

town of Calcutta, and who lately carried on trade and business as a Cloth Merchant at Nos. 21 and 23, Puggyaputty, Burra Bazar, in Calcutta aforesaid, under the style and firm of Beenaik Misser, an Insolvent.

On Monday, the 16th day of January instant, it was, on the petition of Baney Madhub Bhuttacharjee, Oganauth Chatterjee, Ramkissen Rajroop, and Ramrutten, creditors of the said Insolvents, adjudged that the said Beenaik Misser hath committed an act of insolvency under the provisions of the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, and by another order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvent were vested in the Official Assignee. — N. Paliologus, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, January 24, 1882.

In the Matter of Catchick Johannes Lucas, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 10th day of January instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — Insolvent in person. Date of Gazette containing notice, January 24, 1882.

In the Matter of Modosoodun Doss, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 10th day of January instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — Remfry and Remfry, Attorneys. Date of Gazette containing notice, January 24, 1882.

In the Matter of Arthur Allan Ogg, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 10th day of January instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — C. D. Linton, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 1, 1882.

In the Matter of Francis Henry Marshall, an Insolvent.

On Monday, the 16th day of January instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — Barrow and Orr, Attorneys. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 1, 1882.

In the Matter of George Christopher Newing, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 2nd day of August last, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — C. D. Linton, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 15, 1882.

In the Matter of James Laurie Anderson, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 10th day of January last, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — N. C. Bural, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 15, 1882.

In the Matter of Shaik Toffell Alli, Butcher, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 10th day of January last, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — A. T. Dhur, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 15, 1882.

In the Matter of Julius Ubel, an Insolvent.

On Tuesday, the 7th day of February instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors, or claiming to be creditors respectively, except the debt due to Beer Brothers and Co., for Rs. 30, who appear not to have been served with the notice of the day of hearing in this matter. — Insolvent in person. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 15, 1882.

In the Matter of Nobin Chunder Doss, of Kidderpore, in the suburbs of Calcutta, an Insolvent.

On Wednesday, the 15th day of February instant, it was, on the petition of Sreemutty Soorodhony and Amrittololl Bose, creditors of the said Insolvents, adjudged that the said Nobin Chunder Doss hath committed an act of insolvency under the provisions of the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, and by another order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvent were vested in the Official Assignee. — Nemy Chand Boss, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 22, 1882.

In the Matter of Bunnachand and Sudasook, who lately carried on business in Raja Kutra, in Calcutta, as Piece Goods Merchant, Insolvents.

On Thursday, the 16th day of February instant, it was, on the petition of Unna Chand and Tejraj and Bhyrodun, creditors of the said Insolvents, adjudged that the said Bunna Chand and Sudasook hath committed an act of insolvency under the provisions of the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, and by another order of the same date the estate and effects of the said Insolvents were vested in the Official Assignee. — Nobinchand Bural, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 22, 1882.

In the Matter of Brojo Nath Shaha Poddar, Nil-mohun Saha Poddar, Baneymadhur Shaha Poddar, Koylas Chunder Shaha Podder, Gooroo Churn Shaha Poddar, and Hurry Churn Shaha Podder, Insolvents.

On Tuesday, the 7th day of February instant, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvents, except Brojo Nath Shaha Poddar, were adjudged entitled to their personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., chap. 21, as to all persons named in their schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively. — C. F. Pittar, Attorney. Date of Gazette containing notice, February 22, 1882.

In the Matter of Baneymadhub Buttacharjee, an Insolvent.

On Monday, the 16th day of January last, by an Order of this Court, the said Insolvent was adjudged entitled to his personal discharge under the Act 11 Vic., cap. 21, as to all persons named in his schedule as creditors or claiming to be creditors respectively, except the debt due to Nilmoney Nundy for Rs. 67-1, and Rooplall Punalall for Rs. 113-0-3, who appear not to have been served with the notice of the day of hearing in this matter. — C. N. Manuel,