



SUPPLEMENT  
TO  
**The London Gazette**  
*Of TUESDAY, the 21st of JUNE.*

**Published by Authority.**

THURSDAY, JUNE 23, 1881.

*Chancery Pay Office, May, 1881.*

**A** LIST of the titles of causes, matters, and accounts in the books at the Chancery Pay Office, to the credit of which funds were standing on the 1st September, 1880, which had not been dealt with during the fifteen years immediately preceding that date, prepared pursuant to Rule 91 of the Chancery Funds Consolidated Rules, 1874.

No information is to be given by the Chancery Paymaster respecting the money or securities to the credit of any cause, matter, or account in this list until he has been furnished with a statement, in writing, by a Solicitor requiring such information, of the name of the person on whose behalf he applies, and that in such Solicitor's opinion the applicant is beneficially interested in such money or securities.

Every petition or summons affecting any money or securities to the credit of a cause, matter, or account inserted in this list is to contain a statement that it has been so inserted. In cases in which the money or securities affected by such petition may amount to or exceed in value £500, a copy of such petition, and notice of all proceedings in Court or at chambers, unless the Court otherwise directs, are to be served on the Official Solicitor of the Court.

Ashburnham v. Ashburnham.

Adolphus v. Adolphus.

Allen v. Addington.

Anstruther v. Anstruther, and Anstruther v. Cockerell.

Ex parte a projected undertaking for authorising the Aberystwith and Welsh Coast Railway Company to make and maintain additional lines of railway, and to reclaim lands near to their lines of railway, and to raise further moneys, and for other purposes.

Joseph Allison v. Robert Allison.

Susannah Abbott, Spinster, a person of unsound mind.

Alderson v. Bolam.

Attorney-General v. Bailey.

Attorney-General v. Beard.

Attorney-General v. Bealey.

Attorney-General v. Bean.

Ex parte the Accrington Gas and Water Works Companies Act, 1854. The account of the

share of Elizabeth Woods, deceased, subject to duty.

Ex parte a projected undertaking proposed to be authorised by a Bill to be cited as the Acton and Brentford Railway.

Allen v. Callow. The defendant, Mary Callow's account.

Ashton v. Cheetham. The account of John Ashton the elder, deceased, and his legal personal representative.

Ashton v. Cheetham. The account of John Murray Ashton the younger, an infant.

Ackerley v. Caine. The account of the share of Samuel Ackerley or his issue.

Adeau v. Duke of Chandos.

Adams v. Cole.

Attorney-General v. Carent.

Attorney-General v. Duke of Chandos.

Attorney-General v. Cotterell.

Attorney-General v. Corpus Christi College.

Ex parte the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of the United Kingdom of Great Britain, and Ireland. The account of Mansfield Arthur Nelson, an infant.

Ex parte the Commissioners for executing the office of Lord High Admiral of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland.

Atlee v. Dibley. The real estate account.

Atlee v. Dibley. The account of the produce of the real estate.

Abney v. Dolphin.

Abney v. Dolphin. The interest fund account.

Attorney-General v. Lord Digby.

Allen v. Fenton.

Atkinson v. Grey.

Airey v. Hearne.

Attorney-General v. Harper, and Attorney-General v. Nash.

Aubrey v. Hoper. The costs in Adams v. Hoper, allotted or appointed in respect of the eighth incumbrance.

Amson v. Harris. The separate account of Maria Smallwood out of the jurisdiction, the wife of John Smallwood.

Attorney-General v. John Hall and others.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Nicholas Ainsworth, Esq., for his heir-at-law, on the part of his mother.

Appleby v. Jenkins.

- aron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaird. The produced of the sale of lots one, three, four, seven, and eight.
- Baron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaird. The account of the debentures numbered 202 and 206.
- In the matter of the trusts of a share of the residue of the estate of Richard Allnatt, bequeathed by his will to his daughter Esther Allnatt and his son John Allnatt, their issue, executors, administrators, and assigns.
- Ex parte the undertaking intended to be authorised by the Alford and Mablethorpe Railway Bill.
- Allen v. Liveing.
- Aquilar v. Lousada. The account of the fund under the will of Sarah Lopes Terres.
- Attorney-General v. Lowe. The parishes of Grendon and Quainton. The schools account.
- Ashton v. Mompesson.
- Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Gray, John Gray, James Gray, and Isabel Gray, or their representatives.
- Alexander v. McCulloch. The account of the plaintiffs, William Alexander the younger, Bethia Alexander, Mary Anne Alexander, Christiana Alexander, Jane Alexander, Robert Alexander, Isabel Alexander, and Joanna Alexander (in the will called John Alexander), or their representatives.
- Astley v. Mawdesley.
- Adams v. Massey.
- Ashe v. Montague. The account of the personal estate of the testator, James Montague.
- Arundell v. McTaggart. The account of the appointed moiety.
- Angerstein v. Martin, and Angerstein v. Martin.
- Ex parte the purchasers of part of the settled estates of Thomas William, Viscount Anson.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Anthony, deceased. The account of Thomas Impleton.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Anderson, deceased. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Sarah Anderson Booty.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Anderson, deceased. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Louisa Abbott Booty.
- Andrews v. Newdigate. The personal estate.
- Attorney-General v. Newson.
- Applegath v. Pelly.
- Adams v. Pinnell.
- Attorney-General v. Pleydell.
- Alker v. Pendlebury, and Alker v. Yates.
- Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of Nancy Jackson, deceased, subject to legacy duty.
- Akroyd v. Patchett. The account of the defendant, Isaac Haley, subject to legacy duty.
- Arnsby v. Parsons, Feversham v. Parsons, and Feversham v. Loweth. The account of the leasehold estates.
- The Official Manager of the Royal Bank of Australia v. Pryme.
- Atkinson v. Parker, Atkinson v. Attorney-General, Brennan v. Brennan, Holder v. Holder. The account of George Ford and Harriet Ann Bineham, deceased.
- Amburst v. Roberts.
- Attorney-General v. Reese.
- Attorney-General v. Speed.
- Attorney-General v. Solicitor-General.
- Attorney-General (at the relation of the Rev. Thomas Lancaster and others) v. Smith and others.
- Hannah Astenden's estate, 1859, A., 19.
- Attorney-General v. Scott. William and Nathan Firth.
- In the matter of the trusts of the persons entitled as the personal representatives of Elizabeth Audibert, Widow, deceased, intestate, to a sum of ninety-two pounds ten shillings and ten pence.
- The Official Manager of the Royal Bank of Australia v. Pryme. The account of the unsatisfied legatees and annuitants of the testator, Richard Rayley.
- In the matter of the trusts created by the will of Daniel Austin, Esq., deceased, in favour of the wife and children of John Gardner Austin.
- Ashwin v. Williams and others.
- Attorney-General v. the Governors of the Free Grammar School of Edward Wilson, Clerk, in Camberwell, otherwise Camerwell, in the county of Surrey.
- Ashop v. Wood. Thomas Bowley the younger.
- Attorney-General v. Watkins.
- James Bavin, a person of unsound mind. The real estate account.
- Joseph Barlow, absent beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Susannah Ballard, deceased.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of Thomas Barrett, late of Lee Priory, in the parish of Ickham, in the county of Kent, Esq., deceased.
- Catherine Battaglia, Widow, a person of unsound mind.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Barber, deceased.
- In the matter of the estate of Caroline Baker, deceased, Baker v. Lovcraft. The legacy account of Charles Baker, one of the children of Jane Baker, by her husband, John Baker, a brother of Stephen Baker, the deceased husband of Caroline Baker, deceased.
- In the matter of the estate of Caroline Baker, deceased, Baker v. Lovcraft. The legacy account of John Baker, one of the children of Jane Baker, by her husband, John Baker, a brother of Stephen Baker, the deceased husband of Caroline Baker, deceased.
- In the matter of the estate of John Battle, deceased. Battle v. Copley. The account of the plaintiff, Jane Battle, and her children.
- In the matter of the estate of Richard Barnes, deceased. Watts v. Barnes. The account of the personal estate.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Barrowcliff, deceased. The contingent legacy of Hannah Isherwood, the wife of Richard Isherwood.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of the Rev. Thomas Barker, late of the city of York, Clerk.
- In the matter of the trusts of a settlement made by Lucy Barker, Spinster, dated the 19th of December, 1828.
- Booth v. Alington.
- Brewin v. Austin, and Brewin v. Scott.
- Blaney v. Arnold. The legatee's account.
- Boulter v. Allen.
- Bourdillon v. Allaire.
- Bertie v. Earl of Abingdon. The personal estate of Peregrine Bertie, deceased.
- Butter v. Basnett. Sarah Wallen, her account.
- Bishop v. Baker.
- Barker v. Barker. The infant children of Peter Henry Barker.
- Boys v. Barker.
- Basan v. Brandon, and Basan v. Brandon. The account of the Mulatta Betsy.
- Brett v. Beckwith. The personal estate of the testator, George Wooler Beckwith, deceased.
- Bown v. Bown.
- Bowater v. Burdett, and Rigge v. Bowater.

- Barker v. Barker. Rents and profits of the testator's real estates.
- Bayning v. Bayning, and Bayning v. Bayning.
- Bowden v. Bayly.
- Bowden v. Bayly. The account of unpaid claimants entitled to £100 each.
- Brocas v. Barker.
- Betus v. Berionde.
- Bennett v. Bennett.
- Bowman v. Bell. The account of the personal estate of the testator, John Bowman, deceased.
- Bickley v. Brice, and Bickley v. Olond.
- Birch v. Birch.
- Bell v. Bishop.
- Bowman v. Bowman. The separate account of the infant plaintiff.
- Bozon v. Bolland, and Husband v. Bolland.
- Brown v. Brown, 1857, B., 181.
- Bosenburg v. Burk.
- Burgoyne v. Burgoyne. In Master Groves' Office.
- Burrell v. Burrell.
- Bowles v. Bruce. The separate legacy account of Elizabeth McBean.
- Buxton v. Buxton, and Buxton and others v. Buxton and others.
- Bishop v. Burton.
- Bellamy v. Brydges.
- Billingham v. Basely.
- Benn v. Benn.
- Butt v. Binks.
- Bennett v. Biddles, and Bennett v. Clarke. The account of the annuitants.
- Butler v. Butler.
- Buller v. Burn.
- Barry v. Barrett and Stanley v. Smith. The account of the personal representatives of Richard Smith Barry, deceased, a child of Dorothy Smith Barry.
- Hamlet Bolton v. Nehemiah Bolton and others. The account of the personal estate of the testatrix, Ellen Bolton.
- Birdsey v. Birdsey.
- Bell v. Blair.
- Bantoft v. Bennett. The account of Harriet Holcher, Widow.
- Braban v. Bishop.
- Bolton v. Bolton. The account of Samuel Bolton, a person of unsound mind, not found so by inquisition.
- Barrett v. Buck. The legatee's fund account.
- Bentley v. Craven. Contingent claims against the partnership.
- Bedell v. Crank.
- Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the defendant, John Crosland, and his children.
- Bryan v. Collins. The accumulated account.
- Birch v. Crosland. The account of the estates devised to the plaintiff, Sarah Birch, and her children.
- Bassett v. Clapham.
- Burton v. Clarke.
- Booker v. Clarke.
- Bolas v. Corbett.
- Brass v. Cook.
- Bone v. Cooke. The account of the next-of-kin of Sarah Goodyer, deceased.
- Butcher v. Churchill.
- Buckley v. Cooke. The account of the children and issue of Richard Buckley, deceased.
- Brown v. Clay.
- E. B. Brown v. Clay.
- Bruce v. Charlton. The account of the share given to Thomas Tipping.
- Bateman v. Cooke. The account of James Hailes the younger.
- Bateman v. Cooke. The account of Elizabeth Hailes, afterwards Elizabeth Edwards.
- Bateman v. Cooke. The account of Edward Smith Birch, a felon.
- Barnett v. Cooper. The plaintiff, Mary Barnett, her account, and the parties entitled under her marriage settlement.
- Bodens v. Dod.
- Baker v. Delaval.
- Barry v. Lord Dacre.
- Barks v. Denshire.
- Bowman v. Dobson.
- Brooks v. De Burgh.
- Boulter v. Vicountess Dungarvon, and Dixon v. Vicountess Dungarvon.
- Beaman v. Dod. The account of the defendant, James Grice.
- In the matter of the trusts declared by the will of Lydia Bennett, late of Crutched Friars, in the city of London, Spinster, deceased, for the benefit of the children of her cousin, William Hollins, formerly of Hucknall-under-Huthwaite, in the county of Nottingham, and afterwards of Canada.
- The Berks and Hants Railway Company. The account of the Berks and Hants Railway Act, 1845.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Bear. The account of Charles Bear's legacy.
- In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made on the marriage of Mr. and Mrs. Beresford, both deceased.
- Ex parte the Bedford and Cambridge Railway Company. The account of Henry Jeeves.
- Ex parte the Bedford and Cambridge Railway Company. The account of Sidney Stanley.
- Ex parte the Bedford and Cambridge Railway Company. The account of Alexander Pym, Esq., and Alexander, Viscount Kirkaldie, as the trustees of Frances Pym, an infant, the Lord of the Manor of Girtford, in the county of Bedford.
- Ex parte the Bedford and Cambridge Railway Company. The account of James Bullock.
- Ex parte the Bedford and Cambridge Railway. In the matter of the Bedford and Cambridge Railway Act, 1860. The account of the estates settled to the uses of the will of Dame Isabella Bell Cooper, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Anne Beauchamp, deceased. The legacy of one hundred pounds bequeathed to Philip Beauchamp.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Anne Beauchamp, deceased. The legacy of one hundred pounds bequeathed to Mary Beauchamp.
- In the matter of the legacy of nineteen pounds and nineteen shillings by the will of the Reverend John Tidy Beethorn, bequeathed to Catherine Parkyns Dodson, Spinster, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Hugh Bennett, deceased. The account of the disputed share of Samuel Haworth, and Ellen, his wife.
- Berrington v. Evans.
- Berrington v. Evans. The account of Elizabeth Watkins.
- Brooke v. Elliott. The account of the share of the defendant, Charles Hunter, subject to duty.
- Bariff v. Footman. The defendant, Richard Ray, deceased.
- Bothomley v. Lord Fairfax.
- Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn. The children and widow of the testator's brother, Lewis Moore, their account.
- Bendy v. Firth.
- Blackburn v. Farmer, and Stone v. Blackburn.
- Brown v. Forbes, and Brown v. Brown.

- Bagster v. Fackerell.** The schooling and apprenticeship fund.  
**Badely v. Garrow.**  
**Brown v. George.** The legatees' account.  
**Becke v. Gibson.** Thomas Mawmell's account.  
**Becke v. Gibson.** The Schoolmaster of Heighington's account.  
**Boothby v. Groves.**  
**Bond v. Graham.**  
**Brooke v. Gulston.** Caroline Colmore's account.  
**Bowring v. Greenwood.**  
**Bleadon v. Haynes, and Haynes v. Bleadon.** The plough, furniture, stock, and effects account.  
**Bourne v. Hariley.**  
**Baker v. Hordley, Baker v. Hordley, and Baker v. Hordley (3 causes).**  
**Brandling v. Humble.** The creditors' account.  
**Bolton v. Hopkins.**  
**Binns v. Holroyd, and Binns v. Bould.**  
**Bagster v. Hume.** The creditors' account.  
**Bicknell v. Hughes.**  
**Butterfield v. Humfrey.**  
**Bailey v. Hamond.**  
**Blight v. Hammonds.** The executor's account.  
**Brooks v. Hancock.**  
**Brome v. Hyde.**  
**Barlow v. Hellear.**  
**Beswick v. Hallam.** The account of the debt claimed to be due to John Daniel Burton.  
**Bilton v. Harland.**  
**Bourne v. Hartley.** The indemnity account of the defendants, James Allen and Maurice Hartland Mahon, as the executors of the testator, Richard Bourne.  
**Blacklock v. Harland.**  
**Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Birmingham Liverpool Junction Canal Navigation.** The account of the trustee under the will of John Spencer, deceased.  
**Ex parte the Birmingham and Derby Junction Railway Company.** The account of James Wilson, the tenant for life, and others.  
**Ex parte the Birkenhead, Lancashire, and Cheshire Junction Railway Company.** The account of Maria Prachett, Widow.  
**Ex parte the Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Company.** The account of John Fetherston, John Osborn, and James Bradbury, being the Committee appointed on behalf of Commissioners of Horbury Common.  
**Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Birmingham.** The account of William Richard Whitmore, the Reverend John Davies, and Edward Tilsley Moore.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Bibby, deceased.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Bibwell, deceased.** The legacy and share of residue given and bequeathed to John Bibwell by the will of Sarah Bibwell.  
**In the matter of the trusts of Birch's settlement for the benefit of George Thomas Gray, a person of unsound mind, a son of Mary Gray, deceased.**  
**Elizabeth Ann Biggs, an infant.** The savings' account.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Bird, the moiety of ascertained residue bequeathed to his brother and sisters and their children.**  
**Ex parte the Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Company.** In the matter of the Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Act, 1846. The Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Company and the parties interested for the time being under the marriage settlement of Mr. and Mrs. Henry Marston.  
**Ex parte the Birmingham and Oxford Junction Railway Company.** The account of the Master and Brethren of the Hospital of Robert, Earl of Leicester, in Warwick.  
**Ex parte the Birmingham, Wolverhampton, and Stour Valley Railway Act, 1847.** The account of Henry Holland Humphries, his brothers and sisters, issue of Mary Ann Holland, living at her decease.  
**Walter Bishop, Henry Bishop, Mary Bishop, and Rose Caroline Bishop, infants.**  
**Brown v. Jones.** The account of rents of the leasehold in Dunk and Halifax Streets.  
**Brandwood v. Johnson.** The account of Solomon Lewis.  
**Burke v. Jones.** The account of moneys arising from the sale of the English estates of Andrew Robinson Bowes, Esq., deceased.  
**Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Prosser, Boughton v. James, Boughton v. Boughton, and Boughton v. Tilsley.** The account of William Henry Prosser, an infant.  
**Burgis v. Jackson.**  
**Bolney v. Kealey.**  
**Bruae v. Kinlock.** The creditor's account.  
**Bourne v. Lord Kilmorrey.**  
**Baron Alvanley v. Baron Kinnaid.**  
**Back v. Kett.** The account of the estate of the testator, Thomas Back.  
**Ex parte the purchasers of the settled estate of Samuel Blunt, Esq.**  
**Ex parte a projected undertaking for authorizing the Blackburn Railway Company to make and maintain extensions of their railway, and for regulating the capital of the Company, and for other purposes.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of one hundred pounds in the will of Susannah Bloss, deceased, dated the seventeenth January, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-two, expressed to be given to Eliza Smith.**  
**In the matter of the estate of George Blake, of Toxteth Park, near Liverpool, in the county of Lancaster, Gentleman, deceased, and Neale v. Stewart.** The interest account of George Blake Oughterson's contingent legacy.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Blunt, late of Whittlesey, in the Isle of Ely, in county of Cambridge, Miller and Farmer, deceased, as to the bequest of two hundred and fifty pounds to James Blunt, and of five hundred pounds in favour of the said James Blunt and his children.** The account of Caroline Blunt, one of the children of the said James Blunt.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of the Reverend Charles Bloxham and Mary Hope Bloxham, his wife, both deceased.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Blunt, late of Whittlesey, in the Isle of Ely, in the county of Cambridge, Miller and Farmer, deceased, as to the bequest of two hundred and fifty pounds to James Blunt, and of five hundred pounds in favour of the said James Blunt and his children.** The account of Simon Blunt, one of the children of the said James Blunt.  
**Bourgeois v. Lankshear.**  
**Bassett v. Leach.**  
**Bell v. Longcroft.**  
**Boughton v. Legg.**  
**Barrett v. Locke.**  
**Bent v. Loaden.**  
**Blake v. Lynch.**  
**Bent v. Loaden.** The Reverend Charles Wallington's account.  
**Brown v. Lloyd.** The creditors' account.  
**Baily v. Lanfear.** The outstanding notes account.

- Brooks v. Levey. The legatees' and annuitants' account.
- Brooks v. Levy. Legacy to the Benevolent Society at Sydney.
- Burton v. Lewis.
- Belgrave v. Massiah.
- Bruce v. McPherson. The account of William Stanhope Beecraft.
- Bruce v. McPherson. The account of Thomas Beecraft, or his issue.
- Ballard v. Milner.
- Blackhall v. Manning.
- Blackhall v. Manning, and Manning v. Blackhall.
- Buswell v. Mason.
- Bishop v. Mackie.
- Bailey v. Maude.
- Bruce v. McPherson.
- Ball v. Michell.
- Earl of Balcarras v. Newton, and Earl of Balcarras v. Newton.
- Walter Boyd, Paul Benfield, and James Drummond, bankrupts. The account of John Bailey.
- Walter Boyd, Paul Benfield, and James Drummond, bankrupts. The account of George Pratherman.
- Augusta Zelmira Boffa, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Booth, late Surgeon in His Majesty's Ship Pompee. The account of the three several legacies of fifty pounds each to Hannah Nicoll, Ann Smith, and Elizabeth Nicoll, and interest subject to legacy duty.
- Ex parte the purchasers of part of the devised estates of Thomas Bonner, deceased.
- Ex parte the Boston, Sleaford, and Midland Counties Railway Company. The account of George Francis Birch.
- In the matter of the trusts of the one-fourth of one-third of the residuary personal estate of Edmund Boughton, deceased, appointed to the children of Mary Wilkins. The account of the one-sixth share of Thomas Wilkins, one of the children of Mary Wilkins.
- Frederic Bonhote, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of a legacy of £200 to Sarah Bolton, bequeathed by the will of John Wilson, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Booth, deceased. The share of Elizabeth Booth, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the compensation money of claim No. 723, British Guiana, under the will of Joseph Bourda.
- Thomas Temple Bonhote, an infant.
- Baker v. Olding, Baker v. Baker, Baker v. Baker, and Baker v. Olding. The account of the proceeds of the sale of fixtures at Pullen-row, Islington.
- Briggs v. Earl of Oxford and Mortimer. The account of the proceeds of timber, subject to the trusts of the indentures of settlement, dated the 20th day of March, 1832, and the 12th day of November, 1835.
- Brookes v. Oakley.
- Butler v. Oliver.
- Broome v. Ousey. The proceeds of sale of residuary real estate.
- Bowker v. Oakley. The plaintiff's indemnity account.
- Bartlett v. Patten, and Patten v. Bartlett.
- Burton v. Pierpont.
- Bennett v. Powell, Ryland v. Bennett, and Powell v. Bennett. The sequestrators' account of rents and profits.
- Bennett v. Powell, Ryland v. Bennett, and Powell v. Bennett.
- Blondel v. Preston. The contingent legacy account of Penelope Gertrude Veysie, the legatee.
- Benbow v. Pickard.
- Bray v. Preece.
- Beeby v. Perry.
- Batten v. Parfitt.
- Beard v. Pinder.
- Barker v. Peile.
- Ex parte the undertaking intended to be authorised by the Brecon and Merthyr Tydfil Junction Railway Bill.
- Ex parte the undertaking intended to be authorised by the Brecon and Merthyr Tydfil Junction Railway (New Lines, &c.) Bill.
- Ex parte the Brecon and Merthyr Tydfil Junction Railway Company. The account of Mary Williams and Mary Morgan.
- Ex parte the Brighton and Chichester Railway Company. The account of Sophia Deacle, sometime since residing at Chichester, at Portsmouth, and at Fareham, but whose present residence is unknown.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Eleanor Brunton, Widow, deceased. The charitable bequest.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Brain, deceased. The account of the infant, John Walker Smith, otherwise Brain, contingent on his attaining twenty-one.
- Ex parte the Brighton and Chichester Railway Company. The account of Henry Ford the elder and Richard Henry Rogers.
- In the matter of the trusts of a deed for keeping in order the tomb of James Browne, formerly of Dawlish, Devonshire.
- William Brooke, jun., a minor.
- In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of Richard Bradford and Georgiana, his wife, dated the 23rd day of August, 1842. The account of Richard Bradford and his incumbancers, in satisfaction of the sum of two thousand pounds mentioned in the settlement.
- Ex parte the Bradford Corporation Water Works Act, 1854. The account of Thomas Kitchingman Staveley, George Edward Wilson, and Sir Henry Bromley, Bart.
- Ex parte the Bristol and Exeter Railway Company, in the matter of an Act to amend the Acts relating to the Bristol and Exeter Railway, and to authorise the formation of a junction railway and several branch railways connected with the same.
- In the matter of the trusts of John Bryant's will. The account of the persons entitled to the three legacies of £100 bequeathed to Edward Musard, Rebecca Justin, and Sarah Chown, by the testator, John Bryant.
- Ex parte the trustees for executing an Act of Parliament made and passed in the 54th year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the 3rd, intituled "An Act for altering and enlarging the term and powers of three Acts made for repairing the high road leading from Brent Bridge, in the county of Devon, to Gasking Gate, in or near the borough of Plymouth, in the said county of Devon."
- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Bradford. Ex parte the purchasers of the real estates late of William Wainman, Esq., deceased.
- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the city of Bristol.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of settlement, bearing date the 26th day of August, 1807, for the benefit of Joseph Israel Brandon and Rachel, his wife, and their children. The account of the share appointed to Emma, the

