

ORDERS MADE ON APPLICATIONS FOR DISCHARGE.

No. 26899.

H

Debtor's Name.	Address.	Description.	Court.	No.	Date of Order.	Nature of Order made.	Grounds named in Order for refusing an Absolute Order of Discharge.
Dobson, Edwin ...	Workington, Cumberland ...	Milliner's Assistant ...	Cockermouth and Workington	2 of 1886	July 27, 1897	Discharge suspended for two years. Bankrupt to be discharged as from 27th July, 1899	Bankrupt had omitted to keep such books of account as are usual and proper in the business carried on by him, and as sufficiently disclose his business transactions and financial position within the three years immediately preceding his bankruptcy; had continued to trade after knowing himself to be insolvent; had contracted debts provable in the bankruptcy without having at the time of contracting them any reasonable or probable ground of expectation of being able to pay them; and had on two previous occasions made a statutory arrangement with his creditors
Graves, Richard ...	Residing at Flimby, near Maryport, Cumberland, and carrying on business at the Gillhead Colliery, near Flimby aforesaid	Colliery Proprietor ...	Cockermouth and Workington	6 of 1896	July 27, 1897	Discharge granted	
Stott, John (trading as John Stott and Company)	Watergrove, Wardle, near Rochdale, in the county of Lancaster, and carrying on business at Watergrove Mill, Wardle aforesaid	Cotton Spinner ...	Rochdale ...	6 of 1894	Sept. 17, 1897	Discharge suspended for two years. Bankrupt to be discharged as from 8th Oct., 1899	Bankrupt's assets are not of a value equal to 10s. in the pound on the amount of his unsecured liabilities, and that this arose from circumstances for which he must be held responsible; that he had continued to trade after knowing himself to be insolvent; had (with the exception of £391) contracted the whole of the debts provable in the bankruptcy, without having at the time of contracting them any reasonable or probable ground of expectation of being able to pay them; and had been guilty of misconduct in relation to his property and affairs, namely:—That he was guilty of grave misconduct (first) in paying the sum of £400 to one William Kershaw and the sum of £1,800 to his cotton brokers when he knew he was insolvent, and (secondly) in borrowing the sum of £1,000 from one Alfred Wallis, of Heywood, when he knew he was insolvent, and that the money was to be utilized in reducing the liabilities of an insolvent firm with the specific object of liberating or partially liberating a partner, who was equally liable with him for the debts of that firm