

representation have been transmitted to the patrons and to the incumbents of the two cures affected by the arrangements which are contemplated by such scheme or representation and such patrons and incumbents have respectively signified their assent thereto.

And whereas the said scheme or representation has been approved by Her Majesty in Council: now, therefore, Her Majesty, by and with the advice of Her said Council, is pleased hereby to ratify the said scheme or representation, and to order and direct that the same and every part thereof shall be effectual in law immediately from and after the time when this Order shall have been duly published in the London Gazette pursuant to the said Acts; and Her Majesty, by and with the like advice, is pleased hereby to direct that this Order be forthwith registered by the Registrar of the said diocese of Saint Davids.

C. L. Peel.

AT the Court at *Osborne House, Isle of Wight*, the 29th day of *December*, 1887.

PRESENT,

The QUEEN'S Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

WHEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the sixth and seventh years of His late Majesty King William the Fourth, chapter seventy-seven, of the Act of the third and fourth years of Her Majesty, chapter one hundred and thirteen, and of the Act of the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth years of Her Majesty, chapter sixty-three, duly prepared and laid before Her Majesty in Council a scheme bearing date the twenty-fourth day of November, in the year one thousand eight hundred and eighty-seven, in the words and figures following, that is to say:—

“We, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, acting in pursuance of the Act of the sixth and seventh years of His late Majesty King William the Fourth chapter seventy-seven of the Act of the third and fourth years of Your Majesty chapter one hundred and thirteen, and of the Act of the thirty seventh and thirty-eighth years of Your Majesty chapter sixty-three have prepared and now humbly lay before Your Majesty in Council the following scheme with respect to certain rural deaneries within the two archdeaconries which are now within the diocese of Durham, to wit the Archdeaconry of Durham, and the Archdeaconry of Auckland.

“Whereas, by virtue of an Order of Your Majesty in Council bearing date the twenty-eighth day of June in the year one thousand eight hundred and eighty and published in the London Gazette on the ninth day of July following, the rural deaneries within the Archdeaconry of Durham in the diocese of Durham aforesaid were re-arranged and set forth.

“And whereas by virtue of another Order of Your Majesty in Council bearing date the third day of May in the year one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two and published in the London Gazette on the ninth day of the same month a certain peculiar jurisdiction of the Dean and Chapter of the Cathedral Church of Durham known as ‘The Officialty’ within the said Archdeaconry of Durham was abolished, and the several parishes or cures which up to that time had been subject to the authority of the official of the said Dean and Chapter of Durham were transferred to and included within the limits of the said Archdeaconry of Durham, and were made subject to the authority of the Archdeacon of that Archdeaconry.

“And whereas by virtue of another Order of Your Majesty in Council bearing date the seventeenth day of May in the said year one thousand eight hundred and eighty-two and published in the London Gazette on the twenty-third day of the same month the said Archdeaconry of Durham was divided into two archdeaconries, one of which was called the Archdeaconry of Durham and the other was called the Archdeaconry of Auckland.

“And whereas it has been represented to us by the Right Reverend Joseph Barber, Bishop of the said diocese of Durham that the arrangements which are hereinafter recommended and proposed with respect to certain of the rural deaneries within the said two Archdeaconries of Durham and of Auckland are desirable and should be carried into effect, and we are of opinion that the same may be properly carried into effect.

“And whereas the said arrangements involve the transfer of two parishes or cures from the said Archdeaconry of Durham to the Archdeaconry of Auckland aforesaid, and also the formation of one new rural deanery to be called ‘The Rural Deanery of Hartlepool’ in the said Archdeaconry of Auckland.

“Now therefore with the consent of the said Joseph Barber Bishop of the said diocese of Durham in testimony whereof he has set his hand and episcopal seal to this scheme, we the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England humbly recommend and propose that as from the day on which an Order of Your Majesty in Council ratifying this scheme shall be published in the London Gazette and without any assurance in the law other than such duly gazetted Order the two parishes or cures of Hart and of Elwick Hall now within the Rural Deanery of Easington within the said Archdeaconry of Durham shall be transferred from the said Rural Deanery of Easington and from the said Archdeaconry of Durham to the Archdeaconry of Auckland aforesaid and shall be comprised within the new Rural Deanery of Hartlepool hereinafter recommended and proposed.

“And we further recommend and propose that as from the day aforesaid and without any assurance in the law other than such duly gazetted Order as aforesaid the Rural Deanery of Stockton within the said Archdeaconry of Auckland shall be divided into two rural deaneries, one of which shall be called ‘The Rural Deanery of Stockton’ and shall comprise and consist of the several parishes or cures the names of which are set down in numbered order under the name of the same rural deanery in the schedule to this scheme annexed, and the other rural deanery shall be called ‘The Rural Deanery of Hartlepool’ and shall comprise and consist of the several parishes or cures (including the parishes or cures of Hart and of Elwick Hall as aforesaid) the names of which are set down in numbered order under the name of the last-mentioned rural deanery in the said schedule, and that every parish or cure so set down under the names of the said two rural deaneries shall belong to and be and form part of the Archdeaconry of Auckland aforesaid, and of the rural deanery under the name of which it is so set down as aforesaid and shall be subject to the jurisdiction and authority of the archdeacon of the same archdeaconry and of the rural dean of the same rural deanery, and shall not belong to or be or form part of any other archdeaconry or rural deanery, nor be subject to the jurisdiction or authority of any other archdeacon or rural dean.

“And we further recommend and propose that