- wife of the petitioner, Charles Mozley, after her marriage under the age of twenty-one years.
- Ex parte the Bristol and Exeter Railway Company.** In the matter of the Bristol and Exeter Railway Act, 1855. The account of the trustees of the Bristol Cattle Market.
- In the matter of the trusts of the residuary estate of Thomas Bridgman, late of Cheshunt Lordship, in the county of Hertford, deceased, bequeathed by his will in trust for Sarah Hope for life.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Brown, deceased. The account of Ada Marion Ansley, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Brown, deceased. The account of Frederick Henry Wallace Ansley, an infant.
- In the matter of Rose Brown, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Brown, deceased. The legacies of £3,000 Three per Cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities and £400 like Annuities, bequeathed to Louisa Harris.
- Bartley v. Rice.** The real estate.
- Bettison v. Rickards, and Bettison v. Smith.**
- Blackshaw v. Rogers, and Snelson v. Rogers.** In Master Ord's Office.
- Bowen v. Runnington.** The account of rents and profits.
- Boisselier v. Ridgway.** The account of Susan Johnson, an infant.
- Brown v. Sandford, and Specke v. Sandford.**
- Braithwaite v. Sayner.**
- Brodribb v. Sherring.** The legacies of the children of Thomas Hussell.
- Brice v. Stokes, and Brice v. Younge.**
- Brice v. Stokes, and Brice v. Younge.** The account of John Taylor's personal estate.
- Brice v. Stokes.** The account of the testator, John Taylor's personal estate.
- Blackett v. Stoddart, and Allgood v. Blackett.**
- Brice v. Stokes.** The account of Harriet Sparrow's legacy and interest.
- Bullock v. Stones.**
- Blakelock v. Sharp.** The mortgage account.
- Ball v. Smith.**
- Brooks v. Snaith.** The account of the real estate.
- Black v. Straphon.**
- Brerelon v. Sadler.**
- Butler v. Sharpe.**
- Butler v. Stratton.** The residue of the testatrix's estate.
- Brooks v. Snaith.** The account of the real estate, lot seven.
- Bayley v. Shearwood.** The rents and profits of the real estates.
- Biedermann v. Seymour.** The account of moneys arising from the testator's real estate.
- Bellamy v. Stephens.**
- Bower v. Scott, and Walker v. Watkin.**
- Bryant v. Story.** Account of the legacy bequeathed for relief of the widows and orphans of soldiers killed in war.
- Braithwaite v. Shoubridge.**
- Bannan v. Strachan.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Henry Bannan.
- Baldwin v. Taylor, and Spicer v. Taylor.** The contingent account of the children of James Baldwin, deceased.
- Burton v. Taylor.** The legacy account of Robert Samuel Skey.
- Barber v. Tatham.**
- Barber v. Tatham.** The legacy duty account.
- Bain v. Thompson.** The separate account of the defendant, Elizabeth Manners.
- Bradshaw v. Tusker.**
- Barnett v. Tugwell.** The account of Thomas Barnett, or his legal personal representative.
- Ex parte the Bury Navigation and Llanelly Harbour Act, 1858.** The account of Her Majesty the Queen, the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, and David Lewis.
- Arnold Burrowes.** An infant legatee.
- In the matter of Richard Tarrant Bury, Benjamin Wittington, and John Robinson.
- Ex parte the Buckinghamshire Railway Company.** The account of John Stevens, of the city of Oxford, Glazier.
- In the matter of the trusts of three-seventh parts or shares of Ann Burt, deceased, William Burt, and Elizabeth Matthews, respectively of and in the estate of John Burt.
- Ex parte the Burial Board of the in and out parish of St. Cuthbert, and the liberty of St. Andrew, in Wells, in the county of Somerset.**
- Ex parte the Burial Board for the parish of Newport, in the Isle of Wight, in the county of Southampton.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Burrows, deceased. The account of Fanny Scarratt, subject to duty.
- Ex parte a projected undertaking proposed to be authorized by a Bill to be cited as the Bute Docks, Cardiff, No. 1.**
- In the matter of the trusts of a settlement, dated the 22nd day of June, 1827, and made by Thomas Burdon, late of Knightsbridge, in the county of Middlesex, Esq., deceased, so far as regards the said George Burdon in the Dunchurch estate comprised in the said settlement.
- Josiah Richard Bugden, an infant.**
- Lord Bugden, an infant.**
- Earl Bugden, an infant.**
- Baker v. Vinell.**
- Bryan v. Wilson.** The account of William Burnham Blackwell the younger, subject to the lien, if any, of the said Mr. Richard Hannam for a sum not exceeding the sum of £242 12s. 5d.
- Boulton v. Wilkinson.**
- Butler v. Wise.**
- Biddolph v. Waller.**
- Bibin v. Walker.**
- Bristow v. Ward.** Margaret Girardot de Prefond's legacy account.
- Bristowe v. Warde.**
- Bulkeley v. Williams, and Williams v. Montagu.** In Master Montagu's office.
- Briggs v. Wilson.** The account of the legacy of Mary Adlard Showler.
- Barlow v. Wogan.**
- Banfield v. Woollett.**
- Belasyse v. Wombwell.** The general account of the estate of the testator, Henry, Earl of Fauconberg.
- Bingham v. Woodgate.**
- Bolton v. Wordsworth.** The account of the residue bequeathed to Hannah Fox Toms and her children, and other persons, subject to duty.
- Barry v. Woodham.**
- Borthman v. Watson.** The proceeds of minerals under the lands mentioned in the pleadings in the said cause.
- Bray v. West.** The account of the legacy bequeathed to James Bray, his wife and children.
- Ex parte the Carlisle and Silloth Bay Railway and Dock Company.** The account of William, Earl of Lonsdale, Faulder Lawson, and William Nixon.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of the Archbishop of Canterbury.**

- Ex parte** the purchaser or purchasers of the glebe land belonging to the vicarage of Camberwell, in the county of Surrey.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Caudery's estate. The account of the residuary share of Ann Lises, deceased.
- Ex parte** the Carmarthen and Cardigan Railway Company. The account of John Williams, Morgan Gwynne Hughes, George Morgan, and David Jones.
- Ex parte** the personal representatives of Thomas Calvert, deceased.
- Ex parte** the trustees for executing an Act of Parliament passed in the 50th year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled "An Act for repairing the road from Catterick Bridge, in the county of York, through the towns of Yarm, Hockton, and Sedgewick, to the city of Durham, in the county of Durham, and for repealing an Act passed in the 28th year of His present Majesty for repairing the said road."
- In the matter of the Most Reverend Father in God Charles, by Divine Providence Lord Archbishop of Canterbury.
- Ex parte** the Caledonian Railway Company. The account of James Fawcett and John Fawcett in respect of a parcel of land, situate in the parish of Saint Mary, Carlisle, being part of a parcel of land numbered 37 on the map or plan of the branch to Port Carlisle Railway.
- Ex parte** the Carnarvon and Llanberis Railway Company. The Master and Fellows of Magdalen College, Cambridge.
- In the matter of the trusts of the shares in the personal estate of Francis Carter the younger, which by his will were bequeathed to Henry Hack, otherwise Carter, and George Hack, otherwise Carter.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Campbell, deceased.
- Ex parte** the Chancellor, Master, and Scholars of the University of Cambridge.
- Conell v. Allen.** The account of the defendant, William Coles, one of the children of William Coles, deceased.
- Constable v. Adams.** Account of Edward Ind and Sarah, his wife.
- Constable v. Adams.** Account of David Grantham and Henny, his wife.
- Conell v. Allen.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Samuel Richard Coles.
- Conell v. Allen.** The account of the plaintiffs, William Rufus Petit Roberts and Diana Matilda, his wife.
- Combe v. Ackland.**
- Clarke v. Addington.** The timber account.
- Conell v. Allen.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Olivia Coles.
- Constable v. Adams.** Account of plaintiffs, Thomas Constable and Mary, his wife.
- Cann v. Barne.** The account of George Robert Piercy Bullock, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd.** The separate account of Alfred Boyd, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd.** The separate account of John Peter Charles Ewart and Harriet Louisa, his wife.
- Cox v. Boyd.** The separate account of Walter William Boyd, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd.** The separate account of Amelia Boyd, an infant.
- Cox v. Boyd.** The separate account of Edmund John Boyd.
- Collis v. Blackburn.**
- Cockerell v. Barber.**
- Currie v. Ball.**
- Crook v. Bayliffe.** The account of Lucy Flowers Bond, defendant.
- Cann v. Barne.**
- Clarkson v. Brady.**
- Clark v. Bailey.**
- Cathcart v. Briscoe.** The account of share of residue of Mary Lyon, formerly Mary Cathcart, deceased.
- Cathcart v. Briscoe.** The account of the share of the residue of Hugh Cathcart, deceased.
- Clarke v. Bourne.** The account of the children of the testator's brother, James Clarke.
- Carver v. Bowles.**
- Coate v. Boyer.**
- Crothwaite v. Brown.**
- Chamberlain v. Burges.**
- Cocks v. Bateman.**
- Cork v. Basford.**
- Chapman v. Burman.**
- Cruchley v. Burton, Millbanke v. Burton. Cruchley v. Millbanke, and Baker v. Millbanke.**
- Cork v. Burrell.** The account of the proceeds of sale of the testator's leasehold estates.
- Cooper v. Baddeley.** The claim of the Devon United Silver Lead and Copper Mining Company for calls due in respect of testator's shares in the said Company.
- Carpenter v. Bignell.** The fund to answer so much of the costs of the plaintiff in the suit of John Gardner v. John Gardner and others, as was chargeable upon the estate of the plaintiff, John Gardner.
- Coxon v. Coxon.**
- Crook v. Crook.** The account of the defendant, Edward Gyles Crook, and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Crook v. Crook.** The account of the defendant, Alfred Crook, and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Cartwright v. Cartwright.**
- Caslon v. Caslon.** In Master Leed's office.
- Campbell v. Campbell.** In Master Wilmot's office.
- Chamberlain v. Chamberlain.**
- Cross v. Cross.**
- Conway v. Lord Conway.** On account of the personal estate of Francis, Lord Conway, deceased.
- Cholmley v. Colville.**
- Carterell v. Cotterell.**
- Corby v. Conyers.**
- Coghlan v. Coghlan.**
- Coffin v. Cooper.**
- Courtney v. Courtney.** The Shirehampton estate account.
- Cuthell v. Cubitt.**
- Cuthell v. Cubitt.** The account of Isabella Cuthell, as legatee and next of kin of John Cuthell, deceased.
- Crewe v. Crewe.** The plaintiff, the infant's account.
- Cousens v. Chiene, and Cousens v. Chiene.** The account of Margaret Chiene, Widow, deceased.
- Colebrooke v. Colebrooke.** The account of Robert James and George Colebrooke.
- Camden v. Cooke.**
- Robert Campbell v. Susanna Campbell.** The proceeds of the sale of the testator's real estate.
- Coppock v. Coppock.** The account of moneys to answer Jonas Colbourn's claim for ten thousand pounds and interest when proved.
- Crickmore v. Crickmore, 1859, C., 205.** The account of Robert Crickmore and his incumbancers.
- Cooper v. Cooper.** The personal estate account.
- Cook v. Colman, Cook v. Cozens, and Cook v. Colman.** The account of Emily Jane Crawshaw, an infant.



- Clift v. Crowfoot. The account of the residuary estate of Benjamin Bensley, deceased.
- Crook v. Crook. The account of the defendant, John Crook, and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Coffin v. Cooper. The account of Septimus Charles Field, subject to duty.
- Capper v. Cure. The account of the share of the defendants, Edward Fletcher and Henry Fletcher, or the survivor of them.
- Cheyne v. Dockwra.
- Cranley v. Dixon. The account of the legal personal representatives of the late defendant, Antonio Lopez de Cunha, deceased.
- Cooper v. Emery.
- Codrington v. Lord Foley.
- Cobbold v. Fisk.
- Cochran v. Fielder.
- Christian v. Foster, and Bunnett v. Foster. The account of the real estate.
- Christian v. Foster.
- Cooper v. Farrer. The £2,000 bond account.
- Champernowne v. Gulston.
- Charge v. Goodyer.
- Capel v. Girdler.
- Claridge v. Goodeve. The account of the testator's house and furniture in Portland-road.
- Colleton v. Garth. The account of the Right Honourable Reginald Pole Carew and Charlotte Jemima Morrell.
- Craufurd v. Viscount Gage. The account of the fund under the will of Margaret Gage.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of Augustus John Chapman, deceased. The share of Mary Ann Abbott, deceased.
- Ex parte the Cheltenham and Great Western Union Railway Company. The account of the trustees of William Staneby's Charity.
- Joseph Champion, Esq., a lunatic.
- In the matter of the estate of the Reverend Matthew Chester, late of Great Crosby, in the county of Lancaster, deceased, and Sturgis v. Richmond.
- Ex parte the Governor and Company of Chelsea Waterworks. The account of John Phillips.
- Mary Chettle, a lunatic.
- In the matter of the trusts estate of Robert Chipchase, deceased.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for Building Churches and George Jelf, Esq., of Great George-street, in the city of Westminster.
- Ex parte the Commissioners for Building Churches and Robert Henry Clive, and Robert Clive, Esq., his eldest son, the party entitled being tenant for life.
- Ex parte the Charing Cross Railway Company. The account of John Thomas Stratton, of 197, Tooley-street, Southwark.
- Ex parte the Charing Cross Railway Company. The account of Alice Jeays Margaret Williams and Matthew Coffey.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of George Chamberlain, deceased. The account of the share of Charles Collins, a nephew of the intestate.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Chubb, deceased. The account of Matthew Chubb, Elizabeth Chubb, and Sarah Chubb, nephew and nieces of the said George Chubb.
- Chew v. Hampson.
- Coard v. Holderness.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the trustees of William Hall's assignment, dated 31st January, 1837.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the defendants, Samuel Prout Hill and Louisa, his wife, formerly the plaintiff, Louisa Hall, Spinster.
- Colley v. Harbert.
- Carter v. Holford. The trust account of the defendant, Sir William Herne.
- Cracraft v. Hawkins.
- Clement v. Harris.
- Codner v. Hine.
- Crowther v. Hodgson, Crowther v. Crowther, and Crowther v. Crowther.
- Charlesworth v. Haigh. The income account.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the assignees of the plaintiff, Christopher Hall, he bankrupt.
- Cholerton v. Heming. The account of the plaintiffs, Thomas Rust and Anna Maria, his wife, formerly Anna Maria Hall, Spinster.
- Court v. Jeffery. The account of the unclaimed and lapsed legacies of the testator, Alice Short.
- Court v. Jeffery. The account of the legatee, Elizabeth Pester.
- Court v. Jeffery.
- Court v. Jeffery. The account of the legatee, Mary Williams, and her children.
- Collins v. Johnson. The account of Thomas Johnson Collins.
- Cundell v. Knowles.
- Collett v. Kirby.
- Cox v. King.
- In the matter of the trusts of the annuity of Agatha Clarke, otherwise Giacobbi, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Clark, late of the parish of Saint Bartholomew, Hyde, in the city of Winchester, Tailor, deceased, so far as relates to the share of Thomas Clark, one of the children of the testator's son, John Clark, therein named.
- In the matter of the Master or Keeper, Fellows, and Scholars of the College or Hall formerly called Clare Hall, in the University of Cambridge.
- In the matter of John Luke Clennell, a person of unsound mind, and in the matter of an Act of Parliament passed in the 8th and 9th years of Her present Majesty, chapter 100, intituled "An Act for the regulation of the care and treatment of lunatics."
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Clapham. The legacy of five hundred pounds to the children of John Clapham and Charles Clapham, subject to legacy duty.
- Ex parte the Clay Cross Waterworks Company. In the matter of the Clay Cross Waterworks Act, 1856.
- In the matter of the trusts of Clements' settlement. The share of Robert Percy Clements, deceased.
- Cobden v. Lucas. Ann Glover's account.
- Clifton v. Lombe, and Lombe v. Clifton.
- Cull v. Lloyd.
- Charlton v. Leicester. The plaintiff, Elizabeth Charlton the elder's, account.
- Cookson v. Lay.
- Cole v. Lyde. The account of the legacy of £100 bequeathed to the children of the late John Bliss and Ann, his wife.
- Charlie v. Lucadon. To answer the several claims mentioned in the 3rd schedule to the Master's Report, dated 22nd May, 1806.
- Cooper v. Layton, and Cooper v. Layton. The account of Robert Henry Cooper.
- Cox v. Longmore.
- Chamberlain v. Lee.
- Collinwood v. Larkins and others, subject to duty.
- Carvalho v. Levy.
- Currie v. Lewin.
- Chennell v. Martin. The contingent account of the defendant, Elizabeth Daykin, and her children.



- Crabbe v. Moxsy.
- Curtis v. Monkton. The account of Margaret Lloyd's annuity.
- Curtis v. Monkton. The account of the defendant George Hatter's annuity.
- Constable v. Morgan.
- Collins v. Morrell.
- Copland v. Martin.
- Chase v. Morris.
- Carpenter v. Middleton.
- Cook v. Maynard. The separate account of George Roberts.
- Cook v. Maynard. The separate account of Edward Roberts.
- Cockroft v. Nightingale.
- Cooke v. Northupp.
- Archbishop of Canterbury v. Nicholls.
- Champion v. North.
- Ex parte the Commercial Railway Company. The account of John Liddle and Mary, his wife.
- In the matter of the trusts of George Cowles and William Cowles.
- In the matter of the trust created by the will of William Cooper, late of Great Bowden, in the county of Leicester, Grazier, deceased.
- In the matter of the trust of the will of William Collins, late of Witney. The account of Elizabeth Sarah Smith, Spinster, a legatee.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. The account of the Lords of the Manor of Barton, in the Isle of Wight.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. Thellusson Enfranchisement, Wickham and Byng Manor.
- Ex parte the residuary devised estates of Anthony Compton, Esq., deceased.
- The account of Phillip Zechariah Cox, of Harwood Hall, in the county of Essex, Esq., and Robert Henry Bartholomew, of New Inn, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, as trustees under the will of Elizabeth Atkinson, late of Guildford-street, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, bearing date the 28th August, 1824, and of a certain indenture of nine parts, bearing date 13th March, 1838, and Ellen Atkinson, wife of William Atkinson, of 38, Upper Baker-street, New Road, in the said county of Middlesex, Esq., and the said William Atkinson, or other the person or persons entitled to the residue of a certain term and interest in certain premises described in the order of the London and Croydon Railway Company, dated the 11th March, 1839.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. Thellusson Enfranchisement, Wickham and Wickham Manor.
- Ex parte the Cocker-mouth, Keswick, and Penrith Railway Company. The account of the real estate of Sir Henry Wyndham, late of Cocker-mouth Castle, in the county of Cumberland, K.C.B., deceased, in respect of all those several pieces or parcels of land or ground and hereditaments, situate in the townships of Cocker-mouth and Embleton, in the parish of Brigham, in the county of Cumberland, containing by admeasurement three acres one rood and sixteen perches or thereabouts, distinguished by the Nos. 75, 77, 59, 79, 80, 81, 84, 85, 87, and 88, on the map or plan of the said railway and book of reference thereto deposited with the Clerk of the Peace for the said county of Cumberland.
- Ex parte the Cocker-mouth, Keswick, and Penrith Railway Company. The account of the Right Honourable George, Baron Leconfield.
- Ex parte the Colne Valley and Halstead Railway Company. In the matter of the Colne Valley and Halstead Railway Act, 1856, and the Colne Valley and Halstead Railway Extension Act, 1859.
- In the matter of the trusts of a settlement made by William Collyns, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Cook, late of Sutton-upon-Lound, in the county of Nottingham, Farmer, deceased.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. The account of the incorporated governors of the possessions, revenues, and goods of the Free Grammar School of King Edward the Sixth, at Bruton, in the county of Somerset.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Gattywood Collier, deceased. The account of the legacy of £600 Consols, bequeathed to Charles Amey Cook, and at his death to be divided between his sisters.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. Thellusson Enfranchisement, Howes, in Alderton Manor.
- In the matter of the verbal trust created by Harriet Cox, Widow, for the benefit of Samuel Tucker.
- Cokayne's estate, Hardy v. Wright. The account of John Cokayne, in the testator's will called James Cokayne.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Cockburn, late of Green-street, in the parish of Saint George, Hanover-square, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, deceased, so far as such trusts relate to the sum of £500 Bank £3 per cent. Annuities, part of £1000 like Annuities by the said will bequeathed to Mary Holewell for her life, and after her decease as therein mentioned.
- Ex parte the Copyhold Commissioners. The account of the moneys arising from the Manor of Rothamstead.
- Castle v. Owthwaite.
- Clarke v. Oliver.
- Chapman v. Oldner.
- Carter v. Owen.
- Clarke v. the Earl of Ormonde. The account of the bond and simple contract debts.
- Carter v. Peele.
- Croose v. Price. Thomas Fletcher's account.
- Carter v. Peele. The interest account.
- Collins v. Price. The account of Samuel Price and his children.
- Clay v. Pennington.
- Cottam v. Philipps.
- Cook v. Pendrey. The account of the share of Ellen Cramp, deceased.
- Cook v. Pendrey. The account of the share of the defendant Alfred Hind.
- In the matter of Crossley's trust.
- In the matter of an Act of Parliament made and passed in the 2nd and 3rd years of the reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria, intituled "An Act for dissolving the Croydon, Merstham, and Godstone Iron Railway Company." The unclaimed dividend account of the proprietors of the late Croydon, Merstham, and Godstone Iron Railway.
- In the matter of the trusts of the residue of the moneys arising under the trusts for sale contained in an indenture of appointment and release, dated the 23rd day of May, 1827, and between Thomas Croft and Elizabeth, his wife, of the first part, William Wilson of the second part, Ann Bellwood of the third part, and Charles Bellwood, Frederick Lucas, and James William Parker of the fourth part.
- Clare v. Rebbeck.
- Catt v. Ross. The account of the purchase money arising from sale of real estate of testator.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Crouch, deceased. The account of the residue of the testator's estate.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Crawhall, deceased, so far as regards the legacy of £5,000 given to Jane Walton for life. The account of the children of Barbara Farrar.

In the matter of the trusts created by an indenture of mortgage from Henry Cross to George Gray.

Edith Ann Crosley, an infant.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Walter Crocker, deceased. The account of the legacy of £50 bequeathed to Thomas Cornelius and her children.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Walter Crocker, deceased. The account of the legacy of £50 bequeathed to the children of Elizabeth Higgins.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Walter Crocker, deceased, the account of the legacy of £10 bequeathed to the daughter of Mary Husk.

Cochrane v. Robinson. The account of the plaintiff, James Dunlop and Marion, his wife.

Campbell v. Earl of Radnor. Richard Hutcheson, his wife and children, their account.

Chauncy v. Rees. The defendant, Charlotte Maria White and her children, their account.

Coke v. Robertson. The capital account.

Curtis v. Sheffield, and Curtis v. Sheffield. The account of Ann Wearborne, her personal representative.

Carruthers v. Stockley. Blackley, and Martha, his wife, their account.

Carruthers v. Stockley. The plaintiff, David Carruthers, and Letitia, his wife, their account.

Clarkston v. Earl of Scarborough.

Cholmondeley v. Stepney. The annuitants' account.

Cook v. Smith.

Casamajor v. Strode.

Castle v. Sanders. The account of Henry Castle, the annuitant.

Corfield v. Sutton.

Carter v. Taggart, Carter v. Adney, and Carter v. Feaver. The account of the five children of Maria Feaver.

Cockburn v. Thompson.

Constable v. Thorndyke.

Coney v. Tribe. The purchase moneys account.

Capper v. Terrington, and Capper v. Grace.

In the matter of the trusts of the family settlement of the late John Christian Curwen, so far as the same relate to a term of 1,000 years created thereby, and of the trusts of the bond of the 21st day of January, 1820.

In the matter of the trusts of the family settlement of the late John Christian Curwen, so far as the same relate to a term of 1,000 years created thereby, and of the trusts of the bond of the 21st day of January, 1820. The account of Grace Benson, wife of William Benson.

In the matter of the trusts of the family settlement of the late John Christian Curwen, so far as the same relate to a term of 1,000 years created thereby, and of the trusts of the bond of the 21st day of January, 1820. The account of Joseph Gulightly.

In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of James Cummins, deceased.

Cullum's estate. Cullum v. Cullum. Rent account of John Cadogan, deceased, and William Cadogan, and George Cadogan.

Crosthwaite v. Wood.

Clegg v. Whitley.

Clark v. Walpole. The account of George Ward Clark.

Crow v. Ward.

Chambers v. Whiteside. The separate account of the defendant, Frederica Clavering Lefevre, Widow of the late defendant, George William Lefevre.

Clutterbuck v. Wilkins.

Curtis v. Wilson, Ottley v. Morris, Ottley v. Gerrard, and Ottley v. Follett.

Cotgreave v. Walmsley.

Coote v. Wingfield.

Cook v. Weeley. Joseph Jefferson's deposit money.

Ex parte the undertaking of the Darent Railway Company, for making a railway from the North Kent Line of the South Eastern Railway at Dartford, in the county of Kent, to Farningham, in the said county.

John Davies' estate, 1858, D., 40. The legacy to Dermont Lewis, deceased.

William Henry Daly, a person of unsound mind.

In the matter of the trusts of the personal estate of Ann Dawes, deceased.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Dawson, deceased, so far as the same relate to the legacy thereby bequeathed to Joseph Darnbrough.

In the matter of the trust estate of John Davies, late of the city of Bristol, Dyer, deceased.

Dixon v. Alexander. The account of the annuitant, Sarah Dixon.

Dering v. Bentham. Ann Alley and Mary Turey, the annuitants' account.

Dumboyne (Baron of) v. Brander. The account of George Frederick Bloxam, or his assigns.

Dowding v. Bartley. William Barnes' legacy account.

Day v. Barnard. Eliza Scudamore, the annuitant's account.

Downing against Bell, and Lord Monkford against Downing.

Darnford v. Butler.

Davies v. Byron.

Duncan v. Blakeney.

Denyer v. Bettsworth.

Baron of Dunboyne v. Brander. The account of the children of Ann Bloxam, deceased, living at the testator's decease, their trustees or incumbrancers.

Davis v. Bennet, 1861, D., 13. The account of Elizabeth Milward, an infant.

