisman, late of Horbling, in the county of Lincoln, and Elizabeth, his wife, formerly Elizabeth Westmoreland, and a granddaughter of William Tomisman, late of Horbling aforesaid, and Elizabeth, his wife, formerly Elizabeth Clarke.—Dated the 1st day of formerly Elis August, 1906.

J. C. FOX, Master.

HARRADENCE v. JAY.

PURSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Countries Y DURSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, made in an action Harradence v. Jay, 1874, H., 206, the following enquiry was directed, vizt.:—(15.) Who was the heir-at-law of Joseph Henry Jay, the testator, at the time of his death (on 30th April, 1869), land whether such heir is living or dead, and, if dead, who by devise, descent, or otherwise and the state of the testator as descended is entitled to such real estate of the testator as descended to such heir-at-law. Notice is hereby given, that all persons claiming to be the heir-at-law of Joseph Henry Jay, the testator, or entitled by devise, descent, or otherwise to such real estate of the said testator, as descended wise to such real estate of the said testator, as descended to such heir-at-law, are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 1st day of November, 1906, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Buckley and Mr. Justice Warrington, at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Thursday, the 8th day of November, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, at the said chambers (Room No. 315), is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.—Dated this 31st day of July, 1906. this 31st day of July, 1906.

CHAS. HULBERT, Master.

NOTE.—The testator Joseph Henry Jay, was the eldest son of Joseph Jay and Mary Jay (formerly Clarke), his wife. The said Joseph Jay was twice married. It is not known whether he had issue by his first marriage, which it is alleged took place prior to the year 1809. His second wife was one Mary Clarke (formerly Mary Fooks), widow of Thomas Clarke (or Clark), who is alleged to have had a son named Thomas Clarke by her said husband Thomas Clarke. The said Joseph Jay at

one time resided in the parish of St. Leonards, Shore-ditch, and afterwards at Low Leyton, in the county of Essex, and the said Thomas Clarke and Mary his wife resided in the said parish of St. Leonards, Shoreditch.

DURSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice (in England), dated the 1st June, 1906, made in the matter of the estate of ARTHUR EDWARD WILLIAMS, deceased, and in an action, Williams v. Williams, Hepworth and Others, 1906, W., No. 109, the following enquiry was directed, viz.:—An enquiry who were the persons entitled by virtue of or according to the statute of distribution to the estate of the said testator, Arthur Edward Williams, undisposed of by his will living at the time of his death. undisposed of by his will, living at the time of his death, and whether any of them are since dead, and, if so, who are their respective legal representatives. Notice is are their respective legal representatives. Notice is hereby given, that all persons claiming to be entitled as aforesaid, are, by themselves or their Solicitors, on or before the 13th day of December, 1906, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Buckley and Mr. Justice Warrington, Room 292, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, England, or default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Thursday, the 20th day of December, 1906, at 12 o'clock noon, at the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.—Dated this 4th day of August, 1906.

THOS. A. ROMER, Master.

-The said Arthur Edward Williams was a son of General James Edwin Williams, who died at Chelten-ham, in the county of Gloucester, England. The said Arthur Edward Williams is believed to have been married between the years 1865 and 1888 at Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, to Sarah Lavinia Hungerford; he was also married on the 23rd March, 1890, to Elizabeth Regus in London, England; on the 6th April, 1894, to Elizabeth Gertrude Shannon, at Kingston-on-Thames, England; on 31st August, 1900, to Kate Shannon, in London; and on 13th June, 1903, to Maria Agnes Sutcliffe, in London. The said Arthur Edward Williams died on the 23rd January, 1905, at 53, St. Swithin's-road, Bournemouth, England.

COURTENAY, OROOME, SON, and FINCH, 9 Gracechorch-street, London, England, Solicitors for the Plaintiff.

URSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Tastice the High Court of Justice, made in an action re ALFRED YEWDALL, deceased, Mitchell v. Yewdall, 1906, Y., 364, whereby (interalia) an enquiry was directed whether Charles Brear Yewdall, son of Jesse Yewdall, named in the will of the testator Alfred Yewdall, is living or dead, and, if dead, when he died, and if he died for the fel November 1900 me is highered. after the 5th November, 1890, who is his legal personal representative. Notice is hereby given, that the said Charles Brear Yewdall, if living, or if he died after 5th Charles Brear Yewdall, if living, or if he died after 5th November, 1890, then his legal personal representatives or any other person claiming under the said enquiry are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 1st day of November, 1906, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Swinfen Eady and Mr. Justice Neville, at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Thursday, the 8th day of November, 1906, at 11.30 o'clock in the forenoon, at the said chambers (Room No. 704). is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon No. 704), is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.

NOTE.—The said Charles Brear Yewdall married Jane McKinnell at Bradford, in the county of York, on 27th January, 1872. He was last seen at Hull in 1887, since which time he has not been heard of.—Dated the 4th day of August, 1906.

984

J. C. FOX, Master.

In the Matter of Deed of Assignment for the benefit of Creditors, executed on the 30th day of June, 1906, by BERTIE TOM LUCKHAM, WILLIAM HENRY PLEASE, the younger, and CHARLES THOMAS BURGESS, all of Wootton, in the Isle of Wight, in the county of Hants, Builders and Contractors.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the creditors of the above named debtors, who have not already sent in their claims, are required, on or before the 29th day