

which date the said executor will proceed to distribute the assets of the said deceased amongst the persons entitled thereto, having regard only to the debts, claims, and demands of which he shall then have had notice; and that he will not be liable for the assets, or any part thereof, so distributed, to any person or persons of whose debt, claim, or demand he shall not then have had notice.—Dated this 31st day of March, 1905.

J. DEACON NEWTON and CO., 16, Finsbury-circus, London, E.C., and 8, Hamilton-terrace, Hendon, N.W., Solicitors for the said Executor,

123

**T**O be sold, pursuant to an Order of the High Court of Justice, made in an action BEASLEY v. BEASLEY and OTHERS, and HORTON v. BEASLEY and OTHERS, 1881, B. No. 29, with the approbation of Mr. Justice Warrington, by Mr. William Bathgate Cranfield, the person appointed by the said Judge, at the Mart, Tokenhouse-yard, E.C., on Wednesday, the 17th day of May, 1905, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon, in 3 lots:—

Certain freehold ground rents of £37 per annum arising out of and secured upon six terrace houses, Nos. 411, 413, 415, 417, 419, and 421, Hornsey-road, and two semi-detached residences, Nos. 423 and 425, Hornsey-road, in the parish of Islington, with reversion in about 45 years to the rack rentals, estimated at £300 per annum.

Particulars and conditions of sale may be obtained of Messrs. Whitehouse and Co., Solicitors, Queen's House, 8 and 9, Queen-street, E.C.; Mr. J. W. Sykes, Solicitor, 7 and 8, Great Winchester-street, E.C., and of the Auctioneer, 6, Poultry, E.C.—Dated this 31st day of March, 1905.

128

C. BURNEY, Master.

**P**URSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, dated the 24th November, 1902, made in the matter of the estate of Albert Shadwell Shutte, deceased, SHUTTE v. SHUTTE, 1902, S. 2002, all persons claiming to be next-of-kin to Albert Shadwell Shutte, deceased, late of the Vicarage, Henlow, in the county of Bedford, who died on the 4th July, 1901, are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 2nd day of May, 1905, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Farwell and Mr. Justice Swinfen Eady, at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Tuesday, the 9th day of May, 1905, at 11.30 o'clock in the forenoon, at the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.—Dated the 24th day of March, 1905.

J. C. FOX, Master.

**NOTE.**—The said Albert Shadwell Shutte was a son of the Reverend Canon Richard John Shutte and Anna, his wife (formerly Anna Neale, Spinster, of Boddington Manor, Cheltenham, in the county of Gloucester).

049

## CAUDWELL.

**P**URSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, made in the Action of GALMOYE v. CAVELL AND ANOTHER, since deceased, Dickinson and Another v. Galmoye, 1877, G., No. 24, whereby an enquiry was directed as to which of the children of the plaintiff, Joseph Caudwell, deceased, survived him. All persons claiming to be the children or the said Joseph Caudwell, late of Boulogne, France, and previously of the city of Oxford, Gentleman, who were living at the time of the death of the said Joseph Caudwell on the 13th day of October, 1893, or to be the assignees of any of such children, or the legal personal representatives of such of the said children as may now be dead are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 10th day of May, 1905, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Kekewich and Mr. Justice Joyce, Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, England, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded

from the benefit of the said Order or the subject matter of the said Action. The 17th day of May, 1905, at 12 of the clock at noon at the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims. Edmund Joseph Caudwell, one of the children of the said Joseph Caudwell, is believed to have been in Toronto, Canada, in the year 1873.—Dated this 30th day of March, 1905.

E. LIONEL CLARKE, Master.

HURFORD and TAYLOR, 10, Bedford-row, London, England, Solicitors for the Plaintiffs.

082

## Re THOMAS SULLOCK, Deceased.

**P**URSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, dated the 23rd January, 1905, made in re the Trusts of the Will and Codicils of Ann Salter Sullock, deceased, and in re the Trusts of an Indenture of Settlement, dated the 17th February, 1872, Sullock v. Dell, 1904, S. 4892, whereby the following enquiry was directed, namely, an enquiry whether Thomas Sullock, the tenant for life under the said will and codicils and settlement, had any and what children, and when they were born respectively, and whether such children are living or dead, and if any such children died in the lifetime of the said Thomas Sullock leaving issue living at the death of the said Thomas Sullock, then what issue of any such deceased children were living at his death, or if any such children or issue have died since the death of the said Thomas Sullock having attained the age of twenty-one years, who are their personal representatives; notice is hereby given, that all persons claiming to be a child of the said Thomas Sullock, late of Penguin, Tasmania, deceased, or a child of any child who died in the lifetime of the said Thomas Sullock living at his death, or the personal representatives of such last mentioned children or otherwise claiming under the said enquiry, are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 29th July next, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Farwell and Mr. Justice Swinfen Eady, at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, England, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Friday, 4th August, 1905, at 11.30 o'clock in the forenoon at the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.

**NOTE.**—The said Thomas Sullock, who formerly lived in Devonshire, England, is alleged to have gone to River Don, Devon, Tasmania, in 1863, then to Sulphur Creek, then in 1871 came to England, afterwards returning to Penguin, Tasmania, where he died on the 7th May, 1903. Dated this 30th day of March, 1905.

092

J. C. FOX, Master.

**P**URSUANT to an Order of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice, made in the Matter of certain monies formerly belonging to Matilda Mary Ann Indge, Spinster, deceased, and in the Matter of the Trustee Act, 1893, GREGORY v. JENNINGS, 1902, I. No. 972, dated the 1st day of December, 1902, an Inquiry was directed as to who are the persons legally and beneficially entitled, and in what shares and proportions, to certain funds in Court to the credit of "In the Matter of the trusts of certain monies formerly belonging to Matilda Mary Ann Indge, Spinster, deceased, intestate, subject to duty." Now, the persons claiming to be entitled to the said funds as the next of kin, according to the Statutes for the distribution of Intestates' Estates, of the said Matilda Mary Ann Indge, formerly of 23, Clarence-street, Islington, Spinster, who died at Norfolk-road, Islington, on or about the 29th day of November, 1865, living at the time of her death, or to be the legal representatives of such of the said next of kin as are now dead, and all persons otherwise claiming to be interested in the said funds, are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 13th day of May, 1905, to come in and prove their claims at the chambers of Mr. Justice Kekewich and Mr. Justice Joyce, at the Royal Courts of Justice, Strand, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded from the benefit of the said Order. Monday, the 22nd day of May, 1905, at 12.30 o'clock in the afternoon, at Room 689 in the said chambers, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the claims.—Dated the 29th day of March, 1905.

W. O. HEWLETT, Master of the Supreme Court.

F. FITZ PAYNE, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C., Solicitor.

**NOTE.**—It has been alleged that the said Matilda Mary Ann Indge was the daughter of John Indge and Mary Ann Coventry, and died on 29th November, 1865. Her parents were married at St. John's Church, Clerkenwell, on 17th December, 1813. It is not known who were the parents of Mary Ann Coventry, or whether she had any brothers or sisters; she died 7th May, 1844.

089