Davis v. Bennet, 1861, D., 13. The account of William Horspool, an infant.

Davis v. Bennet. 1861, D., 13. The account of Frank Goodall, an infant.

Davis v. Bennet. 1861, D., 13. The account of Richard Bennet Smethurst, an infant.

Dunbar v. Boldero. The account of the legal personal representatives of Lillias Williamson, deceased.

Dorman v. Buckley. The account of the annuity of Anne Wilcox.

Baron of Dunboyne v. Brander. The account of principal of the testator's estate.

Baron of Dunboyne v. Brander. The account of income of the testator's estate.

Davis v. Cracroft. The defendant, Charles Watkins Cracroft, copyhold estate account.

Drummond v. Cook.

Dines v. Champion. Wilson v. Revett, and Wilson v. Revett.

Daniel v. Cross, and Daniel v. Edye.

Davies v. Cracroft. Debts of the testator, Walter Watkins, remaining unpaid.

Dawson v. Dawson, and Dawson v. Dawson.

Doctor v. Doctor. The account of Anne Susannah Doctor.

Downes v. Downes.

- Dyer v. Dyer.** The defendant, Margaret Broadway, the annuitant's account.
- Drapers' Company and others v. Davies and others.**
- Mary Ann Douglas, Spinster, and others v. Ann Douglas and others, and William Smith, Public Officer of the Bank of Manchester v. Edmund Weatherby, since deceased, and others.** The share of John Douglas in the assets of the firm of William Douglas and Company.
- Delgado v. Da Costa.**
- Dawkins v. Doveton.** Owen Bonnell's account.
- Dupuis v. Dupuis.** The account of the insurance mentioned in the Master's Report.
- Davies v. Davies.** 1858, D., 70.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the Irish estate of William, late Earl of Devon, deceased.**
- In the matter of the proceeds of derelict property brought into the port of Nassau, in New Providence, and sold for the benefit of the rightful owner when appearing, according to the Act 12th Anne, cap. 18, sec. 2.**
- Ex parte the Commissioners for inclosing the Forest of Delamere, in the county of Chester.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Charles Desming the elder, late of Sowe Waste, in the county of Warwick, Farmer, deceased.**
- In the matter of the trust estate of John Dedicot, deceased.** The share of William Dedicot.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacy given by the will of Francis Delahunt to Francis Hart, a person of unsound mind.**
- In the matter of the estate of Josiah Elias Denham.** Denham v. Denham.
- De Perrin v. Eastland.** The account of the plaintiff, Thomas Matheson.
- Duffield v. Elwes.** The legacy of John Morehen.
- Denning v. Elderton.** The account of Catherine Sutar.
- De Perrin v. Eastland.**
- Dare v. Edwards.**
- De Beaupin, v. Edlyn.**
- Durbin v. Esdale.**
- Deconnick v. Francia.**
- Anna Maria Daykeyne v. Charles Flint and others.**
- Downing v. Graves Beaupre Bell.**
- Doughty v. Greenhill.**
- Detillin v. Gate, Detillin v. Legg, and Detillin v. Peters.**
- Doody v. Higgins.** The legacy account of the representatives of the next of kin of John Stevenson.
- D'Aranda v. Head.** The account of the personal representative of Alleyne David Carter, deceased.
- D'Aranda v. Head.** The account of the defendant, Henry Harridge Carter, a bankrupt.
- Docker v. Homer.**
- Duntze v. Halliday.**
- Dyer v. Harris.** The proceeds of the sale of the real estates of the testator, William Dyer.
- Dinning v. Henderson.** The heir loom account.
- Doody v. Higgins.** The account of John Moreton, deceased, who was next of kin to Thomas Moreton, deceased.
- Doody v. Higgins.** The account of Sarah, the late wife of William Bozcott, deceased.
- Doody v. Higgins.** The account of Mary, the wife of William Bridgen, and the daughter of Mary Turner, deceased, who was one of the sisters of Thomas Croft, deceased.
- Denison v. Holmes.** The £6,000 legacy account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ralph Quedsted Dimsey, deceased.** The account of Eliza Agnes Merritt, one of the residuary legatees and next of kin of the said testator.
- Durant v. Jewell.** The account of the testator's freehold and leasehold hereditaments, fifthly devised.
- Dolland v. Johnson.** The account of costs.
- Duesbury v. Kean.**
- Dick v. Lushington.** The account of the servants of the testator, James Ellis, in India.
- Dowle v. Lucy.** The account of John Philip Jenkins.
- Dowle v. Lucy.** The account of John Ireland Jenkins.
- Dowle v. Lucy.** The account of Elizabeth Jowatt, daughter of the testator's daughter, Charlotte Nind, deceased.
- Durnford v. Lane.**
- Dixon v. Langhorn.** The account of Robert Owen and James Henry Dixon, unpaid creditors of Edward Dixon, the intestate.
- Dixon v. Langhorn.** The account of James Henry Dixon, debt for administration expenses.
- Derings, infants, v. Lambard.**
- Dashwood v. Latter.**
- Downes v. Moore.**
- Drever v. Mawdesley.** The timber account.
- Drever v. Mawdesley.** The one hundred years term account.
- Daniel v. Manning.**
- Devaynes v. Noble, Baring v. Noble, Devaynes v. Noble, and Baring v. Noble.**
- James Donnithorne, late of the city of Hereford, Esq.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Dodgson, deceased.** The account of John Fawcett's share of residue.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Dodgson, deceased.** The account of Jonathan Fawcett's share of the residue.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Downing, deceased.** The account of the bequest to answer a life annuity of thirty pounds for the testator's son, James Downing, also deceased.
- Ex parte a projected undertaking for better supplying with water the town and parish of Dorking, in the county of Surrey, and for other purposes.**
- Martha Dorricott, an infant legatee.**
- Davies v. Ogden.** The account of the estate of William Ogden Davies.
- Davies v. Ogden.** The account of the share of Sophia Frances D'Arley Davies.
- Ex parte, the Dorset Central Railway Company.** The account of George William Baber.
- Davies v. Orr.** Subject to duty.
- Duncan v. Payne.**
- Dickenson v. Pickering.** Francis Byrd's personal estate.
- Dallas v. Powell.** The settlement account of Susannah Powell.
- Daubuz v. Peel, Daubuz v. Crosbie.**
- Duke v. Pretty.**
- Richard Edward Erle Drax, Esq., a lunatic.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Drewery, late of Marsh Side, in Worthington, in the county of Cumberland, Gentleman, deceased.** Ex parte John Drewery, one of the residuary legatees of the said John Drewery, deceased.
- In the matter of Draper's Trust.**
- In the matter of the trust account of the residuary estate of William Drakeley, the proceeds of the accumulations of rents of John Drakeley's real estate.** The account of William Drakeley, or his assignees, free of duty.
- In the matter of the trust account of the residuary estate of William Drakeley.** The proceeds of the accumulations of rents of John Drakeley's

- real estate. The account of John Drakeley, a person of unsound mind, free of duty.
- In the matter of the estate of John Draper, deceased, and Gowing v. Goodcheap. The account of the one-sixth share of — Fleming in the residuary personal estate of John Draper, the testator.
- Drummond v. Ridge.
- Downing v. Richardson.
- Dickinson v. Rustridge.
- Dawkins v. Rose.
- Downes v. Smith.
- Dawson v. Stone. The account of the personal estate of Walter Weldon, deceased.
- Davidson v. Tuthill. The contingent legacy account of Davidson McFarlan.
- Dickinson v. Todd.
- Douce v. Viscountess Torrington. The personal estate of the testator, Lord Viscount Torrington.
- Ex parte the Durham Markets Company, and in the matter of the Durham Markets Company's Act, 1851.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Dunkley.
- In the matter of the estate of John Dunn, late of the parish of Lambourn, in the county of Berks, deceased. Bailey v. Davis.
- In the matter of the trust of Robert Dudley.
- In the matter of the trust of the residuary bequest contained in the will of Robert Dugdale, deceased, bearing date 28th December, 1848.
- In the matter of the trusts of the respective shares and interests of John Alexander Dutton and George Hill Dutton under the will of Mary Dutton, deceased.
- Ex parte the Durham Junction Railway Company.
- Delmedico v. Valle.
- Dodd v. Wynne.
- Down v. Wright.
- Down v. Worrall. Jane Sanders, Widow, her account.
- Dunderdale v. Wells.
- Davies v. Williams.
- Dickie v. Walker.
- Ducomick v. Ward.
- Dudley v. Warner. The personal estate.
- Donn v. Watson.
- Ex parte the Right Honourable George Talbot Rice, Baron Dynevor, as tenant for life, and the Honourable George Rice Rice Trevor (son of the said Baron), as tenant in tail of and in one undivided moiety or half-part or other share of and in the lands hereinafter mentioned, and of John Matthew Richards, Esq., late of Cardiff, in the county of Glamorgan, but at present residing in Germany, or elsewhere out of England, or other the parties interested in certain land in the parish of Merthyr Tydfil, in the said county of Glamorgan, abutting on the River Talf, and referred to by the No. 132 in the plan and book of reference deposited with the Clerk of the Peace of the said county.
- Frederica Maria Meredith Dyce, an infant legatee.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. the account of the trustees of the will of Henry Headly, deceased.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties and London and Blackwall Railway Companies. In the matter of the London, Tilbury, and Southend Extension Railway Act, 1852, and the London, Tilbury, and Southend Railway Deviation Amendment Act, 1854. The account of the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods and Forests and Land Revenues. Lady Oliver Bernard Sparrow and William Hilton.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties and London and Blackwall Railway Companies. The account of James Clift, of 30, Bloomsbury-square, Middlesex, Esq., as the person in possession, and of other the persons interested in a freehold cottage, garden, and outbuildings, in Barking, Essex, containing together by admeasurement one rood, and described in the parliamentary plan and book of reference deposited with the Clerk of the Peace for the county of Essex, in relation to the said Act, by the No. 16, in Barking aforesaid, being the purchase money and compensation agreed to be paid in respect thereof.
- Ex parte the East Lancashire Railway Company. The account of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Preston, in the county of Lancaster, John Whiteside, of Martin, near Blackpool, in the said county of Lancaster, Farmer, and John Wise, of Preston aforesaid, Nurseryman.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. In the matter of the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the East and West India Docks and Birmingham Junction Railway Company. The estate of Walter Gray, deceased.
- Ex parte the East Kent Railway Company. The account of James Temple, of St. Margaret's-at-Oliffe, in the county of Kent, Schoolmaster, and Henry Temple, of the same place, a Commander in the Royal Navy, the trustees of the late John Whitehead, Esq., deceased, and his Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury.
- Ex parte the East Kent Railway Company. The account of Susannah Spilsbury and others, the parties interested.
- Eastern Union Railway Company v. Long.
- Ex parte the East London Waterworks Company. In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Daniel Chassereau.
- Eaton's Estate, 1856, E., 30. The account of Elizabeth Green, and the nephews and nieces of Robert Eaton, the testator in these causes named, living at the date of the will of the said testator.
- Ex parte the Eastern Counties Railway Company. In the matter of the Eastern Counties Railway Stations Enlargement Act, 1846. The account of Richard Barnes the surviving trustee and executor of James Manning Westley, deceased, the purchase money of No. 46, Wheeler-street.
- Ex parte the East Lincolnshire Railway Company. In the matter of the East Lincolnshire Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the East Anglian Railways Company. In the matter of the East Anglian Railways Act, 1847.
- English v. Blutworth.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture dated the 5th day of March, 1852, and made between Thomas Ebborn, of the one part, and Elizabeth Smith, Ralph Smith, since deceased, Charles Waghorn, and Frederick Normansell, of the other part.
- Edmonds v. Bree.
- Essex v. Clement and Shaw v. Clement. The account of Mary Clarke, Spinster, subject to legacy duty.
- John Taylor Edgell, an infant legatee.
- Caroline Edwards v. Thomas Edwards. The account of William Edwards.
- Eddes v. Benton. The testator's reversionary account.
- Edwards v. Crichton. Personalty subject to duty.
- Esling v. Dixon. Proceeds of the sale of the testator's real estates.

- Elmslie v. Dunlop and wife (late Ogilvie). The personal estate of John Ogilvie.
- Ely v. Ely. The account of the plaintiff, Mary Ann Ely, for life, free from legacy duty.
- Evans v. Evans, and Evans v. Morgan.
- Evans v. Evans, and Evans v. Morgan, proceeds of Nantycroy.
- Ede v. Edmunds, and Edmunds v. Edmunds, the share of Edwin Edmunds.
- Evans v. Goode. The account of George William Houghton.
- Elderfield v. Goodall. The account of Richard Symons Goodall the younger.
- Edwards v. Geeve.
- Elton v. Glover.
- Eden v. Gelston. The plaintiff, Robert Eden, the infant's account.
- English v. Hendrick.
- Elliott v. Halmarack. The account of Jean Stalker and John Stalker.
- Evans v. Haigh. Security for costs account.
- Emerton v. Halfpenny.
- Eyre v. Jenkins, and Eyre v. Jones. The account of the share of the defendant, Martha Dunnell.
- Eyre v. Jenkins, and Eyre v. Jones. The account of the share of the said Margaret Avis, deceased, payable to her personal representative.
- Eaton v. Joy. The account of Anne Eaton, Widow, and her nine children.
- Evans v. Kyffin.
- John White Elliott, the infant.
- Ellington v. Learmouth. The account of Jessey, otherwise Janet Livingston, deceased.
- Everesden v. Lepla.
- Joseph Emmott and Clara Emmott, infant legatees.
- Ellis v. Nicholas, and Nicholas v. Southwell. In Master Burrough's Office.
- Edes v. Rose. The account of — Brooks, son of Jane Brooks.
- Edwards v. Raynor.
- Ellerton v. Stockdale.
- Eyre v. Turton.
- Everett v. Thurlow.
- Everett v. Thurlow. Ex parte the purchasers of the estate late of the Honourable Mary Lyon, deceased.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estate of Sir Henry Every, Bart.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of David Evans, deceased. The shares of the children of the testator's deceased daughter other than Eliza De Bretton in the residue of the testator's estate. The account of the personal representatives of Margaret Evans Page, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of David Evans, deceased. The shares of the children of the testator's deceased daughter other than Eliza De Bretton in the residue of the testator's estate. The account of the personal representatives of David Walker, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of David Evans, deceased. The shares of the children of the testator's deceased daughter other than Eliza De Bretton in the residue of the testator's estate. The account of the personal representatives of Alexander Walker, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of David Evans, deceased. The shares of the children of the testator's deceased daughter other than Eliza De Bretton in the residue of the testator's estate. The account of the personal representatives of William Clifton Walker, deceased.
- Eyre v. Wake. The account of Clementina Eyre, deceased.
- Evans v. Warner.
- Elliott v. Williams.
- Ellis v. Weare.
- Everidge v. Wood.
- Everett v. Williams.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture, dated the 23rd November, 1847, and made between John Harper Evanson, of the first part, Thomas Barlow, of the second part, and William Lee Brookes, of the third part.
- Fenwick v. Annesley.
- Fereday v. Adam.
- Fox v. Earl Amherst. The fixtures account.
- Farrimond v. Baron.
- Finley v. Basden. The account of the infant plaintiff, Mary Ann Finley.
- Ferrow v. Bowman. The plaintiff, Walter Macowat, and Agnes, his wife, their account.
- Farrar v. Bennett.
- Friend v. Bishop.
- Franks v. Barber.
- Fairburn v. Bluit. William Tipping, his wife, and five children, their account.
- Fiske v. Bond, 1872, F., 115.
- Freme v. Brade, and Barrance v. Brade, and in the matter of the estate of Richard Paul Hase Jodrell, deceased.
- Freeston v. Clayton.
- Fortnom v. Corral. The account of Richard Corral.
- Fradgley v. Campbell. The account of the defendant, Jeremiah Read.
- Farquharson v. Colville, Lady Elizabeth. The annuitant's account.
- Fournier v. Edwards.
- Fenn v. Emerson.
- Julia Ferrier, absent beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Fernyhough, deceased. The account of the legacy of Henry Forster.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Fernyhough, deceased. The account of the legacy of James Forster.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of John Fearn, an intestate, deceased, so far as respects a moiety of the residue of his estate. The account of Joseph Abel, if he was living on the 2nd of April, 1862.
- Faulkner v. Fletcher. The annuitant's account.
- Fay v. Fullarton.
- Fowler v. Foot.
- Frankland v. Frankland.
- Forster v. Fossick.
- Franklin v. Firth.
- Fletcher v. Fletcher.
- Fielder v. Flight.
- Filby v. Filby. The claim in respect of the personal estate of Harriet Codd, deceased, subject to duty.
- Ford v. Ford, Ford v. Blackham, Ford v. Ford, and Ford v. Blackham. The defendant, Ann Blackham's, settlement, subject to duty.
- Fourdrin v. Gowdy. The account of the legacy of Mary Vollum.
- Forsyth v. Grant. The account of William Grant, of Demerara.
- Frackleton v. Grubb.
- Felix v. Gwynne, and Felix v. Arden.
- Fosberry v. Garner.
- Fownes v. Hunt.
- Flower v. Haydon.
- Fraser v. Hartwell.
- Ann Fidler, Spinster, a lunatic.
- Forman v. Harvey. Costs account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the last will and testament and codicil of James Fitzpatrick, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Ffinch, Spinster, deceased. The account of the

- legacy of £100 £3 per cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities bequeathed to the infant, Annette Finch Carpenter.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of assignment for the benefit of the creditors of the late Honourable and Rev. Edward Finch, deceased, dated 15th day of June, 1819.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacies bequeathed by the will of Frances Swaile Fitzgerald, deceased, the widow, of Thomas Fitzgerald.
- Fullbrook v. Ilbrey. The account of the defendant, Edmund Ilbrey, an infant.
- Foulkes v. Jones.  
Joseph Edyvean Flamank, a person of unsound mind. Proceeds of real estate devised by the will of William Flamank.
- Flockton v. Lee.
- Fox v. Lloyd.
- In the matter of the trust fund under the declaration of trust of Mrs. Sarah Flucks, deceased. The share of the trust fund originally given to Jane Walkingham, now Jane Taylor, for her life, subject to succession duty.
- Fowler v. Miall.  
Fowler v. Miall. The Duke of Richmond's rent account.
- Farrar v. Minshull, Farrar v. Birch, and Farrar v. Edwards.
- Forth v. Morland.
- Faldes v. Moody. Rents and profits.
- Farnell v. Nicholls. The annuitant's account.
- Fletcher v. Northcote.
- Fielding v. Nutting.
- Ex parte an undertaking to extend the line for the completion of the Forest of Dean Central Railway, and for other purposes.
- John Forrest, beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the one-seventh share of Margaretta Phene of the personal estate of Henry Forsyth, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of the estate of William Foxwell, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of Louisa Foster, deceased. The Treasurer of the London Infirmary.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of Louisa Foster, deceased. The Treasurer of the Charter House-square Charity.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estates of Sir William Foulis, deceased.
- Fryer v. Parnell. The account of Henel Alman and her children, in respect of the testator's bond to Moss Hain Botibol and Esther, his wife, late Esther Alman, Spinster.
- Forrester v. Perry. The account of rents and profits.
- Fox v. Parks.
- Foone v. Pinckard.
- Sarah Frankland, a person of unsound mind, under the Act of 8th and 9th Victoria, cap. 100.
- Sophia France, an infant legatee.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Frost, deceased, so far as regards Richard Beardsley, the son of Betty Beardsley, deceased.
- Frewin's Estate, and Frewin v. Higgs. The account of Henry Frewin.
- Freer v. Rimmer.
- French v. Slade.
- Fabling v. Stanger.
- Farmer v. Sleight.
- Finch v. Squire.
- The Furness Railway Company. The account of George Shaw Petty, of Ulverstone, in the county palatine of Lancaster, Esq., George Mason, of Ashlark Hall, in the said county, Gentleman, and John Slater, of Hawkshead, in the county of Westmorland.
- In the matter of the trusts of certain moneys held by the Reverend James George Currey Fussell, in trust for the benefit of the wife of children of George Morgan, a bankrupt.
- The Furness Railway Company. In the matter of the Furness Railway Extensions Act, 1846. The devisees of Ann Kilner.
- The Furness Railway Company. In the matter of the Furness Railway Extensions Act, 1846. The children of the late Thomas Park.
- Friday v. Walker. The account of the personal representatives of the late defendant, Benjamin Walker.
- Friday v. Walker. The account of the personal estate.
- Farr v. Watts, Farr v. Watts, Farr v. Watts, and Farr v. Farr. The account of the plaintiff, Amelia Kesiah Farr, and the assignees of George Farr.
- Frogatt v. Wardell. The account of the rents and profits of the real estate of the testator, John Atkinson Wardell.
- Fox v. Wright. The fixtures account.
- Fox v. Wright. The furniture account.
- Frogatt v. Woodrow, 1855, F., 56.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacy of £600 given by the will of Alesmo Gamboa, deceased, to the heirs of his late partner, Henry Brooke. The account of the children of Mary Ann Cave, deceased, living at the time of the decease of the said testator or their legal personal representatives,
- James Tynte Agg Gardner, an infant legatee.
- Gillespie v. Alexander. The plaintiff, the annuitants' account.
- Gillespie v. Alexander. Four and Leary's account.
- Goren v. Atkinson the elder and others.
- Gwynne v. Adams.
- Gaslee v. Barnes. The account of the defendant, Sarah Harben, Widow, and her children and others.
- Gleddon v. Baltus. The account of legacy of £400 to all the children of Christiana Gleddon, who should be living at her decease, equally as tenants, in common with benefit of survivorship.
- Gillott v. Beakley. The account of Samuel Harrison's legacy.
- Going v. Burton. The settlement account of the plaintiff, Gilbert Maturin, and his wife.
- Graham v. Buddle.
- Green v. Birkett. In Master Godfrey's office.
- Gray v. Boyes.
- Gurden v. Badcock. The creditors under the indenture of the 22nd day of September, 1791.
- Gurden v. Badcock. £1,000 charge account.
- Gurden v. Badcock. The compensation creditors.
- Grantham v. Cheshyre.
- Gale v. Crofts.
- Gwaves v. Cooke.
- Grosvenor v. Cooke, and Pargiter v. Cooke.
- Gabbit v. Croasdaile.
- Garrick v. Earl Camden, Eva Maria Garrick's, the annuitant's, account.
- Gilbanks v. Cox.
- Garnons v. Clerke. The separate account of the legal personal representative of Gwen Evans.
- Gwynne v. Clutton. The account of Samuel Gwynne's children.
- Greenhill v. Chauncey, and Chauncey v. Greenhill.
- Gratrix v. Chambers.
- Gough v. Davies. The account of the will of the late defendant, Caroline Amelia Davies, subject to duty.

- Guyver v. Drew.  
 Garland v. Ellis.  
 Garland v. Ellis. William Atkinson's trust account.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Humphreys Genery, deceased. The legacy account of Edward John Genery, one of the children of Edward Genery, the late brother of the said testator, William Humphreys Genery, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trust by the surplus money produced by the sale of hereditaments sold by the mortgagees of Mr. Enoch Gerrard.  
 Gwynne v. Edwards.  
 Gray v. Edwards.  
 Griffith v. Fynmore. The account of John Morse and William Campbell, and the executor of the testator.  
 Green v. Featley. The account of Mary Ann Green, deceased.  
 Greenwell v. Greenwell. The account of George Corton.  
 Gallini v. Gallini. The account of the plaintiff, John Andrew Gallini.  
 Gregor v. Gregor. Sarah Price's account.  
 Gregor v. Gregor. Elizabeth Whitford's account.  
 Gregor v. Gregor. Jane Williams' account.  
 Greenslade v. Greenslade.  
 Gayer v. Gayer.  
 Giles v. Giles. The Prince Style Estates' account.  
 Gorges v. Gorges.  
 Georges v. Georges, Georges v. Elliott, Georges v. McLachlan, and Georges v. Johnstone. The account of the representative of Thomas Trelove, a deceased creditor.  
 Gosling v. Gosling, 1861, G., 61. Income of real estate of Bennet Gosling.  
 Gough v. Gough.  
 Gompertz v. Gompertz. The share of Barnard Cohen, a bankrupt, deceased.  
 Graham v. Graham, 1859, G., 84.  
 Garnett v. Haselar.  
 Goodwin v. Hadley.  
 Gray v. Hulbert.  
 Gaskell v. Holmes, Gaskell v. Brain, Gaskell v. Medley, Gaskell v. Rogerson, Gaskell v. Smith, and Gaskell v. Holmes. The account of the daughters of the late defendant, Ellen Smallshaw, and their children.  
 Gibbins v. Howell.  
 Gibbins v. Howell. Unclaimed apportionments.  
 In the matter of the trusts of an indenture dated the 27th day of April, 1836, made between William Gibson and David Aitken, and of an indenture of the 1st November, 1838, made between William Gibson and John Richard Cook and Robert Cook. The share of William Gibson.  
 Alfred Godby Napier Gibbs, an infant legatee.  
 Gibbons v. Hopper. The account of the share of John Hames (a convict) and his children.  
 Gill v. Jones.  
 Gibbons v. Jones. The account of John Leighton, deceased.  
 Gresley v. Jones. The personal estate account.  
 Alice Amelia Glass, an infant legatee.  
 Griffiths v. Jay.  
 Gray v. Lubbock, and Gray v. Nash.  
 Gregory v. Lockyer. The account of Charles Gregory, Merope Gregory, and Mary Bishop.  
 Gregory v. Lockyer. The account of John and Susan Farley, and John Farley, their son.  
 Gladwell v. Little.  
 Godkin v. Murphy, and Godkin v. Macdonald.  
 Galloway v. Mackintosh.  
 Gibson, otherwise Sheppard, v. Lord Montford.  
 The account of Elizabeth Gladwin, her assignees or representatives.  
 Gibson, otherwise Sheppard, v. Lord Montford. The account of Francis Gladwin, his assignees or representatives.  
 Gregory v. Neale.  
 Grant v. Novosielski.  
 Greene v. Norton. The account of the defendant, Georgiana Spencer Seaman, and her incumbancers.  
 Garratt v. Niblock. The account of the defendant, Richard Garratt.  
 Gandy v. Nicholls.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the wills of William Goodman and John Goodman. The shares of Frances Colley Porter under the trusts of the wills of William Goodman and John Goodman, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the wills of William Goodman and John Goodman, and in the matter of the personal estate and effects of Henry Porter, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the wills of Sarah Gollodge and Ruth Newbery, both deceased.  
 Greenwood v. Penny, and Boyle v. Penny.  
 Gaches v. Palmer. The account of the real and leasehold estates.  
 Gratton v. Pyne. The separate account of Elizabeth Smith, of New Orleans, in America, sister of testatrix's former husband, Jonathan Cooper, deceased.  
 Gratton v. Pyne. The account of Ann Smith, of New Orleans, in America, sister of testatrix's former husband, Jonathan Cooper, deceased.  
 Gratton v. Pyne. The separate account of Hannah Cooper (alleged to be the wife of — Lynch) sister of testator's former husband, Jonathan Cooper, deceased.  
 Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company.  
 The account of the Midland Railway Company.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 The account of the trustees of Jeffrey White's Charity, at Maidenhead, Berks.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 In the matter of the Great Western Railway, Slough and Windsor Act, 1848.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 The account of the Vicar of the vicarage and parish church at Bray, in the county of Berks.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 The account of Mary Woolton, Elizabeth Bond, and Ann Heiron.  
 Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company.  
 In the matter of the Great Western Railway Branches Act, 1853.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Margaret Ann Griffith, deceased.  
 The account of Thomas Grundy, Gentleman, the person interested in two pieces of land situate and being in the township of Swannington, in the county of Leicester, lying in a close called the Rye Head Close, containing respectively, about 17 perches and about 1 rood 34 perches.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Margaret Ann Griffith, deceased. The contingent account of George Macklin Helsham, an infant.  
 Ex parte George Graves, a lunatic.  
 The Right Honourable Earl Grosvenor and others.  
 Ex parte Charles Grinstead, John Lanham, and Richard Grinstead.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the personal estate and effects of and under the will of Elizabeth Griffith, late of the city of Chester, Spinster, deceased.



- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Foster Groom, deceased. The legacy account of the children of his son, James Charles Groom, and Elizabeth, his wife, who were living at the time of the decease of the testator.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Graves. The account of the legacy to the children of Fanny Votey.
- In the matter of the trusts of a legacy given to the trustees of the Birmingham Infirmary by the will of Henry Gray.
- In the matter of the trusts of William Gramolt's will. The account of Alfred Robins, subject to duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Pauline Anne Green, Widow, deceased. The account of Emily Augusta Bathilda Hornsman, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Margaret Ann Griffith, deceased. The account of Timothy Mahoney or his next of kin.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of Thomas Curtis and William Lumb, both of Harby, in the county of Nottingham, Surveyors.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Joseph Kempe's Charity.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The trustees of the estates of the Hitchin Free School.
- Ex parte the Great North of England and Richmond Railway Company. In the matter of the Great North of England and Richmond Railway Act, 1845. The account of the settled estates of Lord Tyrconnel.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of the Dean of the Cathedral Church of the Blessed Virgin Mary of Lincoln, in the county of the same name, and the Chapter of the same Church, or lease to Joseph Chappell.
- Ex parte the Great Eastern Railway Company. In the matter of the Great Eastern Railway Act, 1862. The Epping Railways Act, 1859, and the Eastern Counties Railway (Epping Lines) Act, 1862. The account of Robert Debenham, Esq.
- Ex parte the Great Eastern Railway Company. The account of the Churchwardens of the parish of Lavenham, in the county of Suffolk.
- Ex parte the Great Eastern Railway Company. The account of Edmund Round, Barrister-at-Law, and the Reverend Duncan Fraser, Clerk.
- Ex parte the Great Eastern Railway Company. The account of the trustees for the time being of Mary Barnes' Charity, at Stoke, near Clare.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. In the matter of the Great Western Railway (Leamington Line) Act, 1848. The account of Lord and Jane, Lady Guernsey, and the trustees of their settlement.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. The account of Hubert de Burgh and Lord Bishop of Worcester.
- Ex parte a projected undertaking for enabling the Great Western Railway Company to construct a railway from their Birmingham and Dudley Line, in the parish of West Bromwich, to the South Staffordshire Railway, in the parish of Tipton, in the county of Stafford, and for other purposes.
- Ex parte a projected undertaking for conferring further powers on the Great Western Railway Company for the construction of works and the acquisition of lands and otherwise in relation to their own undertaking and the undertaking of other Companies and persons, and for other purposes.
- Ex parte the Great Western Railway Company. In the matter of the Oxford and Rugby Railway Act, 1845.
- Ex parte the Great Northern Railway Company. The account of Nathaniel Stainton, John Stainton, and Thomas Stainton.
- Green v. Robinson.
- Garforth v. Robinson.
- Guthrie v. Selby. John Young's legacy account.
- Govey v. Story. Account of the personal estate of Richard Govey the elder.
- Goslett v. Sweet.
- Goldie v. Strachan.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of Francis Newton and Thomas Gordon.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of John Cunningham.
- Gordon v. Smith. The estate of Thomas King, deceased.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of Robert Brown.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of the estate of James Buchanan.
- Gordon v. Smith. The account of William Dunlop, assignee of William Brown.
- Gover v. Stilwell.
- Green v. Twyford.
- Gordon v. Trail.
- Greenwood v. Taylor. The dividend account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Anne Gurney, Widow, deceased. Ex parte the share of residue of George Lidiard.
- Garrod v. Whiting.
- Galland v. Watson.
- Gait v. Wainwright.
- Geary v. Warde. The settlement account of the Reverend William Geary and Harriet Amelia, his wife.
- George v. Wilkinson. The account of David Edward Jones, the assignee of Henry Stocks George, subject to duty.
- Gregory v. Westmoreland. The account of the proceeds of the sale of the testator's real estates.
- In the matter of the trust, Hallett's real settlement account. The share of George Wyndham Hughes Hallett.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Joseph Harrington, in the parish of St. George, Bloomsbury, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, deceased.
- The account of the person or persons entitled to certain lands, being a certain messuage and premises, situate, lying, and being in St. John's-court, Backchurch-lane, in the parish of St. George-in-the-East, in the county of Middlesex, and agreed to be sold to the Commercial Railway Company by Mr. William Hay.
- Ex parte Thomas Hale, or other the heir-at-law of Henry Long Hale.
- In the matter of the trusts of the codicil to the will of Eleanor Hamond, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Charles Harman, deceased. The account of Harriet Augusta Harman, the wife of the said Charles Harman, and her appointees, or other the person or persons interested under her marriage settlement in default of appointment.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Hands. The legacy of Charles Hands.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Hawet, deceased. The account of the shares of Daniel St. Leger and Jane St. Leger, being two twenty-third parts of £1,705 9s. 6d.

- In the matter of the trust of the will of Sarah Haywood, formerly of Mansfield, in the county of Nottingham, deceased.
- In the matter of the estate of James Harris, deceased. The account of George John Dix Harris, Thomas Perkins Harris, and Mary Ann Collins Harris, children of George Edwin Harris, as tenants in common.
- In the matter of the estate of James Harris, deceased. The account of Susan Eliza Harris, James Nelson Harris, the grandson, George Edwin Harris the younger, and Richard Moore Harris, children of James Nelson Harris, the son, as tenants in common.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Anne Eliza Hamilton, Widow, deceased. The share of Harry Rogers in the estate of Anne Eliza Hamilton, Widow, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Haslam, deceased, so far as the same relate to the bequests to Julia Haslam.
- The estate of Jonathan Harding, deceased, and Read v. Harding. The share of the children of James Harding, deceased.
- In the matter of Raphael Harris's trust.
- In the matter of the trust estate of Thomas Harris the elder, late of Netherseal, in the county of Leicester, Miller, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of Shilston Calmady Hamlyn's mortgage.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Charles Hazard, deceased. The account of the legacy of the Benevolent Society of Saint James, in town of Nottingham.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Benjamin Hansford, of Wincanton, Somerset, Gentleman, deceased. The share of Lydia Chew, deceased, of the estate of Benjamin Hansford, deceased.
- Haynes v. Ash. The account of Thomas Williams' appointment.
- Hatton v. Attorney General. The account of Paul Gotobed and Peggy, his wife, subject to duty.
- Hunter v. Andrews. Seraphina Douclere, the annuitant's account.
- Harris v. Barnes. William Watson's account.
- Harris v. Barnes. Thomas Davis's account, in Master Montague's office.
- Hawker v. Baker.
- Hudson v. Baker.
- Harnage v. Bellingham.
- Harvest v. Bicknell.
- Haines v. Bucher. In Master Eld's office.
- Harford v. Browning. In Master Pechell's office.
- Harding v. Britten.
- Holford v. Barber.
- Hammond v. Birnie.
- Haydon v. Bonsey. The account of the personal estate.
- Harbin v. Barker. Account of money paid into Court subsequent to report of 20th July, 1813.
- Hounsfield v. Brown.
- Hopcraft v. Brooke. The account of Joseph Thomas Fulham, a lunatic.
- Hodgson v. Crook.
- Hull v. Cage.
- Hall v. Crawford.
- Horne v. Clarke. In Master Ord's office.
- Hamby v. Crowe. Thomas Hamby's account.
- Hayes v. Collins.
- Horsnail v. Cowper.
- Henderson v. Constable.
- Holmes v. Crispe. The account of John Crispe, son of William Crispe, of Loose.
- Howarth v. Cudworth. The account of John Hardman, convicted of felony, son of James Hardman, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Mary Wilson, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Robert Barnes the younger, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Elizabeth Batie, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Jane Scott, the wife of John Scott.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Esther Coulthard, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Elizabeth, otherwise Betty, Stalker, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Mary Rogerson, deceased.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of Isabella Pollock, deceased.
- Harrison v. Cole. The purchaser's indemnity account.
- Hotchkin v. Cutler. The account of William Stalker.
- Harvey v. Clarke.
- Hodgson v. Clark. The real estate account.
- Hulkes v. Day.
- Humphrey v. Davidson, Page v. Humphrey, and Page v. Skinner. The account of the legacy of Charlotte Greenway.
- Hookes v. Dyer. In Master Eld's office.
- Hunt v. Dickenson.
- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of John Manning.
- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of Charles Manning.
- Joseph Heming, he being absent beyond seas. The account of Jane, the wife of George Manning, for her separate use.
- Ex parte the Herne Bay, Hampton, and Reculver Oyster Fishery Company. The account of the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, and Land Revenues, and the Ecclesiastical Commissioners of England and Wales.
- The Company of Proprietors of the Herefordshire and Gloucestershire Canal Navigation. Ex parte the Rector and Incumbent of Pixley, in the county of Hereford, for the time being.
- In the matter of the trusts of a deed dated the 26th day of May, 1825, executed by George Henning, Doctor of Physic, and Sophia, his wife, both since deceased.
- In the matter of the estate of Henry Hemsley, late of Great Ealing, in the county of Middlesex, Esquire, deceased. Bostock v. Wildbore. The account of Henry James Lloyd, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of Nancy Hey's mortgaged estate.
- In the matter of certain trusts for the benefit of the appointees by will or next of kin of William Henderson, deceased, or others entitled. The account of the legal personal representative of Sophia Hammond, late Sophia Henderson, deceased, subject to duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Hesketh, late of Preston, in the county of Lancaster, Widow, deceased, so far as the same relate to a sum of £300 bequeathed to Richard Formby, in trust as therein mentioned.
- Hewitt v. Ellis.
- Hinton v. Eddowes. In Master Allen's office.
- Hall v. Ellins.
- Hance v. Esdaille.
- Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy of John Owtram.
- Hoyland v. Fardell. To answer the legacy to Francis Heartley.
- Horsley v. Fawcett.
- Hunt v. Franke.
- Howes v. Francis.
- Hall v. Grey.

- Hawksley v. Gowan.  
 Haly v. Goodson.  
 Hughes v. Goulburn.  
 Hutchison v. Goforth.  
 Hudson v. Garstin.  
 Hutton v. Gardner. The timber account.  
 Hooper v. Goodwin. The general account of the personal estate of the testator.  
 Howe v. Grey, 1864, H., 216. Security for costs.  
 Hays v. Hays.  
 Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office.  
 Harvey v. Harvey. In Master Farrer's office. The account of Mary Collier, or her children.  
 Harrison v. Harrison. In Master Cross's office.  
 Harrison v. Harrison, and Lovell v. Harrison. The account of the petitioners.  
 Hibbert v. Hibbert. The legacy account of the testator's children.  
 Hill v. Hill. The account of the real estate.  
 Hawkins v. Hards.  
 Harvey v. Harvey. The real estate.  
 Harding v. Harding. The account of the defendant, Samuel Harding, the infant.  
 Harmer v. Harris. The account of Elizabeth Woodhouse.  
 Hayes v. Hare.  
 Hill v. Hanbury.  
 Hunt v. Hunt. The encumbered estates.  
 Horton v. Horton. The account of the testator's personal estate.  
 Hutton v. Hutton.  
 Hirst v. Hutchison.  
 Hawkins v. Hamerton. The account of the share of Charles Hamerton Killick, deceased, in the residuary estate of Charles Hamerton, the testator.  
 Hall v. Hall. Mrs. Brandon's costs account.  
 Hancox v. Hancox, Hancox v. Harrison, Hancox v. Fisher, and Hancox v. Poole. The account of the shares of Thomas Hancox and Mary Ann Hancox, subject to costs.  
 Horrocks v. Horrocks.  
 Charles Harding v. Jane Harding and others, the real estate account. The purchaser's indemnity account.  
 Harris v. Harris, H., 1860, 165. The account of Henry Harris.  
 Charles Harding v. Jane Harding and others. The indemnity account.  
 Hawkins v. Hillman. The account of the unsatisfied creditors of Richard Grubb, deceased.  
 Hulme v. Hulme. The account of the plaintiff, James Stewart Hulme.  
 Hood v. Hood. The rent account.  
 Harris v. Harris. The account of Frederick Harris.  
 Harris v. Harris. The account of the plaintiff, William Harris, in the pleadings called by mistake William Henry Harris.  
 Hinings v. Hinings.  
 Hall v. Hall. Mr. Brandon's costs account.  
 Hutchins v. Hutchins.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Higham, late of Faulkourn, Essex, Farmer, deceased. The share of Joseph Pashley, one of the sons of Mary Ann Pashley, in a sum of £1,100; and also in the residuary estate of Edward Higham, deceased, in case he was living on the 10th February, 1863, and in case he was dead at that date. The account of the person or persons entitled thereto under the will of the said Edward Higham.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Higham, late of Faulkourn, Essex, Farmer, deceased. The share of William Pashley, one of the sons of Mary Ann Pashley, in a sum of £1,100; and also in the residuary estate of Edward Higham, deceased, in case he was living on the 10th February, 1863, and in case he was dead at that date. The account of the person or persons entitled thereto under the will of the said Edward Higham.  
 The estate of Luke Hindmarsh the elder, Farmer, deceased, and Crisp v. Brown.  
 The account of Mary Hill, formerly Mary Still, Spinster, subject to duty.  
 The estate of William Hill, deceased, and Biggs v. Foster. Account of the costs of the plaintiff, Thomas Bigg, due to Henry Wells Young or his estate.  
 Humphreys v. Jones. Aaron Bywater, the annuitant's account.  
 Hughes v. Jones, 1860, H., 100. The account of the defendants, John Jones and Eliza, his wife, subject to duty.  
 Hooper v. Jewell. In Master Pratt's office.  
 Haggitt v. Iniff. The account of George Potts and Margaret, his wife.  
 Horner v. Johnson. The moiety of Marianne Clifton and her children, subject to duty.  
 Hughes v. Jones, 1860; H., 100. The rents of the testatrix's copyhold estates comprised in the settlement dated the 17th day of April, 1838, subject to duty.  
 Hughes v. Jones, 1860, H., 100. The rents of the testatrix's leasehold estates comprised in the settlement dated the 17th day of April, 1838, subject to duty.  
 Heritage v. Key. The account of the defendant, William Longman.  
 Harrison v. Kidger.  
 Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Lipscombe, Hughes v. Holland, Hughes v. Finch, Holland v. Lipscombe, Holland v. Garland, and Overton v. Garland.  
 Hatch v. Lee, and Hatch v. Lee. The account of the legal assets.  
 Hunt v. Lacey. In Master Eld's office.  
 Horner v. Leckie.  
 Hayward v. Lewis.  
 Hurd v. Law.  
 Heath v. Lewis, and Harman v. Lewis. The separate account of Henry Heath, the grandson of the testator.  
 Hackwood v. Lockerby.  
 Harby v. Moore.  
 Howell v. Morshead.  
 Hill v. Mount.  
 Holt v. Murray. The subsequent account.  
 Homewood v. Mayhew. The plaintiff, Anne Homewood, and her children, their account.  
 Hall v. Maude, and Hall v. Maude.  
 Harrison v. Mansel. The account of George Cooch.  
 Hopkins v. Marsh. The defendant, Berrington Marsh's account.  
 Harrison v. Mansel. The account of Margaret Phillips.  
 Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of Edwin Thomas Handley, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.  
 Handley v. Metcalfe. The account of the plaintiff, Edward Walker, contingent on his attaining the age of twenty-one years.  
 Heslop v. Magnay. Account of Mary Wilson, an infant.  
 Heslop v. Magnay. Account of George Thomas Smith, out of jurisdiction.  
 Heslop v. Magnay. Account of George Thomas Smith the younger, an infant.  
 Heslop v. Magnay. Account of Joseph Ralph Dixon, an infant.  
 In the matter of the trust of James Holmes and George Louth.

- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Holland, formerly of Whitechurch, in the county of Salop, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Howland, otherwise Thomas Holden, one of the next of kin of Charles Lace, late of Heswell, in the county of Chester, Gentleman, deceased.
- Jane Howell's estate. Howell v. Savigar.
- Charles Hopewell, absent beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of Francis Horsley, deceased. The account of Francis Horsley the younger, of Tulara, county California, in the United States of America.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of Francis Horsley, deceased. The account of John Horsley, of Franklin County, in the State of Indiana, in the United States of America.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of Francis Horsley, deceased. The account of George Horsley, of Princeville, Peoria County, in the State of Illinois, in the United States of America.
- In the matter of the trusts declared by the mortgage of Frederick William Hollis.
- William Hoskins, a person of unsound mind.
- In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made upon the marriage of John Hooker and Mary Ann, his wife, both deceased.
- Hughes v. Owen. In Master Pepys' office.
- Heyden v. Owen. The account of the seamen belonging to His Majesty's ships "Decade" and "Argonaut."
- Higgins v. Pettiman.
- Hodder v. Pickman. The account of Thomas Cazeneuve Troy, deceased.
- Hayton v. Price.
- Hayton v. Price, and McCullum v. Hayton.
- Hobbs v. Parsons, Hobbs v. Johnson, and Hobbs v. Shaw. The account of Mary Johnson, the wife of Joshua Joseph Johnson, as administratrix of Elizabeth Parsons, Widow, deceased.
- Hulme v. Poore. The defendant, Sarah Holloway, late Sarah Leeke, her account.
- Hall v. Penton. The defendant's, the infant's account.
- Hill v. Price. The account of the intestate, George Hill's personal estate.
- Horton v. Pulley. Matthew Pugh's legacy account.
- Harding v. Quin.
- Hounsum v. Roebuck.
- Hall v. the Company of Proprietors of the Regent's Canal.
- Hardwick v. Richardson, Hardwick v. Richardson, and Hardwick v. Jones. The account of the legacy given to Charles Hardwick, with a contingent remainder to his issue.
- Helm v. Read and Helm v. Sturgis.
- Harrison v. Read.
- Hodgson v. Rigby. The defendant, Thomas Hudson's account.
- Hogg v. Read.
- Hanman v. Riley.
- Harvey v. Stanley. In Master John Bennett's office.
- Hubert v. Shillings. In Master Lightbonn's office.
- Harding v. Schutz. In Master Ord's office.
- Hawkins v. Shewen and Hawkins v. Shewen.
- Hawkins v. Schutz. The account of the plaintiffs, John Hawkins and Frances, his wife.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of Dawson Stephen Humble and his incumbrancers.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of Joseph Wright Humble, and his incumbrancers.
- Hughes v. Skelton.
- Humble v. Shore. The account of the residuary legatee of testatrix, Lydia Shore.
- Horne v. Shepherd.
- Hillier v. Tarrant.
- Hancock v. Taylor. The account of the legacy of £600 to Mary Ann Pettifer, the wife of Henry Pettifer, and her children.
- Hardy v. Truelove. Money arising from tythes and premises in Ipswich.
- Hill v. Toogood. The Clare Court Estate sale account.
- The Huddersfield and Sheffield Junction Railway Company. The account of the petitioner William, Earl of Dartmouth.
- In the matter of Eugene Hussey's estate, Johnson v. Kershaw, H., 1863, 129. The account of the legacy to Mary Fitzgerald and her children, duty paid.
- In the matter of the estate of Stephen Hunt, deceased, and Lambert v. Smith. The contingent legacy account of the testator's great niece, Emily Inwards.
- Hughes estate, H., 1859, 245.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of Mary Hume, Widow, deceased. So far as the same relate to the legacy to Charlotte Grace Dixon.
- In the matter of the trusts of the voluntary settlement made by Peter Huntley.
- Harries v. Vaughan. The account of the rents and profits of the residuary real estate.
- Hanson v. Walker.
- Hole v. the West Somerset Railway Company.
- Hood v. Wilson.
- Henvill v. Whitaker, Seagram v. Whitaker, Seagram v. Whitaker, and Seagram v. Bower.
- Hughes v. Wynne. The unpaid creditors' fund.
- Hearne v. Wigginton.
- Homes and Whillock.
- Hardwick v. Wase, and Hardwick v. Morris.
- Hollaud v. Windsor. The unsatisfied creditor's fund.
- Huggins v. York Buildings Company. In Master Burroughes' office.
- An account of Income Tax Duty, reserved pursuant to two General Orders, dated 8th November, 1803, and 6th August, 1805, and 2nd December, 1805.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Janson, late of Ripon, in the county of York.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the personal representative of Elizabeth Jelleff, Spinster.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the trustees of the indenture, dated 15th September, 1838.
- In the matter of the trusts of Jelleff's settlement. The account of the personal representative of Harriett Blunden, deceased.
- Johnson v. Atkinson.
- Jackson v. Baylies, and Baylies v. Bouchier. In Master Holford's office.
- Iliffe v. Belgrave. In Master Lovebon's office.
- Jobson v. Bevill. The account of the testator's personal estate.
- Jones v. Bowen.
- James v. Brown. The account of James Butler, the creditor.
- Johnson v. Bennett.
- Jones v. Chamberlayne.
- Jegon v. Cotterell. The account of Ann Harriott Barker, an infant.
- James v. Canning. In Master Lane's office.
- Ireland v. Coventry.
- Jenkins v. Cox.
- Jolly v. De Tastet.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacy bequeathed to William Thomas Jennings by the will of John Jennings.

