

ORDERS MADE ON APPLICATIONS FOR DISCHARGE—continued.

Debtor's Name.	Address.	Description.	Court.	No.	Date of Order.	Nature of Order made.	Grounds named in Order for refusing an Absolute Order of Discharge.
Varney, Thomas	24, Liverpool-street, in the city of London, 104, High-street, Kingsland, Islington, Middlesex, and 11, Brighton-road, Stoke Newington, Middlesex	Bootmaker	High Court of Justice in Bankruptcy	928 of 1887	July 17, 1900	Discharge suspended for twenty-one days. Bankrupt Thomas Varney discharged as from 7th August, 1900. Public Examination concluded 26th November, 1887	by him, and as sufficiently disclose his business transactions and financial position within the three years immediately preceding his bankruptcy
Heaketh, William	Park-road, Barnsley, in the county of York	Schoolmaster	Barnsley	11 of 1899	July 13, 1900	Bankrupt's Discharge suspended until a Dividend of not less than 10s. in the pound has been paid to the creditors, with liberty to the bankrupt at any time after the expiration of two years from the date of this Order to apply for a modification thereof pursuant to sec. 8 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1890	Bankrupt's assets are not of a value equal to 10s. in the pound on the amount of his unsecured liabilities
Conway, Joseph	79, York-street, Hulme, Manchester, in the county of Lancaster, lately residing at 49, Langshaw - street, Old Trafford, Manchester aforesaid, and formerly residing at the Wellington Inn, Medlock - street, Hulme, Manchester aforesaid, and carrying on business at the Wellington Inn aforesaid	Traveller	Manchester	24 of 1898	July 20, 1900	Discharge suspended for two and a half years. Bankrupt to be discharged as from 20th January, 1903	Bankrupt's assets were not of a value equal to 10s. in the pound on the amount of his unsecured liabilities, in fact were nil, and that this arose from circumstances for which he was responsible; that he had omitted to keep such books of account as are usual and proper in the business he carried on, and as sufficiently disclosed his business transactions and financial position within the three years immediately preceding his bankruptcy; had traded after knowing himself to be insolvent, namely, from October, 1896; had been guilty of misconduct in carrying on business and contracting fresh liabilities in wilful ignorance of his true financial position prior to October, 1896; had contracted all the debts provable in his bankruptcy (but more particularly the debts mentioned in paragraph 8 of the Official Receiver's report) without having at the time of contracting them reasonable or probable ground of expectation of being able to pay them; and had failed to account satisfactorily for the deficiency of assets to meet his liabilities