- Iles v. Dixon. The account of the proceeds of the testator's real estate.  
 Jennings v. Elster.  
 Joyce v. Fagg.  
 Johnson v. Foot.  
 Johnson v. Fothergill. Johnson Foster's account.  
 Jones v. Foulkes.  
 Johnson v. Green.  
 Jones v. Griffiths.  
 Isaac v. Gompertz.  
 Ingram v. Gardiner.  
 James v. Gwynne, James v. Evans, and James v. Evans.  
 Jenner v. Hills.  
 Jones v. Hockley, and Jones v. Hockley. The personal estate of the testatrix, Letitia Jones.  
 Jones v. Hutcheson.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Jibb, deceased, so far as relates to the interest of Edward William Bridgeman Marton in the proceeds of certain copyhold hereditaments, situate within the manor of Poppleton, with the members in the county of York, thereby devised.  
 Johnston v. Johnston. The account to answer costs.  
 Jones and others, infants, by their next friends, v. Jones.  
 David Jones v. Isaac Jones.  
 Johnson v. Jasper.  
 Irby v. Irby, Irby v. Skipwith, Irby v. Countess of Plymouth, Irby v. Brigstocke, Irby v. Stockdale, and Irby v. Vansittart. The legal assets account.  
 Irby v. Irby, Irby v. Skipwith, Irby v. Countess of Plymouth, Irby v. Brigstocke, Irby v. Stockdale, and Irby v. Vansittart. The equitable assets account.  
 Jones v. Jones, 1862, J., 6.  
 Jones v. Lord Langdale. The account of William Denham.  
 Jones v. Lowe.  
 Jones v. Lloyd. In Master Thomas Bennett's office.  
 Jackson v. Lyon.  
 Jones v. Lakey.  
 Jackson v. Milfield and Jackson v. Milfield.  
 James v. Murray.  
 Jackson v. Maule.  
 Jones v. Morgan.  
 Claude Arundel Innes, a minor.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of Thomas Innes and Judith, his wife.  
 Jackson v. Nimes.  
 Jennings v. Newman. The plaintiffs, David Jennings, Thomas Peake the younger, and Samuel Newman, their account.  
 Jackson v. Naden. The real leasehold estates of the testator, John Alcock.  
 Frances Eliza Johnson, an infant legatee.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Jane Jones, late of Pen-y-bryn, in the county of Carnarvon, Widow, deceased.  
 The estate of Margaret Jones, deceased, and Lewis v. Jones.  
 Ann Jones, a minor.  
 In the matter of the trust of William Jones, of Llarenddwyn, deceased.  
 Henry Wilson Johnson, an infant.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the Stock Legacy given by the will of Samuel Jones to Rose, the wife of Samuel Bedlow Sweetman, and Louisa Dillon, Spinster.  
 Jackson v. Pichi. The defendant Frederick William Jackson's account.  
 Judge v. Pendygrass. The capital account.  
 Jones v. Rew.  
 Johnson v. Roche.  
 Jones v. Rogers. The account of Ann Jones, the annuitant.  
 Jones v. Rosser. The account of the defendant, Jane Bennett.  
 Jackson v. Smith.  
 Jameson v. Stein.  
 Jones v. Stratton. The account of the Southmead estate.  
 Timothy James and another v. Smith.  
 Jefferys v. Smith and Jeffreys v. Stevens.  
 Jevers v. Thompson.  
 Jones v. Thomas.  
 Jones v. Thomas and Jones v. Williams. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Elizabeth James and her children by Stephen James, her husband, subject to duty.  
 Ex parte the Saint Katherine Dock Company.  
 Knox v. Allan. The account of the infant plaintiffs, William Knox Allan and Ann Knox Allan.  
 Keen v. Aston. In Master Ord's office.  
 King v. Bell. The account of the personal representatives of Ann Sophia Mills.  
 King v. Bell. The account of Mary Ann Trowers, the wife of Thomas Trowers.  
 Keen v. Birch. The account of the rents and profits of the real estate of the testator, Edmund John Birch.  
 King v. Broughton. The account of the bequest to Thomas Hare under the will of Ann Hare.  
 Lord Kinnaird v. Christie.  
 Kinaston v. Clerke.  
 Knight v. Cox. The equitable assets account.  
 Knight v. Davis.  
 In the matter of the Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Betty Hayter and others of the £900 legacy.  
 In the matter of the Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Ambrose Phillips of the £900 legacy.  
 In the matter of the Keark's Trust. The account of the life interest given to Fanny Tiller of the £900 legacy.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of the Reverend Robert Young Keays, deceased. The account of William Tufnel Keays, an infant.  
 Robert Kenn, he being absent beyond seas.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Honor Kenn, formerly of Dorchester, in the county of Dorset, but late of Milbourne St. Andrew, in the said county, Spinster, deceased, dated the 15th day of January, 1817, so far as relates to the legacy of £60 stock of £5 per centum Annuities, and the interest thereon bequeathed to Jane Stiles, wife of John Stiles and Sarah Creech, Widow, and the survivor of them, and their children, subject to duty.  
 Ex parte the Keighley and Worth Valley Company. The account of Richard Fowler and Susannah, his wife, Timothy Ambler, Henry Waddington, Thomas Bland, William Walker Wright, and Elizabeth, his wife.  
 Ex parte the purchasers of the entailed estates of Henry, late Duke of Kent.  
 In the matter of the trust fund arising from the legacy of £500 bequeathed by the will of Richard Kent, deceased, to the brothers and sisters of his late wife, and their issue.  
 Ex parte the Kent Coast Railway Company. The account of Joseph Copeland Bell, John George William Brydges, Francis Warren, John Neville Warren, Alfred Warren, Frederick Warren, the Right Honourable Evelyn Boscawen, Lord Viscount Falmouth, Walter Boyd, and Gerge Beresford Brydges Holmes.

- Ex parte the Kent Coast Railway Company.**  
The account of Thomas Harrison and Mary Ann March, his wife, and John Wootton Rannell.
- Ex parte the Kent Coast Railway Company.**  
The account of Thomas Wacher.
- Colin Richard Keppel,** an infant, under the age of twenty-one years.
- Kirby v. Falkener.** The account of the unclaimed legacy of Sebastian Nash de Brissac.
- Kishere v. Fitzgerald, Shipley v. Fitzgerald, and Penfold v. Fitzgerald.**
- Kemball v. Fyson.** The account of the defendant Emma Newman.
- Knowles v. Greenhalgh.** The creditor's fund account.
- Knight v. Griffith.**
- Kershaw v. Hardman.**
- Kilvington v. Harrison.** The defendant Catherine Kettlewell's account.
- Kingsmill v. Hulbert.** Moneys arising from surplus dividends.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of James Killer, deceased.** The account of the share of John Munro, in the legacy and share of residue bequeathed in trust for Margaret Munro, and such of her children as shall live to attain the age of twenty-one years.
- In the matter of the trusts of the proceeds of the leasehold property in Bordesley-street, Birmingham, of Robert Kimberley.**
- Elizabeth Ann King, a minor.**
- Mary King, a lunatic.**
- Bertram Carr Kingdon, an infant legatee.**
- Leslie Herbert Kingdon, an infant legatee.**
- Ex parte Edward Kirby, the purchaser.**
- Kirk's estate, and Kirk v. Sellers.** The account of Henry Lloyd Watkins, in the testator's will called Henry Bryant, an infant, subject to duty.
- Knowles v. Jones.** The share of Samuel Southby Shaw.
- Kynaston v. Jones, and Kynaston v. Jones.**
- Kennedy v. Keily.** The joint account of the legatees, Edward Briggs Kennedy and Gilbert George Kennedy, infants.
- Keys v. Keys.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Madeline Georgina Caroline Keys, Spinster.
- Keys v. Keys.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Mary Ellen Ashbridge Keys, Spinster.
- Kiy v. Kiy.** The account of the proceeds of the sale of the testator's freehold messuage and premises in the parish of St. Mary-the-Less.
- Knight v. Knight.** The account of the produce of the testator's real estate.
- Kynaston v. Kynaston.**
- The Company of Proprietors of the Saint Katherine Docks, in the city of London, v. Mantzgue.**
- King v. Mason.**
- Kirkman v. Mister.**
- Kracutler v. Mieville.**
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the estate or estates of Sir Charles Knightley.**
- Culling Eardley Knowlys, an infant legatee.**
- Kemp v. Nunn, and Nunn v. Kemp.** The contingent costs account.
- In the matter of the trusts estate of Metta Koester, deceased, intestate.**
- Kennion v. Parke.**
- Knight v. Earl of Plymouth.** The general account.
- Knapp v. Pollock.**
- Kekewich v. Radcliffe.** The account of Richard Preston's purchase money.
- Kirkland v. Reid.** The account of Alexander McIver.
- Kirkland v. Reid.** The account of Ann McIver, the legatee.
- Killick v. Smart, and Smart v. Smart.**
- King's College v. Spooner.**
- Kennett v. Stubbs.** John Bernard Kennett, a lunatic, and the defendant, Elizabeth Kennett, his wife, their account.
- Kennett v. Willis.**
- Kings v. Worts.**
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Carlisle Railway Company.** The account of Salmond's trustees.
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Carlisle Railway Company.** The account of the settled estates of Anthony Yeates.
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Carlisle Railway Company.** In the matter of the Lancaster and Carlisle and Ingleton Railway Act, 1857. The account of the trusts of the settlement of George Wilson, deceased, and George Edward Wilson.
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the Liverpool and Bury Railway Act, 1845.
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the Manchester and Leeds Railway Act, 1836, the Liverpool and Bury Railway Act, 1846, the Liverpool and Bury and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, and the Manchester and Leeds Railway Act, No. 3, 1847.
- Ex parte the Lancashire and Yorkshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the Manchester and Leeds Railway Act, 1836, the Huddersfield and Sheffield Junction Railway Act, 1845, the Huddersfield and Sheffield Junction and Manchester and Leeds Railways Act, 1846, and the Manchester and Leeds Railway, No. 3, 1847. The account of George Gartside's estate.
- Sir James Hay Langham, Baronet, a lunatic.** Proceeds of real estate.
- The estate of William Langharne and Langharne v. Jones.** Sarah Barnett's legacy account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Lanham, late of Southampton, Butcher, deceased.** The account of George Lanham.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Lanham, late of Southampton, Butcher, deceased.** The account of Henry Lanham.
- In the matter of the trusts under the will of Elizabeth Laughner, deceased, for the payment of a legacy of £100 to his Grace the Lord Archbishop of Canterbury in aid of the funds for the religious instruction of persons employed in the lead and coal mines of this country.**
- Ex parte the Launceston and South Devon Railway Company.** The account of William Eastcott.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of George Lawrence, late of Abingdon, in the county of Berks, Blacksmith and Farrier, deceased.**
- Lawton v. Anderton.** The account of the share of the testator's real estate of which the late Ann Taylor Holmes was tenant in tail.
- Luders v. Anstey.** The settlement account.
- Leman v. Ash.**
- Lushington v. Austen.** The account of the defendant, Thomas Lushington.
- Lyon v. Baker.** The account of the infant defendant, Claudine Maria Rafarel and Emmeline Elizabeth Rafarel.
- Lake v. Bartholomew.**
- Lawson v. Barton.**
- Lee v. Bell.** The account of the defendants.
- Long v. Bell.** The account of the real estates.
- Lake v. Belk, and Lake v. Forrest.** The account of Mary Roberts.
- Liversedge v. Boothroyd.**

- Lloyd v. Branton. The account of the defendant, Christopher Alderson Alderson, late Christopher Alderson Lloyd.
- Linnett v. Butterfield, and Seabrook v. Gibbon. The account of Christopher Knott Williamson, the Widow of Charles Williamson.
- Lucas v. Calcraft, and Calcraft v. Calcraft. Unsatisfied creditors of the testator, John Calcraft.
- Lyon v. Duke of Chandos. In Master Spicer's office.
- Lough v. Clarke. The account of James Benjamin Wood, an infant.
- Law v. Coke.
- Liuwood v. Colley.
- Lyon v. Colville. The simple contract debts account.
- Lucas v. Cooke.
- Lawrence Cruwys. The account of interest.
- Leyburn v. Cummings.
- Lambton v. Davidson, and Lambton v. Fletcher. The account of the late plaintiff, Eliza Lambton.
- Lovegrove v. Davis, Lovegrove v. Davis, Weeks v. Rose.
- Lyon v. Deane. Ellen Williamson's account.
- Lorenza v. De Meza.
- Lancaster v. Dixon.
- Loy v. Duckett. The account of the fifteen shares belonging to the estate of Edward West.
- Sarah Learoyd, Spinster, a person of unsound mind.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the Lincolnshire estates of Lord Le Despencer.
- The account of John Lee and Edward Hemingway.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Lee, of Old Weston, in the county of Huntingdon, deceased. The account of Theresa Moore therein named.
- William Lee, a person of unsound mind. The surplus income.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of Robert Leech.
- Ex parte the Leeds and Bradford Railway Company.
- Ex parte the Leeds and Bradford and Halifax Junction Railway Company. In the matter of the Leeds, Bradford, and Halifax Junction Railway Act, 1853.
- Ex parte the Leicester and Swannington Railway Company. The account of William Fenton, Esq.
- Lloyd v. Edington.
- Lock v. Foote. The account of the personal estate.
- Lintott v. Footner, and Lintott v. Footner.
- Littlehales v. Gascayne. The account of interest.
- Lucas v. Greenwood. The plaintiff, Susannah Lucas, the infant's account.
- Low v. Halden. The account of the defendant, Richard Halden and Elizabeth, his wife.
- Lane and another v. Hardwick and others.
- Leach v. Hardy.
- Lockhart v. Hardy, Thomas v. Hardy, Newman v. Hardy, and Hardy v. Lockhart. The legacy of Emma Blower, the wife of Robert Blower.
- Lane v. Hobbs.
- Lane v. Hobbs. The account of the children of Mary Cudmore, Widow, deceased.
- Lane v. Hobbs. The account of Charles Meads.
- Lane v. Hobbs. The separate account of Susan Meads.
- Lane v. Hobbs. The account of Mary Ann Newman and Thomas Newman, infants.
- Lane v. Hollings, and Lane v. Hollings. The separate account of Joseph Stonier, administrator of Mary Ann Hardwick, his late wife, subject to duty.
- Lomax v. Holmden, and Holmden v. Lomax.
- Lewis v. Hooper.
- Leigh v. Hunter.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Lilley, deceased.
- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the Borough of Liverpool. The account of William Haigh and Henry Heyes.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway.
- Levy v. Jones and Lacy v. Shackel. The legacies of Sarah Jones and Mary Jones.
- Lawton v. King. The account of the infant children of Ann Taylor Holmes, deceased.
- Ex parte the Llanelly Railway and Dock Company. The account of the Penclawdd Canal and Railway or Tramroad Company.
- Ex parte the Llanelly Railway and Dock Company. The account of Lieutenant-General John Morgat.
- Ex parte the Llanelly Railway and Dock Company. The account of Sir John Armine Morris.
- Ex parte the Llanelly Railway and Dock Company. The account of Ann Williams.
- Ex parte the Llanelly Railway and Dock Company. The account of Jane Withicombe.
- In the matter of the estate of David Lloyd, deceased, and Lloyd v. Lloyd. The account of the defendant, Ermine Lloyd, Widow.
- Maria Charlotte Lloyd, who is an infant.
- Ex parte the Llynvi Valley Railway Company. The account of John Wick Bennett.
- Lambie v. Lambie.
- Lara v. Lara. The defendant, Phineas Lara's account.
- Lea v. Lea. The account of the infant plaintiff, George Harris Lea.
- Ledward v. Ledward. Income account.
- Leech v. Leech. The account of the real estate.
- Lefroy v. Lefroy. The plaintiff Susan Lefroy's annuity account.
- Livesey v. Leicester. The account of the legacy of Edward Hall.
- Leith v. Leith.
- Levy v. Levy.
- Lewis v. Lewis. The annuitant's account.
- Ellen Lewis v. James Lewis and others. The real estate account.
- Little v. Little.
- Lewis v. Lloyd, and Boehm v. Lloyd.
- Lloyd v. Lloyd.
- Loader v. Loader.
- Lombe v. Lombe.
- Longworth v. Longworth.
- Langmead v. Lopes.
- Lownds v. Lownds. The account of William Lownds.
- Leak v. McDowell. The account of the proceeds of sale of the testator's real estate remaining unsold.
- Legg v. Mackrell. The account of the plaintiff, Jane Legg, and her children.
- Leith v. Mant. The account of the defendants, Henry Squire Shrapnell, and Elizabeth Iggulden, his wife, and their incumbancers.
- Lowe v. Moore.
- Leslie v. Morley.
- Ex parte James Lockhard, Esq., the purchaser.
- In the matter of the trusts of Henry Frederick Lockyer, deceased. The account of Alworth Merewether, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Caleb Lomax, so far as relates to the children of Joshua Lomax, Esq.
- Ex parte the Mayor and Commonalty and Citizens of the city of London. In the matter of the Clerkenwell Improvement Act, 1851. The



- devised real estate of Thomas Chandless, deceased.
- Ex parte the Mayor and Commonalty and Citizens of the city of London.** In the matter of Clerkenwell Improvement Act, 1851. The estate of William Spencer, and the estate of James Trayloe.
- Ex parte the London and Birmingham Railway Company.** The account of the parties interested under the will of David Halliburton, deceased.
- Ex parte the London and Birmingham Railway Company.** The account of the Rector of Titchmarsh.
- Ex parte the London and Blackwall Railway Company.** The account of Messrs. William Bridges Adams, Samuel Adams, and Gerald Ralston, of the Fairfield Works, Bow, Coach Builders.
- Ex parte the London and Blackwall Railway Company.** In the matter of the London and Blackwall Railway Widening Act, 1846. The estate of Robert Cleghorn, deceased.
- Ex parte the London and Blackwall Railway Company.** The account of the settlement of Thomas Davis and Ann, his wife.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Thomas Alcock.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of the trust estate of Thomas Byron.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of the Archbishop of Canterbury.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of the Bishop of Chichester, Amberley estate.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Mary Crookland.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Samuel Ambrose Davies.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Joseph Desvignes.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of the Grand Surrey Canal and Dock Company.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Ann Gutheridge.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Egerton Vernon Harcourt, and Edward Williams Verables Vernon Harcourt, trustees of the will of the late John, Lord Selsey, of Amberley, in the county of Sussex.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Thomas Haylock and George Shepherd.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Robert Henderson.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of the Duke of Norfolk, and of Hugh Wyatt and Henry Penfold Wyatt, Esquires.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Samuel John Oxtley.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Edward Searle.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.** The account of Frederick Thomas.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway.** The account of Edward Watkins Edwards, Joseph Stubbs, and Edward Coleman, assignees of the estate and effects of John Lashmar, a bankrupt, and other the parties interested in certain lands and hereditaments in the parish of Brighton, in the county of Sussex.
- Ex parte the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway.** The account of Thomas Willcocks.
- Ex parte the London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company.** The account of the South-Eastern Railway Company.
- Ex parte the London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company.** The account of Edward Wilkinson and Joseph Edmund Wilkinson.
- Ex parte the London, Chatham, and Dover Railway Company.** The account of John Wiun.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company and Hugh Bethune and Joseph Cooper.**
- Ex parte the London Dock Company.** The account of William Mosson Kearns, of No. 3, Bloomsbury-place, Bloomsbury-square, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, — Powell, Widow, Emma Sophia Powell, Spinster, and Charles James, formerly of Euston-square, in the county of Middlesex, Esq., or his representatives, and other the parties interested under the will of James Powell, late of High-street, Kensington, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, or otherwise, in the message or tenement, land and premises, being No. 46, on the east side of Shakspear's-walk, in the parish of Saint Paul, Shadwell, in the county of Middlesex.
- Ex parte the London Dock Company and Alice Mitchell and William Mitchell.**
- Ex parte the London Dock Company.** The account of Thomas Smith and Thomas Smith, both formerly of the city of Dublin.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.** In the matter of an Act for making a railway from the London and Birmingham Railway to or near to Navigation-street, within the borough of Birmingham. The account of Ellen Maria Stavely, Rosmond Susannah Stavely, and Arkyl John Arthur Stavely, the infant children of Susannah Stavely, formerly Susannah Dearden, deceased.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.** In the matter of the London and Birmingham Railway, Coventry and Nuneaton Railway Act, 1846. The account of the Trustees of Swillington's Charity, in the city of Coventry.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.** In the matter of the London and North-Western, Chester, and Holyhead Railway Act, 1861.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.** The account of George Attwood, Isaac Spooner, Thomas Attwood, and Richard Spooner, all of Birmingham, Bankers.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway Company.** The account of James Lewis, Francis Atterbury, Robert Beal.
- Ex parte the London and North-Western Railway.** The account of James Garner, an infant.
- Ex parte the London and North Western Railway Company.** The account of the Great Western Railway Company, the Shropshire Union Railways and Canal Company, the Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company, and Thomas Brassey, Esq.

- Ex parte the London and North Western Railway Company.** The account of John Lees and James Lees, Joseph Lees, William Lees, David Lees, Hannah Lees, Sarah Ann Lees, and Esther Lees, John Whittaker, and Charles Harrop, as trustees for Jane Little, Thomas Norris, and Joseph Jorrocks, as trustees under the will of John Booth, deceased, John Booth and George Edward Booth, trustees of the estates of George Booth, deceased, and Joseph Jones and William Jones.
- Ex parte the London and South-Western Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the London and South-Western Railway Company.** In the matter of the South-Western Railway Capital and Works Act, 1855.
- Ex parte the London and South-Western Railway Company.** The account of Robert Burnett, a person of unsound mind.
- Ex parte the purchasers of the London Workhouse.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sir Mannasseh Massey Lopes, of Mariston, in the county of Devon, Baronet, the legacy account of Charlotte Elizabeth Green, formerly Charlotte Elizabeth Albert, Spinster, deceased.
- Thomas Lord, a person of unsound mind.
- The account of Rebecca Loveday, Widow, and others.
- Ex parte Edward Loveden Loveden,** in respect of lands sold by him to the proprietors of the Oakham Canal.
- Lee v. Pain. William Moore's legacy account.
- Lee v. Park.
- Lucas v. Peacock. The mortgage account of Christopher Lucas.
- Lucas v. Peacock. The account of James Pullin Hinton, the assignee of John Morgan Davison Lucas.
- Leather v. Pennington.
- Lang v. Phillips.
- Long v. Phipps. The defendant, Catherine Tynley Long, the infant's account.
- Leverton v. Pollen. The account of the personal estate of the testator, George Augustus Pollen.
- Leverton v. Pollen. The account of the second apportionment amongst the creditors of George Augustus Pollen.
- Ladbroke v. Prior.
- Duke of Leeds v. Pughe.
- Livesay v. Redfearn. The account of the general estate of Elizabeth Goolad, deceased.
- Levy v. Serra.
- The Governors of the London Hospital v. Slade.
- Litchfield v. Smith.
- Lidbetter v. Smith.
- Lovegrove v. Smith. The defendants, Elizabeth Waine and Mary Dale, the annuitant's account.
- Low v. Smith. The indemnity account in respect of Robert Tayler's estate, subject to duty.
- Lingen v. Sowray.
- Letch v. Stevens.
- Long v. Steward. The account of defendant's claiming under the defendant, Burges Ball the elder.
- Long v. Steward. The personal estate of the testator, John Chichester.
- Long v. Steward. The personal estate of John Chichester, to answer the dower of Ellen, the widow of the testator, Richard Chichester.
- Long v. Steward. The personal estate of the testator, Richard Chichester.
- Lee v. Stone. The account of Mr. Angell's share of the testator's real estates.
- Lechmere v. Stubbs and Lechmere v. Astbury. The legacy account of the grandchildren of William Bedford, subject to legacy duty.
- Lane v. Thomas.
- Lloyd v. Thompson.
- Lyne v. Thompson and Sowton v. Hathorn.
- Lingard v. Tomkinson. The real estate.
- Lassieur v. Tyrconnel. The account of the outstanding personal estate of the Right Honourable Lady Almeida Carpenter, deceased.
- In the matter of the trust for Caleb Lunniss, an insolvent and a bankrupt.
- In the matter of the trust for Thomas Lunniss, an insolvent and a bankrupt.
- Latter v. Willard.
- Llewelin v. Williams.
- Lloyd v. Williams. In Master Spicer's office.
- Lay v. Winsor.
- Lyddon v. Woolcock.
- Lucas v. Worthington.
- Ex parte the Lynn and Ely Railway Company.** In the matter of the Lynn and Ely Railway Act, 1845.
- In the matter of the trusts of Phœbe McCaul. The account of William Algernon Douglas McCaul, Ina Marian McCaul, and Florence Madeline McCaul.
- George Alexander MacDonald, a legatee, absent beyond seas.
- In the matter of the trust for the creditors of William McInerheny, deceased, under the memorandum of the 6th day of May, 1837.
- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of John MacMullen, deceased. The share of Oliver O'Hara.
- In the matter of the trusts of the persons interested in the moneys produced by the sale of the late Donald McRae's mortgaged estates.
- Ex parte the parties interested in the unexpired term of thirty-four years from Christmas Day last in and to all that piece or parcel of land or ground, situate, lying, and being on the south side of Maid-lane, within the manor of Southwark, otherwise called the Clink, or Bishop of Winchester's Liberty, in the parish of St. Saviour, Southwark, in the county of Surrey, containing by admeasurement in front near Maid-lane aforesaid forty-seven feet four inches, or thereabouts, and in depth at the east end thereof sixteen feet, and at the west end seventeen feet, or thereabouts, and also all those three timber tenements or premises numbered respectively 49, 50, and 51, and standing and being on the same piece or parcel of land or ground, and fronting Maid-lane aforesaid.**
- In the matter of the trusts of Frederick Charles Mais' share of trust moneys under Ann Rebecca Mais' trust deed.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Benjamin Mallam, deceased, so far as they relate to the legacy of £60 given to Eliza Webb.
- Ex parte the Manchester and Birmingham Railway Company.** Residue of moneys produced by sale of estates devised in trust for Thomas Berry.
- Ex parte the Manchester and Leeds Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the Manchester and Leeds Railway Company.** The account of the devised estate of James Dearden, deceased.
- Ex parte the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Amalgamation Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Amalgamation Act, 1846. The Vicar of Attenborough-cum-Bramcote, in the county of Nottingham.

- Ex parte* the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Amalgamation Act, 1846. The account of the trustees of the will of John Richardson, deceased.
- Ex parte* the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Company. In the matter of the Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Act, 1849. The settled estates of Lady Frances Ingram Gordon, deceased.
- Isabella Mausfield, a person of unsound mind.
- Ex parte* the Committee appointed for the parishes of St. Margaret and St. John the Evangelist, under or by virtue of an Act of Parliament of the eleventh year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled an Act to amend and render more effectual several Acts made relating to paving, cleansing, and lighting the squares, streets, lanes, and other places, within the city and liberty of Westminster and parts adjacent, and Simon Stephenson, of Great Queen-street, Westminster, Gentleman.
- Ex parte* John Margaron.
- Ex parte* the Commissioners for executing an Act of Parliament of the first and second George the Fourth, intituled an Act to improve Market-street, in the town of Manchester, in the County Palatine of Lancaster, and the approaches thereto, and to amend an Act passed in the 57th year of His late Majesty, for building a bridge across the River Irwell, from Water Gate, in the township of Salford, to St. Mary's Gate, in the township of Manchester. The account of John Fletcher Wardle or his assignees in bankruptcy, or his incumbancers.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Marsh, late of Dover, in the county of Kent, Widow, deceased.
- Ex parte* the Maryport and Carlisle Railway Company. The account of the Bishop of Carlisle.
- In the matter of the estate of Benjamin Massey, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the share of the Reverend Charles Massie in the legacy of £20,000.
- In the matter of the trusts declared by the will of Hannah Master, deceased, respecting the sum of £2,986 11s. 1d. £3 per cent. Consolidated Bank Annuities. The account of the legacy of William Hinckley.
- In the matter of the trusts of a certain indenture of assignment, dated the 11th day of April, 1826. *Ex parte* the shares of William Masters, one of the children of Sarah Masters, Widow, deceased.
- The account of the share of Elizabeth Matthews, of and in the estates of John Burt and Ann Burt, respectively deceased.
- In the matter of the trust of Meredith Mawn, deceased.
- The Reverend Lewis Maxey, of Byeford, in the county of Hereford.
- Milnes v. Aked, and Milnes v. Buxton, and Butterworth v. Aked.
- Milnes v. Aked, and Milnes v. Buxton, and Butterworth v. Aked. The arrears of income of the moiety belonging to the children of Alice Milnes.
- Milne v. Allen. George Ballgowan and Hannah Cox's account, in Master Montagu's office.
- Milne v. Allen. Hannah Cox's account.
- Merrett v. Arkett.
- Moody v. Babb.
- Milward v. Bardgett. The legacy of Thomas Fothergill, the infant.
- Morris v. Barrett.
- Mangle v. Barry.
- Maddison v. Bird.
- Monnatt v. Black.
- Manning v. Blackall.
- McDowall v. Box.
- Maccartney v. Brapple.
- Matthew v. Brown. The account of Ann, servant to José Maria Ribeiro, Captain of a frigate, a legatee.
- Lord Mountjoy v. Duchess of Buckinghamshire.
- Mander v. Buller.
- Morrall v. Butterfield. The account of Matthew Lodge, deceased, brother of the testator.
- Margesson v. Carter.
- Morgan v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, and Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon. The interest account of the specialty creditors of the testatrix, Emilia Gwinnett.
- Morgan v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon, and Griffiths v. Earl of Clarendon. The account of the specialty creditors of the testatrix, Emilia Gwinnett. Principal money.
- Matchwick v. Cock.
- Morris v. Colelough.
- Mackham v. Collins, and Collins v. Mackham.
- Metcalfe v. Comyn.
- Martin v. Croome.
- Mowat v. Cunningham.
- Munby v. Davison.
- Martindale v. Deane.
- Mainwaring v. Dickenson. The account of the plaintiff, Edward Pellew Mainwaring, and his incumbancers.
- Monk v. Druce.
- In the matter of the trusts of the purchase-money of certain mortgaged premises, forming part of the estate of George Meeres, of Great Grimsby, in the county of Lincoln, Joiner, deceased.
- Ex parte* the purchasers of the Melton Mowbray town lands.
- The account of Andre Mermillod, the younger, an infant.
- The account of Henry Gaspard Mermillod, an infant.
- Ex parte* the Metropolitan Board of Works. The account of John Adams, of Fenchurch-street, London.
- Ex parte* the Metropolitan Railway Company. The account of the devisees in trust under the will of Thomas Chandless, deceased.
- Ex parte* the Metropolitan Railway Company. The account of George Reid.
- On behalf of the Earl of Mexborough.
- Ex parte* Meyer.
- Lord Monson v. Earl of Essex. The account of the personal estate.
- Meredith v. Farr, and Meredith v. Farr. The life account of Catherine Philips.
- Moore v. Frowd.
- Montagu v. Garrett. The account of Elizabeth Mallock, Mary Fletcher, Harriett Fletcher, Jane Fletcher, Richard John Fletcher, and Charles Orlando Fletcher, the children of Elizabeth Fletcher.
- Montagu v. Garrett. The account of John Garrett Bussell, Mary Yeates Bussell, Francis Lousia Bussell, William Marchant Bussell, Lennox Bussell, and Charles Bussell, the children of William Marchant Bussell.
- Montagu v. Garrett. The account of Louisa Jacque Bussell, William Bussell, Mary Bussell,

- Ellen Bussell, Agnes Bussell, and John Garrett Bussell, the children of John Garrett Bussell.
- Mason v. Gee. The descended estate.
- Mason v. Gee. The estate which passed by the will of the testator, William Gee.
- Milne v. Gilbert. The foreign securities and shares account.
- Marrifill v. Glascott.
- Manesty v. Gooch.
- Moore v. Greenhill.
- Martin v. Gregory, and Michell v. Walton.
- Macleay v. Greville.
- Moore v. Haisiwell.
- Matheson v. Hardwicke. The personal estate of James Dunbar.
- Maughan v. Harrison.
- McFarland v. Hastie. The account of the testator, James Hastie.
- Monk v. Hawkins.
- Milward v. Herbert.
- Maddison v. Hill.
- Morrison v. Hoppe, and Morrison v. King. The account of the defendant, Kirkman Speare Tosswill, free of duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Michell, so far as relates to the share of Louisa Crofts.
- Ex parte the Mid Kent Railway Company. The account of Sophia Greaves and Thomas Covill.
- Ex parte the Mid Kent Railway Company. The account of the devisees in trust under the will of William Stephen Walton, deceased.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. In the matter of the Midland Railway, Leicester, and Hitchin Act, 1853. The account of the trust estate of Robert Haynes, deceased.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. The account of the trustees of Lucas' Charity.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. The account of James Oldham and Henry Hanbury.
- Ex parte the Midland Railway Company. The account of Henry Norton Wilkson.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled an Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Joseph Brookes, of Woodstock, Oxfordshire, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled an Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Thomas Nixon, of Leicester.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Thomas Bradley Paget, of Tamworth, Warwickshire, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Thomas Saxelby, of Derby, Merchant.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Samuel Turner, of Nottingham, Esq.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled An Act to enable the Midland Railway Com-
- pany to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of Thomas Wildbore, of Disley, Leicestershire, Gentleman.
- Ex parte an Act 9th and 10th Victoria, entitled An Act to enable the Midland Railway Company to make a railway from Burton-upon-Trent to Nuneaton, with branches, and to purchase the Ashby-de-la-Zouch Canal. The account of John Wright as assignee of the estate of William Gibson, of Littleover, Derby, Cheese Factor, or Hannah Gibson, wife of William Gibson, of Littleover, Cheese Factor.
- Ex parte the Midland Counties Railway Company. The account of Mary Tate, Spinster, the tenant for life.
- Ex parte the Mid Sussex Railway Company. The account of William Greenfield, of Itchingfield, in the county of Sussex, Farmer.
- Ex parte an undertaking to enable the Mid Wales Railway Company to make a railway to join the Central Wales Extension Railway, in the parish of Landwedd, in the county of Radnor, and to confer upon the said Company further powers with respect to roads crossed by their railway, and with respect to the purchase of lands, and to enable the said Company to erect hotels and to let their railway on lease, and to raise further sums, and for other purposes.
- Ex parte an undertaking to enable the Mid Wales Railway Company to alter the line and levels of their railway, and to make a junction between the Mid Wales and the Central Wales Extension Railways, and to amend the Acts relating to the said Company, and for other purposes.
- Ex parte an undertaking to be sanctioned by a Bill to enable the Mid Wales Railway Company to make a branch railway from the Mid Wales Railway, in the parish of St. Hannon, in the county of Radnor, to the Manchester and Milford Railway, in the parish of Llangarig, in the county of Montgomery, to enable the said Company to use the Hereford, Hay, and Brecon, and Brecon and Merthyr Junction Railways, and for other purposes.
- Ex parte the Mid Wales Railway Company. The account of Thomas Gwynne.
- Ex parte the Mid Wales Railway Company. The account of John Webb Roche.
- In the matter of Abraham Mills, Esq., and Mary his wife, and Richard Edmonds, Gentleman, and Martha, his wife.
- In the matter of Charles Minter, late of the city of Canterbury, Butcher, deceased. The account of Mary Minter, Widow, and others.
- Ex parte the Mistle, Thorpe, and Walton Railway Company. The account of the deposit in respect of the junction with the Tendring Hundred Railway Extension.
- Moreau v. Ives.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Susannah Dalrymple's account.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Susannah Dalrymple, formerly Coningham, her account.
- McAdam v. Kilby. Catherine Searle's account.
- McAdam v. King.
- McAdam v. King. A fund to answer any claims of Martha Kilby, deceased.
- Marriott v. Kirkham. The account of the legatees under the will of John Kirkham, subject to duty.
- Murray v. Knight. The account of the defendant, Lady Love Knight, and the grandchildren of the testator, Peter Frye.
- Maddy v. Lake and others.
- Mason v. Lamb.

- Mesher v. Lane.
- Mason v. Lawrence. The account of Mary Ann Rogers, the wife of Henry Blankly Harrington Rogers, or the trustees of their settlement (if any), subject to duty.
- Matthews v. Lees.
- Morgan v. Lewis.
- Mangles v. Lubbock. The account of the defendant, Love Middleditch.
- Mend and others v. Lyons and others. The account of the children of Samson Gusdarf.
- Mend and others v. Lyons and others. The account of the plaintiff, Besla, the wife of Samuel Mend.
- Macdonald v. Macdonald.
- Macdonald v. Macfarlane, and Mackenzie v. McFarlane.
- James McMahon v. William McMahon. The passage-money account of Julia Franks.
- Mallory v. Mallory.
- Manning v. Manning. The account of Ann Manning, the legatee.
- Majoribanks v. Mansell, 1864, M., 197.
- Marks v. Marks, and Marks v. Vine. The account of the defendant, Charles Henry Marks, and the assignees under his Indian insolvency and his English bankruptcy.
- Martin v. Martin. The Bridgford estate.
- Martin v. Martin. The Crabbs Abbey estate.
- Moore v. Mawley. The annuitant's account.
- Mitchell v. Mitchell.
- Moffatt v. Moffatt. The leasehold estate, subject to legacy duty.
- Molyneux v. Molyneux, and Molyneux v. Hand. The account of the annuitant, Elizabeth Dufay, Spinster.
- Macpherson v. Money.
- Montefiore v. Montefiore. The account of Jane Harold and the children of the testator, Samuel Vita Montefiore.
- Morgans v. Morgans.
- Morrice v. Morrice, and Morrice v. Morrice.
- Morris v. Morris. The account of Maynard Morris and his incumbrancers.
- Mottley v. Mottley. The account of Georgiana Hood O'Neil, deceased, intestate.
- Mitchelmore v. Mudge.
- Mackenzie v. Musgrove.
- Milsintown v. Nutting.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Nicholas Monk. The account of the daughter of Rachel Monk, formerly Gibbons, deceased.
- In the matter of William Monk and John Gillett Monk.
- In the matter of the trusts of George Moore's settlement, dated the 12th day of October, 1837. The separate account of George Henry Moore.
- In the matter of the trusts of George Moore's settlement, dated the 12th day of October, 1837. The separate account of Harriet Moore or Adams.
- Helen Morgan, a minor.
- In the matter of the estate of Alice Jemima Morton, late of Bath, deceased, and Carter v. Morton. The account of the infant, Edward Presgrave.
- Finetta Mowbray, Widow, a person of unsound mind.
- Philip Moysey, who is absent beyond the seas.
- Mason v. O'Toole.
- Munday v. Padwick and Knight v. Padwick.
- Mackennon v. Palmer.
- Mountain v. Parry, and Mountain v. Benet. Moneys arising from the real estates of the testator, William Benet.
- Meredith v. Pearson.
- Mumford v. Pennykid, and Sheen v. Pennykid.
- Manning v. Petherick. The account of the legacy to the children of Mary Jeffrey, the late sister of the testator.
- Morgan v. Pitman.
- Moore v. Pyke.
- McLachlan v. Quennell.
- Moss v. Raine.
- Moxon v. Reeve. The account of the legacy of Augusta Edgar, an infant.
- Mitchell v. Reynolds. The real estate account.
- Madge v. Riley, and Madge v. Riley. The account of the defendant, Mary Ann Riley.
- Mostyn v. Roberts. In Master Godfrey's office.
- Maltby v. Russell.
- Marshall v. Samuel, 1862, M., 27. Fund of Sarah Todd and her children.
- Matteson v. Scotchburn.
- Merry v. Smart, and Thompson v. Smart. The defendant, Benjamin Smart's account.
- Merry v. Smart, and Thompson v. Smart. The account of the defendants, James Yerral and Alexander Yerral, as the representatives of Ann Smart, deceased.
- Miller v. Smith. The account of Jane Bayley's annuity.
- Miller v. Smith. The account of the defendant, William Smith, or the person entitled in case he was not living at the death of Martha Jenny, the tenant for life.
- Middleton v. Spicer, and the Society for Propagating the Gospel in Foreign Parts v. Middleton. In Master Harris's office.
- Mann v. Stennett. The account of the life interest of the plaintiff, William Mann, under the will of John Moss.
- Morgan v. Stivens. The account of George Lewis and his personal representatives.
- Maw v. Thorpe.
- Maurd v. Turner. The account of Richard Heming.
- In the matter of the unapplied personal estate of Lowther, Lord Muncaster, deceased.
- Frederick Murray, an infant legatee.
- In the matter of the trusts of Sarah Murray's settlement, so far as respects Elizabeth Taylor, deceased, and her children. The account of Ann Taylor, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of Sarah Murray's settlement, so far as respects Elizabeth Taylor, deceased, and her children. The account of George Marten Taylor.
- Marlbrough v. Vanbrugh. In Master Trevor's office.
- Micklethwaite v. Vavasour, and Swainson v. Vavasour.
- Meredith v. Vick. The account of Elizabeth Anthony, deceased, one of the residuary legatees under the will of Thomas Snetter.
- Mawson v. Wainwright. The account of the real estate of William Henry Wainwright, an infant, subject to duty.
- Morton v. Walters.
- Mawley v. Wakefield. The account of Joseph Wakefield, the annuitant.
- Michell v. Watts. In Master Holford's office.
- Matthewman v. Wheatcroft, and Matthewman v. Wheatcroft. The account of Mallinson Amory Matthewman, a person of unsound mind, and John Green, the committee of the estate.
- Mills v. White.
- Marsh v. Whitfield.
- Maltby v. Winter.
- Matthewman v. Woodcock. The account of the defendant, Mallinson Amory Matthewman, a person of unsound mind.
- Mason v. Woodford.

- Marriott v. Woodhead.** The account of the infant defendant, Ambrose Fletcher and William Fletcher.
- Marriott v. Woodhead.** The account of the infant defendant, Alice Swann.
- Mellor v. Woodward.** The account of John Mellor, convicted of felony.
- Meynell v. Wright.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Nailard, deceased, late of Bolney, in the county of Sussex, Yeoman. The account of Charles Ellis Miller, or his representatives.
- The estate of Charles Henry Nash, deceased, and Nash v. Nash, 1864, N., 12.
- Ex parte John Nash.**
- Nicholson v. Annett.**
- Nunn v. Barlow.**
- Newen v. Beare.**
- Newman v. Bennett, and Newman v. Wickham.**
- Norton v. Bettiss.**
- Nicholson v. Boulton.**
- Newton v. Bradshaw.**
- Norwood v. Chambers.** The residuary share of Elizabeth Weekes Baker, deceased.
- Neale v. Day.** The separate account of Christiana McArthur, the wife of George McArthur.
- Norris v. Dodd.**
- In the matter of the trust of the estate of John Neal, Farmer, deceased. The share of Henry Linfield, or the parties interested therein.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Neale, deceased, so far as the same relate to the devise in trust for John Chambers and Jane Freeth respectively, and their respective children.
- Ex parte the Nene Valley Drainage and Navigation Improvement Commissioners.** In the matter of the Nene Valley Drainage and Navigation Improvement Amendment Act, 1854.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Newcastle-upon-Tyne and Carlisle Railway.**
- Ex parte Newdigate.**
- Ex parte the Newport, Abergavenny, and Hereford Railway Company.** The account of William Steward Cartwright.
- Re Ann Newton, Hairs v. Newton, and re Henry Newton.** Hairs v. Newton, vol. 4, folios 94 and 95.
- In the matter of the trust of Newton's settlement.
- New v. Forman.** The account of John Forman the younger.
- Natras and Godsman.**
- Newell v. Griffin.** The account of the defendant, Richard Parry.
- Newell v. Griffin.** The account of the defendant, Hugh Vance.
- Newell v. Griffin.** The account of the defendant, William Parry.
- Nee v. Hardman.** The account of the plaintiff, Joseph Nee, the infant.
- Norbury v. Hill.**
- Nannock v. Horton.**
- Nannock v. Horton.** The clear residue of the testator, Thomas Norman's personal estate.
- Nicholls v. Jones.** The creditors' account under the indenture bearing date the 2nd day of March, 1805.
- Newnham v. Kemp.** **Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers.**
- Nicholson v. Knight, and Impey v. Knight.** The unappropriated fund account.
- Newby v. Longford.** The proceeds of the sale of the estates comprised in the mortgage security, dated the 11th day of May, 1852.
- Nairn v. Marjoribanks.** The account of the estate of Fasham Nairn the younger, the tenant for life, deceased.
- Neave v. Miller.** The slave compensation account.
- Napier v. Napier.** The unpaid creditors' account.
- Nash v. Nash.** The testator's residuary personal estate, savoring of realty in respect of the sum of three hundred pounds to the payments whereof the land and messuages given by the testator to the plaintiff are made subject.
- Nedby v. Nedby.** The legacy given to Sophia, the wife of Joseph West.
- Nettleship v. Nettleship.**
- Newton v. Newton.** The account of George H. J. Newton.
- Nicholson v. Nicholson.** The moneys arising from the sale of the real estates of the testator, Samuel Nicholson.
- Ex parte the North Eastern Railway Company.** The account of the appointees under the will of Elizabeth Proctor, deceased.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the North Staffordshire Railway Pottery Line Act, 1846. The account of the Reverend Robert Ellis Aitkens, Curate of Hanley, and his successors, Curates of the Curacy of Hanley.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company.** The account of Lawrence Armitstead and Sir Thomas Fletcher Fenton Boughhey, Baronet.
- Ex parte the North Staffordshire Railway Company.** In the matter of the North Staffordshire Railway Act, 1847. The account of the Right Honourable John, Earl of Shrewsbury.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company.** The account of William Watson Greenwood, of Bradford, Miller, William Greenwood, of Addingham, Gentleman, and George Oates Greenwood, of Bradford, Gentleman.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company.** The account of Catherine Hardacre, of Helli-field, in the county of York, Spinster.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company.** In the matter of the North Western Railway Act, 1846. The account of William Hardacre, of Colne, in the County Palatine of Lancaster, Gentleman.
- Ex parte the North Western Railway Company.** In the matter of the North Western Railway Act, 1846. The account of Mary Hill, formerly Mary Still, Spinster, subject to duty.
- Ex parte the North Yorkshire and Cleveland Railway Company.** In the matter of the North Yorkshire and Cleveland Railway Act, 1854. The account of the Governor, Brethern, and Sisters, Visitors, Master, and Usher of the Hospital and Free School of Turner's Hospital, and freehold of the foundation of Sir William Turner, Knight, at Kirkle-at-ham, in the county of York.
- Ex parte the Duke of Northumberland.**
- Notley v. Palmer.** The account of the real estates devised to Marwood Notley and his children, subject to succession duty.
- Nash v. Ridge.**
- Newby v. Robinson.** The receiver's account.
- Newton v. Samuel.** The account of Hyem Cohen.
- Nelson v. Sanderson.** In Master Halford's office.
- Nolder v. Severs.** The account of the claims of the Goldsmiths' Company.
- Newsome v. Shearman, and Newsome v. Shearman.**
- Nicklinton v. Tibbatts.**
- Newton v. Treffrey.**
- Nanney v. Wynn.**
- Osborn v. Bellman.**
- Ottewill v. Cheverton.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William

- Oddy. The account of Hannah Oddy and others.
- Oldham v. Dowler.
- Osborne v. Ellis. In Master Eame's office.
- In the matter of the trust created by the will of Thomas Offen for the children of Hannah Collins.
- Osborne v. Foreman. The account of the legacy of John Baker Stapley and his incumbrances.
- In the matter of the trusts of O'Hara's marriage settlement.
- Owens v. Jennings, and Chidloe v. Jennings.
- Owens v. Jennings, and Chidloe v. Jennings. The personal estate of Roger Jennings the elder.
- Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Oldham. The account of William Travis and John Travis, and of all other persons, if any, interested in certain lands in the parish of Rochdale, described in a plan annexed to a notice to treat on 26th November, 1857, served by the said Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses upon the said William Travis and John Travis.
- Oldaker v. Lavender, and Oldaker v. Farrell.
- Oakly v. Norton.
- Ormond v. Pollexfen. In Master Eld's office.
- Oldfield v. Preston.
- Ex parte Ralph Ord, Esq.
- Ordinance for year 1804.
- Florence Annette Orme, a minor.
- Joseph John Charles Ormsby, an infant.
- Orton v. Richdale.
- Ex parte the Oswestry, Ellesmere, and Whitchurch Railway Company. The account of George Salter.
- Owen v. Soame. In Master Pepys' office.
- Oakes v. Strachey. The account of the infant defendant, Catherine Matilda Oakes.
- The estate of Robert Owen and Sibley v. Owen, and Dunning v. Owen. The account of indemnity against the claim of Strachan v. Strachan.
- Elizabeth Owens, a minor.
- Marianne Owens, a minor.
- Owen Owens, a minor.
- Ex parte the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Company. In the matter of the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act, 1845.
- Ex parte the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Company. In the matter of the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act, 1845. The account of moneys arisen from the sale of part of the glebe lands of the vicarage of the parish church of Chipping Campden, in the county of Gloucester.
- Ex parte the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Company. In the matter of the Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act, 1845. The account of Saint John's College, Oxford.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the two shares standing in the name of the Reverend James Calley, of Wooten Wawen, in the county of Warwick, in the books of the Company of Proprietors of the Stratford-upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the two shares standing in the name of William Colquhoun, of Saint Andrew's-square, Edinburgh, Esq., in the books of the Company of Proprietors of the Stratford-upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- The Oxford, Worcester, and Wolverhampton Railway Act. The capital account of the person or persons entitled to the three shares standing in the name of John Palmer, of Maxstode Castle, Coleshill, Gentleman, in the books of the Company of Proprietors of the Stratford-upon-Avon Canal Navigation.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of mortgage, dated the 15th day of October, 1852. made between John Palmer and George Green. The account of Elizabeth, the sister of Robert Parkinson, the testator and her children, if any, living at the time of the death of the testator.
- In the matter of the trust of Thomas Parr. The legacy account of Harriet Dilke and her issue.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Charles Pattle, deceased, so far as the same relates to the share of Charles Augustus Roche in a sum of £1,666 13s. 4d. Consols, forming part of the residuary estate of the said Thomas Charles Pattle.
- In the matter of the trusts of the next of kin of Andrew William Paxton, deceased.
- Pearce v. Adams.
- Packer v. Amhurst.
- Powell v. Attorney General. The legatee of £100 mentioned in the testator's will or his representatives' account.
- Phillips v. Ball.
- Price v. Bangham. The account of James William Tuck.
- Perrott v. Barbor.
- Petty v. Barker.
- Petty v. Baring. The account of the debts of the testator.
- Preston v. Barker.
- Phippen v. Bath. The account of the settlement of Elizabeth Hookway and her children.
- Perry v. Beauclerk.
- Perry v. Beauclerk. Subject to duty.
- Perry v. Beauclerk. The account of the representatives of Mary, Countess Jenison Walworth, and Mary Jenison, and Charles Jenison.
- Peck v. Beechey, and Russell v. Beechey.
- Peck v. Beechey. The separate contingent account of the unestablished next of kin of the testator.
- Parker v. Bendle. The account of Charles Edward Parker, an infant.
- Parker v. Bendle. The account of James Parker, an infant.
- Parker v. Bendle. The account of John Parker, an infant.
- Parker v. Bendle. The account of Thomas Parker, an infant.
- Pemberton v. Lord Berwick. The account of the creditors remaining unpaid.
- Pritchard v. Boddy. Evans' land account.
- Palmer v. Bonington.
- Pocklington v. Bonnor.
- Plant v. Boucher.
- Prince v. Bourjot. The ten hogsheads account.
- Parkhurst v. Boyd. The account of the personal representatives of Robert Hall.
- Pomeroy v. Brewer.
- Parker v. Earl of Bristol.
- Pole v. Buller, and Buller v. Pole.
- Pratt v. Burgess, and Pratt v. Pratt.
- Pellatt v. Barton. The account of Dowsett's mortgage.
- Prickett v. Burrell. John Brook's trust.
- Prickett v. Burrell. William Brook's trust.
- Pope v. Burton.
- Pugh and other v. Cambridge.
- Page v. Catley.
- Porter v. Clarke.
- Paul v. Compton. The account of damages done to the testator's leasehold estate.
- Pelham v. Compton.



- Pinkerton v. Craddock.**  
**Peate v. Crane.**  
**Phillips v. Baron Dacre.**  
**Phillips v. Baron Dacre.** The account of the creditors of the Honourable Edward Bouverie, named on the first schedule to the Master's Report, dated the 4th August, 1829.  
**Phillips v. Baron Dacre.** The account of the creditors of the Honourable Edward Bouverie, named on the first schedule to the Master's Report, dated the 23rd day of November, 1833.  
**Earl of Portarlington v. Damer, and Earl of Portarlington v. Bruce.**  
**Powell v. Davies.**  
**Powell v. Davison.** Ann Dobson and her children, their account.  
**Pulteney v. Douglas.** Charles Speke Pulteney's account.  
**Elizabeth Peach** (now claiming to be the wife of Gideon Swain), absent beyond the seas.  
**In the matter of the trusts of Alfred Pearce,** deceased.  
**Ex parte Isaac Pelham.**  
**Ex parte** the persons interested in the estates devised by the will of Francis Charles James Pemberton, Esq. The unopened mines account.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the trust arisen from the sale of land at Swallow Cliffe, in Wilts, part of the estate of George Robert Charles, Earl of Pembroke and Montgomery, and conveyed to Her Majesty's Commissioners for building new churches.**  
**Ex parte** the Penarth Harbour, Dock, and Railway Company. The account of John Boyle and Major-General Charles Stuart, the trustees of the will of the late Marquis of Bute.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Bathsheba Penny, formerly of Kensington-square, in the county of Middlesex, Widow, deceased.** Sibylla Sally Pasmore's legacy, in the will called Sybella Pasmore.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Rachel Pereira, deceased.** The legacy account of Hugh Charles Albert, Charlotte Elizabeth Green, and Emma Sophia Peppercorn, deceased.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Rachel Pereira, deceased.** *Ex parte* the legatees under such will.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Catherine Perrin, deceased.** The account of the share of William Spann in the residuary estate of Catherine Perrin.  
**In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of mortgage, dated the 8th day of May, 1841, and of the devisees under the will of Henry Peters.** The account of Phoebe Peters, Widow, Joseph Peters and his children, and his brothers, Thomas Peters, Henry Peters, and George Peters.  
**George Petter, who is beyond seas.**  
**Lucy Petter, who is beyond seas.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of one moiety of the sum of £400 specifically bequeathed by the will of Mary Pettinger, Widow, deceased.**  
**Perkins v. Edge, Perkins v. Boyle, and Perkins v. Ede.**  
**Pemberton v. Flower.**  
**Piggott v. Galloway.**  
**Povey v. Gregory.** The account of the defendant, John Webb.  
**Duke of Portland v. Griffiths.**  
**Powell v. Griffiths.** The account of the legacy bequeathed to Jane Parry, afterwards Jane Griffiths, deceased.  
**Powell v. Griffiths.** The account of the legacy bequeathed to Joan Parry, afterwards Joan Powell, deceased.
- Peters v. Grote.** Elizabeth Read's legacy account.  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Frances Phillips, Widow, deceased.**  
**In the matter of the trust of the will of George Phillips in respect of the legacy bequeathed for the repairs of Edward Tickner's monument and poor of the parish of St. Lawrence, Thanet, Kent.**  
**Phipps v. Henderson.**  
**Prince v. Hine.** The account of the infant plaintiff, Catherine Prince, deceased.  
**Parsons v. Holt.** The account of the real estate.  
**Phippard v. Hoppe.**  
**Paynter v. Houston.**  
**Paxton v. Humble.**  
**Pulsford v. Hunter, and Jennings v. Hunter.**  
**Polhill v. the Earl of Hyndford and others.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of Richard Pitt the younger, deceased.** The account of Richard Colchester Pitt, an infant.  
**Pulsford v. Inglis.**  
**Paul v. Jarritt.** The account of costs.  
**Powell v. Jenken.** The plaintiff's account.  
**Paul v. Jennings.** Sarah White, the mother's estate.  
**Parry v. Jones.**  
**Pulteney v. Jones.**  
**Powles v. Jopling.** The account of William Wright.  
**Payne v. Kinaston, Puleston v. Kinaston, and Puleston v. Hill, Bart.**  
**Parker v. Lake.** *Ex parte* Heaton Clark's indemnity account.  
**Potts v. Layton.**  
**Potts v. Layton.** The subsequent account.  
**Page v. Leapingwell.**  
**Powell v. Lloyd.**  
**Pratt v. Lord.** The account of the five children of the testator's son, James Pratt.  
**Pratt v. Lord.** The account of the three children of the testator's son, Robert Pratt.  
**Pee v. Marsh.**  
**Prentice v. Mensal.**  
**Pearce v. Milner, Pearce v. Jones, Pearce v. Capper, and Pearce v. Downes.**  
**Plaxton v. Milner.**  
**Pegden v. Mockett.** The account of the defendant, Henry Pegden.  
**Parkins v. Moore, and Moore v. Helps.**  
**Potter v. Moore.**  
**Polhill v. Morgan.** The account of the legacy duty upon the legacy of £10,000 given to the defendant, Charlotte Clara Morgan Payler, and her children.  
**Primrose v. Lord Mountford.**  
**Parsons v. Nevill.** Jacob Hern, the son's account.  
**Phillips v. Newland.** The separate account of the incumbrances of Samuel Phillips.  
**Price v. North.**  
**In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Pool.** The account of Washington Boxer-Nichols, a person of unsound mind, not so found by inquisition.  
**In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Poole, of 11, Lower Seymour-street, Portman-square, in the county of Middlesex, and Mary Ann, his wife, and John Henry Poole, their son.**  
**The Right Honourable John Charles, Earl of Portsmouth, of unsound mind.** The creditors' account.  
**Ex parte** the Portsmouth Railway Company. *In the matter of the Portsmouth Railway Act, 1853.*  
**Ex parte** Richard Powell, of Saint John's Wood, in the parish of Paddington, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman.

- In the matter of the trusts of the estate of Samuel Powell, deceased.
- Parmiter v. Parmiter. The account of George Parmiter and his assignees, subject to duty.
- Paxon v. Paxon.
- Pearce v. Pearce. The account of the plaintiff, Mary Pearce, and her children.
- Pettingal v. Pettingal. The infant Julia Maria Pettingal's legacy.
- Phillips v. Phillips.
- Pochin v. Pochin. The produce of sale of land to the Midland Counties Railway Company.
- Palmer v. Potter.
- Probert v. Powell. The account of the purchase money of nine elevenths of one-eighteenth of the real estate, by the will directed to be sold, which did not belong to said testator.
- Picton v. Preston.
- Price v. Price. In Master Courtenay's office. The separate account of Thomas Hicken, of Birmingham, in the county of Warwick, Distiller, as surviving partner of Samuel Lechigary Dunsford, late of the same place, deceased, as assignees of John Bennet, late of the town of Brecon, in the county of Brecon.
- Price v. Price, 1862, P., 55. Proceeds of sale of Abergivilli estates.
- Prickett v. Prickett.
- Prosser v. Prosser, and Prosser v. Prosser.
- Pugh v. Pugh.
- Pugh v. Pugh, 1860, P., 129.
- Pym v. Pym. The infant plaintiff Catherine Rose Pym's share of compensation.
- Margaret Price, Widow, a person of unsound mind. In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Pritchett, of Sekford-street, Clerkenwell, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, deceased. The account of the residuary share of Joseph Pritchett, one of the five children of Joseph Pritchett.
- Ex parte Sir William Beauchamp Proctor, or other the persons interested in 2 roods of ground in Springfield, in the county of Essex.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Martha Protheroe, Spinster, deceased. The residue account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of John Prowett.
- Pollard v. Revoult, and Pollard v. Hosegood. John Duplan Lloyd, the annuitants' account.
- Perry v. Rumsey. Rents and profits of mortgaged estates.
- Prideaux v. St. Aubyn.
- Parkhurst v. Saxton. The account of the legacy intended for John Bosher.
- Parker v. Sayle. The unclaimed share of Richard Heathfield, John Green, Charles Picksley, Jonathan Marshall, and Robert Jobson, respectively.
- Pollen v. Hope Scott.
- Prosser v. Scurlock. The defendant John Scurlock's account.
- Parkhurst v. Selwin.
- Purdue v. Sharp.
- Paton v. Sheppard. The legacy account of the children of James Paton.
- Patten v. Smith.
- Peche v. Smith. The annuity account of John Peche, the grandson.
- Phillips v. Spencer.
- Palmer v. Stephens. The account of the personal estate.
- Pringle v. Stephenson.
- Patterson v. Stewart.
- Patten v. Taylor.
- Payne v. Trentam.
- Pritchard v. Tuplin, and Tuplin v. Hodgson.
- Phillips v. Watkins.
- Parker v. Watts.
- Parr v. Wicks. The legacy account of Frederick Oliver.
- Perry v. Wilder.
- Pratt v. Wilson. The legatees' account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Patrick Quin, deceased. The contingent account of William Quin Kennedy.
- Elizabeth Rainier, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Richard Raymond, deceased, for the benefit of Sarah Warner, one of the children of Edward Raymond and Rose, his wife.
- Ex parte the Right Honourable William, Earl of Radnor, interested in a certain piece or parcel of land in the parish of Bridford, in the county of Wilts.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Richard Randell, deceased.
- Ragget v. Arkinstall.
- Rice v. Abraham.
- Reeve v. Attorney-General. The account of the legacy for bettering the condition of the poor.
- Reeve v. Attorney-General. The account of the legacy for the encouragement of female servants.
- Roberts v. Ballard.
- Reeves v. Biggar.
- Radford v. Boys, and Cosser v. Radford.
- Roff v. Caffrey.
- Roberts v. Collier.
- Rawson v. Cheyne.
- Ridding v. Collier, and Emery v. Collier.
- Rose v. Cunynghame, and Cunynghame v. Rose.
- Rickerby v. Chapman.
- Romney v. Dickson. The account of Mary, the wife of Stephen Fell.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Reynolds, late of Lammas, in the county of Norfolk, Farmer, deceased, in favour of Leonora Allen and her children.
- The account of Mr. Henry Read.
- Frances Elizabeth Reeve, of Bath, Widow.
- Thomas Vincent Reynolds, Esq., a lunatic. The creditor's account.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Read, deceased. The share of Charles Read.
- Ridge v. Edwards.
- Rugg v. Farmer.
- Ross v. Franklin. The account of the plaintiff, Mary Wood, deceased.
- Robinson v. Fletcher, and Robinson v. Fletcher.
- Raison v. Floyd.
- Roffey v. Greenhill.
- Rice v. Griffith.
- Robertson v. The Great Western Railway Company.
- Rowland v. Garnett.
- Rickabe v. Garwood. Esther Pye's life estate.
- Ruffley v. Hall.
- Ramsden v. Hylton, Hylton v. Briscoe, and Briscoe v. Hylton. In Master Allen's office.
- Ramsden v. Hodgkin, Hodgkin v. Musgrave, and Briscoe v. Musgrave.
- Richardson v. Hubbersty.
- Rawlings v. Jennings.
- Samuel Richardson, absent beyond the seas.
- In the matter of the post-nuptial settlement of James Richards and Ann, his wife.
- The estate of William Francis Rivers, deceased, Fleet v. Weller.
- Frances Ridgway v. Emma Ridgway and another.
- Rochester v. Kirsopp, and Rochester v. Gibson. The annuitant Dorothy Charlton's account.
- Reid v. Keith. The account of the defendant, Angelique Black.
- Rogers v. Keen.

- Radcliffe v. King. The £200 legacy account.  
 Radcliffe v. King. The legacy account of Jane St. Leger.  
 Rochester v. Kirsopp, and Rochester v. Gibson.  
 Robinson v. Longden.  
 Reynolds v. Lang. The plaintiff's account.  
 Richards v. Morgan.  
 Royal Exchange Assurance Company v. Morrice.  
 Rogers v. Mills. The account of Elizabeth Kingdon, deceased, Ann Bond, Nelme Rogers Bond, deceased, and William Bond.  
 Rawlings v. Nash.  
 Rawson v. Neville.  
 Ex parte the Mayor, Aldermen, and Citizens of the city of Rochester, in the county of Kent.  
 Ex parte the Rotherham Gas Light and Coke Company. In the matter of the Rotherham Gas Act, 1846. The trustees of the will of Richard, Earl of Effingham.  
 In the matter of Rowse's trusts. The share of Rebecca Bartlett, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the mortgage security made by Benson Rowley, deceased, dated the 28th of March, 1850.  
 In the matter of the trusts of Ann Rowland's residuary share. Under the will of Anselm Brown, of James - street, Westminster, 26th February, 1817.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Robertson, late of Richmond, Surrey, Auctioneer, deceased. The separate account of William Robertson, in the surplus moneys under the deed of the 9th May, 1828.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Rothwell, late of Manchester, in the county of Lancaster, Merchant, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Mary Robinson, of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Widow, deceased. The legacy of Phillis Broomfield.  
 The account of the representatives of Elizabeth Rogers, the unknown parties interested in the pieces or parcels of ground, messuages, or tenements, hereditaments, and premises known and distinguished in the schedule annexed to the Act of Parliament of 10 Geo. IV., cap. 136, by the No. 7, in York-street, and Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, in Green Dragon-court, in the borough of Southwark, and also of and in all that other piece or parcel of ground on which lately stood two houses and buildings, distinguished in the schedule by letters B and M.  
 Ex parte the purchasers of the estates devised by the will of Christopher Rolleston, Esq.  
 William Colin Campbell Romaine, an infant.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Robinson, deceased. The share of the residue bequeathed by the said will to Caroline Linsdell, a lunatic.  
 Margaret Robinson and another v. Joseph Robinson and others. The rent account of the infant plaintiffs.  
 Rawlings v. Pearson, Rawlings v. Rawlings, Rawlings v. Bluet, Rawlings v. Temple, and Rawlings v. Green.  
 Rainford v. Parke and Chaffers. The account of Olive Hall, Thomas Hannah, Thomas Hall, George Hall, Elizabeth Humming, and Bella Hall.  
 Rawstone v. Parr.  
 Rumsey v. Perry. The account of the real and personal estate of William Perry.  
 Richards v. Patteson.  
 Raby v. Ridehalgh.  
 Rogers v. Rogers. William Rogers and Mary Shrieve, the legatees' account.  
 Rivett v. Ravenscroft.  
 Rayner v. Rayner.  
 Rowles v. Rowles.  
 Rose v. Rogers.  
 Rowe v. Sharp.  
 Raymond v. Skelton.  
 Reeve v. Storke, and Reeve v. Storke.  
 Rolpt v. Tidswell.  
 Rowland v. Tawney, and Rowland v. Taylor. The account of Mary Lock and her children.  
 Rowlls v. Thomas Timmiss. Legacy account.  
 Russell v. Thurston.  
 Reynolds v. Throsby.  
 Harry Vane Russell, an infant.  
 Rogers v. Whisken. The leasehold estate account, subject to duty.  
 Ryder v. Webb, and Selwyn v. Webb.  
 Raynes v. White.  
 Rakes v. Williams.  
 Read v. Whitaker. The account of the dividends on the shares in the Llanidloes and Newtown Railway Company.  
 Ex parte the Commissioners for improving the town of Ryde, in the Isle of Wight. The account of Sarah Ann Dennis, Widow.  
 In the matter of the late Thomas Sargant's trust account.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, Widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney, so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of James Geere Healy, a Convict, subject to duty.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney, so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of Jane Elizabeth Healy, subject to duty.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Sarah Sarney, late of New Windsor, in the county of Berks, Widow, deceased, and of the settlement of the said Sarah Sarney, so far as the same relate to the shares and interests of the children of Ann Healy thereunder. The account of the share of Mary Ann Healy, subject to duty.  
 Touching certain salvages.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the Bank for Savings, lately carrying on business at St. Helen's, in the county of Lancaster.  
 Ex parte the Salisbury and Yeovil Railway Company. The account of the devised estates of William Manning Dodrington, deceased, subject to succession duty.  
 Giuseppe Attileo Edward Moore Saffi, an infant.  
 Smee v. Aldis, and Smee v. Aldis. The plaintiff's indemnity account against liability, under the leases held by the testator.  
 Seney v. Allen. The interest account.  
 Salter v. Ainsworth. The account of William Fort, a legatee.  
 Salter v. Ainsworth. The account of Sarah Davey, a legatee.  
 Smith v. Atkinson.  
 Simpson v. Allison.  
 Simpson v. Allison. The account of the children of Joseph Barker.  
 Shaip v. Barker. The account of Caroline Mordaunt Easton, deceased, one of the children of the defendant, Alexander Shaip.  
 Smart v. Bradley. The account of Anne Wilmot, Widow, deceased.  
 Sharpe v. Bracher, and Sharpe v. Troutbeck.  
 Lord Sinclair v. Ballantyne.  
 Stewart v. Bullock.  
 Sabine and others v. Butler and others.

- Smith v. Beckett.** The account of the share of the residue bequeathed to Elizabeth, the wife of Frederick Middleton.
- Lord St. John v. Boughton.** The legacy account.
- Sill v. Boden.**
- Ex parte the Scottswood Bridge Company.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Schofield,** deceased, so far as they relate to Martha Lees and her children.
- Sweetland v. Coplestone.**
- Stockley v. Crockett.** The account of the plaintiff, Ann Stockley.
- Symmer v. Chapman.** In Master Wilmot's office.
- Snell v. Chauncy.**
- Stuart v. Cook, and Stuart v. Cook.** The account of Mary Stuart, the infant.
- Smith v. Cook.** In the office of Mr. Martin.
- Sewell v. Croweller.**
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representatives of Michael Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representative of Ruth Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representatives of Sarah Scholefield, deceased.
- Strother v. Dutton.** The account of the personal representative of Abraham Scholefield, deceased.
- Stephens v. Dixon.** In Master John Bennett's office.
- Silk v. Dimsdale.** The account of the unsatisfied creditors of Christopher Thomson.
- Smith v. Dyer.**
- Sylvester v. Delisser.** The separate account of Elias Joseph Sylvester, a plaintiff, in the residue.
- Sylvester v. Delliser.** The account of the share of Elias Joseph Sylvester, a plaintiff, in the residue.
- Saunders v. Dickons.**
- Stocks v. Dodsley.**
- Slater v. Dodds.** The account of the share of the testator's daughter, Mary Slater, the tenant for life, subject to duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of a sum of South Sea Stock,** bequeathed by the will of Amy Seal to her niece, Ann Seal, for life, with remainder to the children of Robert Hall and Moses Seal.
- The account of John Ford Sevier Nathaniel Stouard, James Henry Owen Hall,** claiming to be interested in two sixteenth parts of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, and the meeting-house or chapel and dwelling-house thereupon erected, situate and being in Meeting House-court, Miles-lane, in the city of London.
- Ex parte the Severn Valley Railway Company.** The account of William Russell.
- Ex parte the Commissioners of Sewers of the City of London, Benjamin Scott, Esq., Chamberlain of the said city, and John Thomas, the Vicar of the vicarage of All Hallows Barking.** The account of the Vicar of the vicarage of All Hallows Barking.
- Sutton v. Edmonstone.**
- Style v. Ellis.**
- Strutt v. Finch.** The account of John James Warren and Elizabeth Jane, his wife.
- Shirley v. Earl Ferrers, and Earl Ferrers v. Ward.** In Master Holford's office.
- Strutt v. Finch.** The purchase money of Lot 3.
- Sidden v. Forster, and Sidden v. Lediard.** The account of the creditors of Robert Wooley.
- Spires v. Fisher.**
- Staines v. Gifford.** The life-interest account of plaintiff, Richard Sutton Staines the elder.
- Spencer v. Gilpin.** The account of John Simpson Spencer.
- Slade v. Griffiths, and Clarke v. Slade.** In Master Grave's office.
- Speakman v. Gould.**
- Shuttleworth v. Greaves.**
- Stock v. Greenaway.**
- Simpson v. Gutteridge.** The life account of the plaintiff, James Simpson.
- Staines v. Gifford.** The life interest account of the plaintiff, William Staines the elder.
- Smith v. Griffith, and Smith v. Thorburn.** The share of the defendant, Louisa Thorn Thorburn, and her children.
- Stiles v. Guy.**
- Arthur O'Ferrell Shaen, an infant.**
- Ex parte the Sheffield Town Trustees.** The account of the devisees and legatee of John Bennett, Esq., deceased.
- In the matter of the Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company.** The account of the Governors of the Free School in Luxton, founded by John Pierrepond.
- Ex parte the Shropshire Union Railways and Canal Company.** **Ex parte the Forton School Fund.**
- Frances Maria Sherratt, a lunatic.**
- Ex parte the Sheffield, Ashton-under-Lyne, and Manchester Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the Shrewsbury and Birmingham Railway Company.** In the matter of the Shrewsbury and Birmingham Railway Act, 1846.
- Ex parte the Shrewsbury and Hereford Railway Company.** The account of Charles Price, Gentleman.
- In the matter of Shipman's Trust.** The share of Robert Shipman.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Shaker, deceased.** The account of Mary Ann Fanny Adams.
- In the matter of the trusts of the share of John Shore the younger of the clear residue under the will of Francis Shore.**
- Spurrell v. Hulse.**
- Scales v. Hayes.**
- Sherard v. Earl of Harborough.** In Master Edwards' office.
- Smith v. Hatch.**
- Stephenson v. Heathcote, and Heathcote v. Stephenson.** In Master Graves' office.
- Stonehouse v. Harrison.**
- Steedman v. Haynes.**
- Sloane v. Lord Hawke.**
- Scott v. Harwood.** The account of the real estate.
- Sleman v. Hamlyn.**
- Stagg v. Hendy.** In Master Spicer's office.
- Stukely v. Hewatson.**
- Earl of Shipbrook v. Lord Viscount Hinchinbroke.** In Master Eame's office.
- Stanley v. Hitchon.**
- Strangeways v. Holderness.** In Master Conway's office.
- Skinner v. Hole.**
- Still v. Hoste.**
- Shepherd v. Houghton.** The unpaid legacy account.
- Scaratt v. Hume.**
- Shuttleworth v. Howarth.** The account of the defendants of John Kay.
- Sadler v. Halse.**
- Smithson v. Heygate.**
- St. Aubyn v. Humphreys.** The personal estate of the settler, Edmund Francis St. Aubyn.
- Southern v. Harris.** The share of John Jones in the legacy of £200 bequeathed after the decease of Ann Howells to her children.
- Ann Silk, an infant legatee.**
- Clare Silk, an infant legatee.**

- Ex parte the Sirhowy Railway Company.** The account of Mary Thomas.
- Ex parte the Sirhowy Railway Company.** The account of Edmund Davies Williams.
- Skerratt v. Ingmire.**
- Sadler v. Insall.**
- Spicer v. James.**
- Stone v. Kemp.**
- Stowey v. Kekewick.** James Stowey, the annuitant's account.
- Small v. Lucas.** The account of the representative of Martha Elizabeth Ann, the late wife of Richard Lucas, both deceased, subject to legacy duty.
- Shelly v. Lloyd.** The account of the rents and profits of Tynygrigg tenement.
- Skillman v. Lade.**
- Stone v. Love.** In Master Holford's office.
- Searle v. Lethieulier.** In Master Burrough's office.
- Stahlschmidt v. Lett.** The rent account.
- Stephens v. Lawry.**
- In the matter of the trust of the shares of Elizabeth Smith, formerly Elizabeth Clayton, Spinster, and of James Currie Wood, in right of Mary, his wife, formerly Mary Clayton, Spinster, now deceased, of and in the trust moneys, subject to Clayton's trusts.
- In the matter of the trust estate of Elizabeth Smither, deceased.
- Margaret Smith's estate, Vol. 1, fol. 88.** The account of Thomas Smith, the eldest son of Timothy Smith.
- In the matter of Smither's Trust.
- Ex parte the purchaser or purchasers of the settled estates of Sir Thomas Smyth, Bart.**
- Spencer v. Murray.**
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Smith, Spinster, deceased. The account of the next of kin of the said Ann Smith, as to the proceeds of the sale of her leasehold dwelling house.
- In the matter of the trusts of the distributive share of the children of John Smith in the personal estate of Jeremiah Smith, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Smith, of Guisborough, deceased. The produce of the sale of the real estate.
- In the matter of the trusts of a deed of declaration of trust dated the 30th of June, 1852, concerning a sum of £3,191 10s., £3 5s. per Cent. Annuities, to which under the will of James Smith, of Port Louis, in the Mauritius, Jeanne Eleonore Auclere Smith and others were entitled.
- In the matter of the trusts of the shares of William Crosby Smith, in the New Zealand Company.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicils of Elizabeth Smith, the shares of residue bequeathed in trust for Elizabeth Stacey and Mary Anne Paine.
- Stenhouse v. Mitchell.** The infant's general interest account.
- Scruton v. Middleton.**
- Saunders v. Marten.** Ann, the wife of John Brown, her account.
- Shirley v. Lord Munners.** The plaintiff, James Shirley, the infant's account.
- Skeffington v. Mercer.**
- Shellaber v. Maud.**
- Stephens v. Lord Newborough.** The policy of assurance account.
- Saunders v. Norman.**
- Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.** The account of the persons entitled under the settlement of the Reverend Thomas Fry.
- Ex parte the South Eastern Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** In the matter of the Guardians of the Poor of the city of Canterbury.
- Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.** In the matter of the South Wales Railway Act, 1852. In the matter of the estate of John Macdonald, deceased. The account of John Thomas.
- Ex parte the South Yorkshire Railway and River Dun Company.** The account of the Perpetual Curate and Overseers of Wentworth, in the county of York.
- Ex parte the South Yorkshire Railway and River Dun Company.** The account of the devisees (in trust) of John Clarke, deceased.
- Ex parte the South Yorkshire Railway and River Dun Company.** In the matter of the South Yorkshire, Doncaster, and Goole Railway Act, 1847, and the South Yorkshire Railway and River Dun Act, 1850.
- Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.** The account of William Child Webb.
- Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.** The account of Irving Clark, the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, Her Majesty's Attorney-General, and the Embankment Company, the parties interested in certain lands situate near to the borough of Plymouth, in the county of Devon.
- Ex parte the Southampton and Dorchester Railway Company.** The account of the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, and of the Mayor and Corporation of Southampton, and Sir John Barker Mill, Bart., and Arthur Athlerly, Esq.
- Ex parte the South Devon Railway Company.**
- Ex parte the Local Board of Health for the Borough of Southampton.** In the matter of the Public Health Act, 1848, and the Public Health Supplemental Act, 1850 (No. 3).
- Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.** In the matter of the South Wales Railway Amendment Act, 1847.
- Ex parte the South Wales Railway Company.** In the matter of the South Wales Railway Act, 1845. The account of the settled estates of Lucy Bowen, deceased.
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** The account of Thomas Grant.
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** The account of the Mayor and Commonalty and Citizens of the City of London, trustees of the Bridge House Estate.
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** The account of the Rev. Charles Augustus Morgan.
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** The account of Humphrey Francis Mildmay.
- Ex parte the South-Eastern Railway Company.** The account of William Pye, executor of the late Western Wood.
- Ex parte the South Staffordshire Railway Company.** The account of Job Haines, Henry Haines, William Lees Underhill, Thomas Underhill, Charles Maddock Lees, and Thomas Bill.
- Ex parte the South Staffordshire Railway Company.** The account of Thomas Highway and Charles Highway.
- Ex parte the South Staffordshire Railway Company.** The account of Samuel Langley.
- Ex parte the Somerset and Dorset Railway Company.** The account of George Senford, late of Fifehend Magdalen, in the county of Dorset, Yeoman, but now absent from the United King-

- dom, for the purchase of lands at St. Pitcombe, in the county of Somerset.
- In the matter of the South Devon Railway Act (Amendment and Branches), 1846. The account of Sir William Walter Yea, Bart.
- Ex parte the South Staffordshire Railway Company. The account of Henry Stanley, John Freeth, John Burton, William James, John Woodward Newman, William Smith, Henry Brace, Edmund Elijah Stanley, William Titus Somerfield, trustees of a certain charity created by the will of Robert Parker, deceased, and the said William Titus Somerfield and Charles Wilkes, the Churchwardens for the time being of the Ecclesiastical Division of Great Boxwich, and Henry Highbury and Joseph Potter, the Churchwardens for the time being of the township of the foreign of Walsall.
- In the matter of the trusts of the residuary personal estate of Edward Bishop Sorby, deceased. The account of Amelia Hissey's third share.
- Salmon v. Osborn, Colmer v. Osborn, and Baringer v. Osborn. The account of the personal representative of Amelia Grove, the annuitant, deceased.
- Soame v. Owen. In Master Pepys' office.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of settlement, bearing date the 15th day of May, 1854, made on the marriage of the Reverend Isaac Spencer and Harriet, his wife, deceased.
- In the matter of the trust of the estate of William Spencer, deceased. The account of the share of residue bequeathed to the testator's nephews, Samuel Smith and James Smith, and his niece, Jane Simms, or to their children.
- Gratiana Spence, a lunatic. The timber account.
- Helen Speer, an infant.
- Skelhorn v. Pearson.
- Stevens v. Pointer.
- Seaman v. Rackham.
- Stanford v. Roberts.
- Sherwin v. Reynell.
- Swanwick v. Ridge.
- Soames v. Robinson. Account of equitable assets.
- Spencer v. Rigg. The account of the proceeds of the sale of the one-eighth share of the testator's residuary real estate, by his will devised to the children of his sister, Rebecca Heap.
- Spencer v. Rigg.
- Simmons v. Rose. The interest account on the legacy of £1,000, bequeathed to Barnabas Rose and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Simmons v. Rose. The legacy bequeathed to Barnabas Rose and his children, subject to legacy duty.
- Shephard v. Redpath.
- Spies v. Spies. The account of the tenant in tail immediately succeeding Robert Thatcher, deceased.
- Spies v. Spies. The account of the tenant in tail of Robert Thatcher, deceased.
- Stevens v. Stevens. The account of the issue, if any, of Elizabeth Thorn.
- Spies v. Spies. The account of the shares of the parties found by the Master's report not parties to these causes.
- St. Quintin v. St. Quintin. The account of Joseph Dunn.
- Scott v. Splasnett. The annuitant's account.
- Shewell v. Shewell, Shewell v. Bateman, Shewell v. Shewell, and Shewell v. Whitaker
- Stubbs v. Silver. The account of Ann Elizabeth Pound.
- Sillitoe v. Sillitoe. The account of Richard Marygold Nonely Mansfield, an infant.
- Sharp v. Earl of Scarborough. An account of real assets.
- Sherwood v. Sanderson.
- Sayer v. Sayer. The legatee's account.
- Sharples v. Sharples. The account of Mary Sharples and others, infants.
- Sheppard v. Sheafe. Ann Higginson's account. In Master Lane's office.
- Snape v. Sermon.
- Smith v. Smith. Thomas Smith's account.
- Stiff v. Simmons.
- Spire v. Smith.
- Scott v. Scott. In Master Greave's office.
- Spofforth v. Stovin. The account of unsatisfied legacies.
- Strong v. Strong, Strong v. Roberts, and Strong v. Pitfield.
- Smith v. Slark.
- Saumarez v. Saumarez. The residuary account.
- Storey v. Scottney. The account of Isabella Bainbridge, the legatee.
- Storey v. Scottney. The account of Mr. Henry Smith, the late Solicitor of the plaintiffs in the suit of Storey v. Scottney.
- Scott v. Sewell.
- Selby v. Selby.
- Spode v. Smith, Johnes v. Smith, Carter v. Smith, and Carter v. Bond.
- Sykes v. Sykes.
- Staples v. Sumner.
- Steele v. Steele.
- Smith v. Smith, and Smith v. Smith. The stock account.
- Street v. Street.
- Sterling v. Sterling, and Sterling v. Sterling. The life estate account of the plaintiff, Julia Maria Sterling.
- Sterling v. Sterling, and Sterling v. Sterling. The life estate account of the infant plaintiff, Hester Isabella Sterling.
- Smith v. Smith. The account of Henry Smith, deceased.
- Richard Smith and others v. Thomas Smith and others. George Smith, deceased, son of the testator's brother, William Smith, deceased.
- Sterling v. Sterling, and Sterling v. Sterling. The account of the plaintiff, Katherine Susan Ross and her children or appointees, if any.
- Scurrah v. Scurrah. The costs account of the defendant, Ann Sarah Scurrah Parrott, late Gibbs, the wife of George Parrott.
- Scurrah v. Schurrah. The costs account of the defendant, Sarah Scurrah.
- Richard Smith and others v. Thomas Smith and others. Elizabeth, Widow of George Smith, and her incumbrancer, Comfort Dew.
- Shreeve v. Shreeve.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Tasseli Stanley, deceased. The representatives of Francis Wilks, deceased
- Ex parte an undertaking for making a railway from the Stockport, Disley, and Whaley Bridge Railway, in the parish of Stockport, and county of Chester, to Hayfield, in the county of Derby, and for other purposes.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Stonhouse.
- Robert Richard Thomas, John William James, Agnes Margaret Janet, and Anne Strachan, infant legatees.
- Robert Stockdale, as assignee of Moreton, Voyce, and Watts.
- Ex parte the trustees for executing an Act for repealing an Act passed in the twenty-first year of the reign of His Majesty King George the Third for repairing the roads leading from the Stones-end, in Kent-street, in the parish of St. George, Southwark, to Dartford, and other

- roads therein mentioned in the counties of Kent and Surrey.
- Ex parte** the Staines, Wokingham, and Woking Railway Company. The account of the Master, Fellows, and Scholars of St. John's College, Cambridge.
- Ex parte** an undertaking for making a railway from Stafford, in the county of Stafford, to Uttoxeter, in the same county.
- In the matter of the legacy of £100 under the will of Catherine Augusta, Baroness de Sternberg, deceased, to the Minister and Churchwardens of the parish where testatrix buried.
- Ex parte** the Rector of Stoke-upon-Trent. The accumulating stock account.
- Strickland v. Thomas.** The share of Mary Thomas.
- Strickland v. Thomas.** The share of Margaret Thomas.
- Strickland v. Thomas.** The share of Ann Richards, deceased.
- Strickland v. Thomas.** The share of Morris Thomas.
- Strafford v. Tilley.** In Master Conway's office.
- Spooner v. Tovey.**
- Synge v. Thompson.**
- Sparrow v. Turton.**
- The unclaimed dividend account of the Proprietors of the late Surrey Iron Railway.
- In the matter of the trusts of the administration of Emma Summerfield, deceased. The share of Mary Ann Abbott, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and the codicils of William Sutcliffe, late of Bath, in the county of Somerset, deceased, so far as the same affect the Higher Farm.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Sutcliffe, deceased.
- Ex parte** the Surrey Iron Railway Company and John Harrison, Esq.
- Ex parte** the Sunderland Dock Company. The account of Her Most Excellent Majesty the Queen in right of Her Crown, and the Right Honourable the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods, Forests, Land Revenues, Works, and Buildings, for and on behalf of Her Majesty, the Freemen and Stallingers of the ancient borough of Sunderland, the Lord Bishop of Durham, the Right Honourable William Keppell, Viscount Barrington, and the Honourable Augustus Barrington, and the Honourable Charles Grey, and the Right Honourable John George Brabazon, Earl of Besborough, and William Robinson, Christopher Bramwell, and Mary Ann Pemberton, Charles Richard Robinson, and Elizabeth Lawrence, his wife, Richard Lawrence Pemberton, an infant, John Herbert Kae, and the Reverend Albany Wade, Clerk, and Elizabeth Orde, his wife, or some or one of them, in respect of the seashore, and the bed or soil of the sea, and certain lands recovered from the sea situate in the parish of Bishop Wearmouth, in the county of Durham, and extending from the parish of Sunderland-near-the-Sea, to the southern extremity of the rocks of Henden, in the said parish of Bishop Wearmouth.
- The Trustees of the Surrey and Sussex Roads.
- Storer v. Osborne.**
- Staunton v. Vavasour.** The account of the legacy of £100 bequeathed to Mary Bethia Tyson, subject to duty.
- Staunton v. Vavasour.** The account of the legacies of £100 and £100 bequeathed to Ellen Carter, subject to duty.
- Smith v. Veasey, and Smith v. Blencowe.**
- Smith v. Vaux.**
- Ex parte** the Local Board of Health for the district and borough of Swansea. The account of Howell Gwynn.
- Ex parte** the Local Board of Health for the district and borough of Swansea. The account of William Edmond.
- Ex parte** the Undertaking of the Swansea and Aberystwith Junction Railway Bill.
- Stoughton v. Walker.** The account of William Walker.
- Sharrod v. Wingfield.**
- Smith v. Walthew.**
- Suttill v. Watson.**
- Savery v. Williams.** In Master Lane's office.
- Sutton v. Wynne, and Trevor v. Gibson.** In Master Lane's office.
- Schutz v. Earl Winterton.** In Master Thompson's office.
- Sanford v. Wright.** The account of the infant, Thomas Porter Baxter, the only child of Elizabeth Baxter.
- Sanford v. Wright.** Ann Thompson, the annuitant's account.
- Smyth v. Windham.**
- Slade v. Webb.** The account of the descended estates.
- Sellon v. Watts, Smith v. Sellon, and Smith v. Watts.** The account of Frances Paulina Watts, an infant.
- Ex parte** the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of William Wyndham Lewis.
- Ex parte** the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of William Morgan and Thomas Morgan.
- In the matter of the trusts of Catherine Taylor's will and William Crawford's will. The account of the £400 Consols.
- The estates of William Taylor, late of the city of Oxford, Bell Founder, deceased, and Taylor v. Taylor.
- Ex parte** the Taff Vale Railway Company. In the matter of an Act to empower the Taff Vale Railway Company to construct certain branch railways and extensions, and to make arrangements for the use of certain wharfs adjoining to the Bute Ship Canal.
- Ex parte** the Taff Vale Railway Company. The account of James Ravenscroft Starke.
- The separate account of Elizabeth Tatchell, a person of unsound mind.
- Tooker v. Annesley.** Rents and profits of leasehold estates account.
- Timmis v. Brassey.**
- Tunstall v. Brayfield.** The account of the estates devised to the defendant, John Greutorex, the testator's brother.
- Tully v. Bradford.**
- Thorp v. Brooks.** The one-fifth share of Mary, one of the daughters of Elizabeth Price, of Brecknock.
- Trimmer v. Bayne.** The personal estate of John Bayne.
- Tomlinson v. Brown, Tomlinson v. Knox, and Tomlinson v. Knox.**
- Tamlyn v. Brown.**
- Turner v. Brook.** In Master Cuddon's office.
- Tate v. Bolton.**
- Thorley v. Byrne.** The account of the general personal estate of the testator.
- Thomas v. Bloomer.**
- Tookerman v. Chamberlaine.** In Master Trevor's office.
- Tennyson v. Clayton.** The annuitants' account, in Master Pechell's office.
- Townsend v. Champernowne.**
- Tréfusis v. Baron Clinton.**
- Trigg v. Cotes.**



- Turner v. Dorgan.  
 Todd v. Darell. The interest account.  
 Thompson v. Dickinson.  
 Treacher v. Dixon, and Treacher v. Heather.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Ann Tesh, late of Caister, in the county of Lincoln, Spinster, deceased.  
 Ex parte the Tendring Hundred Railway Company. The account of Robert Glandfield.  
 Ex parte the Tewkesbury and Malvern Railway Company. The account of the parties entitled to twenty-six one hundred and twenty-third shares in the commonable and other rights over or in the Common of Shuthonger under an indenture dated the 23rd February, 1841, under the will of Joseph Harris Freeman.  
 The Honourable Herbert Lionel Henry Vane Tempest, an infant.  
 Tomlinson v. Edwards, and Edwards v. Lord Archibald Hamilton.  
 Turner v. Ford.  
 Tarbuck v. Greenall. The account of John Richard Bell, the assignees of John Croudson, a Bankrupt, and Joshua Jullien Allen and Palgrave Simpson.  
 Tugwell v. Goizin. In Master Browning's office.  
 Thomas v. Glover, and Thomason and others. The account of the purchase money of the Abercarne Estate.  
 Taylor v. Gaskell.  
 The account of Mr. Richard Thacker for 937 square yards of land, with the buildings thereon, in the township of Ardwick and parish of Manchester, in the county of Lancaster.  
 Ex parte the Thames Haven Dock and Railway Company. The account of the Queen's Most Excellent Majesty in respect of certain land, part of the foreshore or bed of the River Thames situate in the parishes of Stanford-le-Hope and Fobbing, in the county of Essex.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Thorp, late of Overseal.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made on the marriage of Marmaduke Thompson and Elizabeth Maria, his wife, respectively, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the share of Thomas Thornton. In the personal estate of Ann Dawes, deceased.  
 Richard Thompson, of Grosvenor-street, Esq.  
 Ex parte Ellen Threlfall, the Widow, and Elizabeth Threlfall, an infant, the surviving daughter and heiress-at-law of James Threlfall, late of Broughton, near Preston, in the county of Lancaster, Farmer.  
 Ex parte the purchasers of the devised estates of the late Richard Thompson, Esq.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Henry Thirkettle. The share of residue given to the testator's son, Charles Thirkettle.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the residuary estate of John Thornton, deceased. The account of the moneys lately standing in the books of the Bank of England in the name of James McCann, deceased.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the mortgage deed of Peter Thomson, deceased. The account of the surplus moneys arising from the sale of No. 96, Jermyn-street, and No. 2, Ormond-yard, St. James', Westminster, after paying mortgages thereon.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbrigge. The account of the infant, Annie Rogers.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbrigge. The account of the infant, George Markham Davison.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbrigge. The account of the infant, Kenneth Stewart Davison.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbrigge. The account of the infant, Emma Mackenzie Rogers.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbrigge. The account of the infant, Stewart Alexander Rogers.  
 Taylor v. Earl of Harewood, Taylor v. Bainbrigge, and Taylor v. Bainbridge. The account of the infant, Georgina Jane Rogers.  
 Tomlin v. Hadfield. In the matter of Thomas Back, a person of unsound mind.  
 Taylor v. Hall.  
 Tipton v. Heaton.  
 Taylor v. Hickee.  
 Turner v. Howell.  
 Threlkeld v. Holmes.  
 Thomas v. Hurst.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the marriage settlement of Charles William Francis Timling and Maria his wife.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Timmis. The general residuary estate.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of William Jonathan Tippins and Martha, his late wife. The account of William Jonathan Tippins the younger.  
 John Tibbitt, a person of unsound mind, and the Lunacy Regulation Act, 1862.  
 Tyler v. Lake. The account of the purchase moneys of the Reverend George Moore.  
 Towse v. Lakeland. In Master Montagu's office.  
 Terrell v. Matthews. The account of the legal personal representative of Henry Bartholomew, the infant son of the testator's son, William Bartholomew, deceased.  
 Thomas v. Morris.  
 Townshend v. Martin. Fund to answer the legacy given to Mary Brown by the will of Lucy Ann Sinclair Sutherland, Widow.  
 Townshend v. Martin. Fund to answer the legacy given to Mr. Field by the will of Lucy Ann Sinclair Sutherland, Widow.  
 Thomas v. Miles, and Waysmith v. Thomas. The account of the personal representatives of William Miles, the son.  
 Thomas v. Montgomery. The subsisting annuitant's account.  
 Tyrell v. Myers. The account of the unsatisfied creditors of Sir John Tyrell, Bart.  
 Tait v. Mackenzie.  
 Tennant v. Mosley.  
 Thomas v. Montgomery.  
 Townshend v. Martin.  
 Taylor v. Millard.  
 Tait v. Lord Northwick.  
 Tempest v. The North-Western Railway Company.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Torin, Widow, deceased. The legacy bequeathed to Charles Henry West.  
 Taylor v. Oldham. The account of the personal estate.  
 The estate of Henry Tompsett, deceased, and Tompsett v. Tompsett. The annuity account.  
 In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Toll, deceased, in relation to the legacy of £100 by the said will given to Henry Toll.  
 Tilliar v. Onley. In Master Montagu's office.  
 Thomas v. Parry.  
 Thorne v. Palmer.  
 Thomas v. Perrye. In Master Bennett's office.  
 Thomas v. Powell.  
 Thompson v. Perrott. The annuitant's account.  
 Twigg v. Prater. The defendant, Mary Matchett the annuitant's account.

- Thomas v. Prosser.** The account of the next of kin of Alice Prosser.
- Thomas v. Platts, and Thomas v. Golick.** In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Trotman, deceased. Ex parte the one-third share of residue bequeathed to Edmund Thomas Browne, deceased.
- Tethill v. Rhodes.** General account.
- Tonkin v. Roberts.** In Master Halford's office.
- Taylor v. Raester.** The account of the defendant, George Raester, in respect of the produce of 4,855 dollars 48 centimes.
- Taylor v. Robinson, and Taylor v. Robinson.** The account of the share of residue of the defendant, Elizabeth Ramshay, the wife of the defendant, John Ramshay, and her children, free of duty.
- Taylor v. Robinson, and Taylor v. Robinson.** The account of the legacy of the defendant, Elizabeth Ramshay, the wife of the defendant, John Ramshay, and her children, subject to duty.
- Tuffnell v. Stoe.** The account of William Tuffnell, Thomas Samuel Jolliffe, and William Northey.
- Thomas v. Selby.**
- Turner v. Solly, and Mules v. Jennings.**
- Tuffnell v. Stoe.** The account of the defendant, Mary Secker.
- Turner v. Simms.**
- Thompson v. Sprigg.**
- Trefusis v. Lady St. John.** The devised estate.
- Thickey v. Shefford.** In Master Simeon's office.
- Tootal v. Spicer.**
- Tuffnell v. Stoe.** The account of the defendants, Harry Stoe and William Evans.
- Todd v. Simpson.** The proceeds of the sale of testator's real estate.
- Toner v. Thompson.** The account of Sarah Ellen Thompson, the remaining child of William Thompson, deceased.
- Tunstall v. Trappes.** The residue of the personal estate and effects of Francis Trappes the younger, deceased.
- Thompson v. Teulon, and Teulon v. Teulon.** The contingent legacy account of Clara Elizabeth, the wife of Albert Julius Mott, and Clarence Mason Dobell, infants.
- Thomas (of Tydraw) v. Thomas (of St. Hilary).**
- Taylor v. Taylor.** The account of the property devised to Thomas Howell.
- Tilt v. Tilt, Tilt v. Vernon, and Fox v. Tilt.**
- Tomlins v. Tomlins.** The separate account of the Reverend William Falconer, and Isabella Jane, his wife.
- Thomas v. Tournay.**
- Tomlin v. Tomlin, Tomlin v. Tomlin, and Tomlin v. Tomlin.**
- Tarback v. Tarback.** The account of Robert Tarback's mortgages, the assignees of John Croudson, a Bankrupt, and Joshua Jullian Allen, and Palgrave Simpson.
- Thornhill v. Trash.** The real estate.
- Taylor v. Tabrum.** The account of the defendant, Mary Ann Birch.
- Trevor v. Trevor.** The legatee's account.
- Lord John Townshend v. Marquis Townshend, and Smith v. Munday.**
- Lord John Townshend v. Marquis Townshend, and Smith v. Mundy.** The account of the simple contract creditors.
- Thomas v. Thomas, and Davis v. Thomas.**
- Turner v. Turner, 1862, T., 122.** The account of Sarah Turner or Frampton or Prampton.
- Taylor v. Taylor, 1859, T., 63.** The account of the representatives of John Hall, deceased, one of the six children of Jonathan Hall and Jane, his wife.
- Francis Matthew Hampden Turner, an infant.**
- Catherine Harriet Turner, an infant.**
- Samuel Jolliffe Tufnell, a lunatic.** The personal estate of the testator, John Jolliffe Tufnell.
- In the matter of the trusts declared by two several indentures of the 8th day of March, 1820, and the 8th day of September, 1825, for the benefit of Sarah Tubb, widow of David Tubb, late of Basing, in the county of Southampton, Miller, and her children. The account of Jane Rickards, the wife of Edward Rickards, both deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Edward Turner, deceased. The legacy bequeathed to Sarah Mead, Spinster.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Twemlow. The general residuary account.
- Templeman v. Warrington.** The account of payments.
- Trevelyan and others v. Witham and others.**
- Trotter v. Wilkinson.** In Master Lovibond's office.
- Tew v. Earl Winterton.**
- Turner v. Whittaker.**
- Earl of Tyrconnel v. Young.** In Master Cuddon's office.
- In the matter of the trusts of the marriage settlement of William Tyrrell and Elizabeth Ann Baram Comins, subject to duty. The account of Martha Ann Curtis, Peter Curtis, and Eliza Curtis, infants.
- Thellusson v. Woodford, and Woodford v. Thellusson.** The fund to answer any claim of the representatives of the testator's partner, John Cossart, deceased, subject to succession duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of the marriage settlement of William Tyrrell and Elizabeth Ann Baram Comins, subject to duty.
- Upton v. Butterfield.** The contingent account of the infant plaintiff, James Driver Upton.
- Unett v. Cotton.** The account of the defendant, William Cotton, the grandson.
- In the matter of the Ulverston and Lancashire Railway Act, 1851. The account of the trusts of the settlement of George Wilson, deceased, and George Edward Wilson.
- Letitia Unett, Spinster, a lunatic.** The real estate account.
- Ex parte the unknown person or persons interested in the freehold estate and inheritance of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, with the messuage or tenement thereon erected, and its appurtenances, situate and being No. 8, in Great Swan-alley, near Coleman-street, in the city of London.
- Ex parte the unknown person or persons interested in the freehold estate and inheritance of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, with the four messuages and other buildings thereon erected, with their appurtenances situate and being and known as Nos. 19, 20, and 21, in Great Bell-alley, and No. 14, in White's-alley, in the city of London.
- Uzuld v. Purches, et c con.**
- Upcher v. Swinbourne.** In Master Eld's office.
- The account of the trustees of the enclosed commons at Uttoxeter.
- Unwin v. Wodley.** In Master Harris's office.
- Vallance v. Burt.**
- Vernon v. Crewe.** The real estate. In Master Montagu's office.
- Vince v. Cooth.** In Master Eld's office.
- Volans v. Carr.**
- Vander Gucht v. De Blaquire.**

- Veitch v. Edye. James Borthwick's account. In Master Grave's office.
- Vailey v. Gradwell.
- George Vincent, a person of unsound mind. In the matter of the trusts of the will of Isaac Virgoe, deceased.
- Vives v. Levison. Security for costs account.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Rachel Coen Potts.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Maria Levy.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Mandolin Levi.
- Vanzetti v. Pacifico. The account of the legacy of Antonio Corbato.
- Vaughan v. Parry.
- Vere v. Routh.
- Vazey v. Reynolds. The account of the petitioners John Dixon, Piper, Robert Daniells, and William Moye.
- Vernon v. Sandford. The charity account. In Master Ord's office.
- Vernon v. Thellusson.
- Verney v. Webster. The account of the legal personal representative of Elizabeth Parker Sanderson, deceased.
- Valence v. Weldon. In Master Montagu's office.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Elizabeth Watkins. The legacy of £10 bequeathed to William Maria and John Cozens.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Walter, deceased. The account of the legacy of William Walter and his children.
- Ex parte George Alfred Ellis Wall. In the matter of the Settled Estates Act. The account of moneys arising from the sale of the real estates of John Binns Wall, deceased, situate in the city of Worcester.
- In the matter of the trusts of John Warren's will. The legacy to Maria Hawkins.
- In the matter of the trusts of the mortgage of an estate called Waenewra, in the county of Anglesea.
- Ex parte the Wansbeck Railway Company. In the matter of the Wansbeck Railway Act, 1859. The estate of the Rector of Morpeth.
- In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Fullarton Warren's will, so far as regards a sum of £18,125 11s. 3d. Consols arising from his Jamaica property. The account of Charlotte Harriet Croft Ryland, or those entitled under her subject to duty.
- In the matter of the trusts of Thomas Wainwright's share, No. 515, made under the Liverpool Exchange Act, 1859. The account of Thomas Wainwright or his representatives.
- Ward v. Alsager.
- Weldon v. Aldridge. The account of Emma Jane Clayworth, deceased, late the Wife of Joseph Clayworth, subject to duty.
- Williams v. Allen.
- White v. Barton. The separate account of Jane Bancks and Mary Bancks, two of the children of Gerrard Bancks, late of Manchester, Stationer and Printer, deceased, and their respective issue, and the children and issue of John Bancks, late of Manchester, Physician, deceased, and the children and issue of Isabella Wigan, of Manchester, Widow.
- Wright v. Beacall.
- Wotton v. Brydges, Elizabeth Coleman, late Scott.
- Weatherall v. Browne.
- Wilson v. Bott. The separate account of the defendants, Thomas Bott and Eliza, his wife.
- Whitehurst v. Bonest. The account of the infant defendant, Rachel Bonest.
- Whitehurst v. Bonest. The account of the infant defendant, Elizabeth Bonest.
- Wood v. Blackman. John Rice's account.
- Wall v. Bayley.
- Wallis v. Bell.
- Williams v. Bigg. In Master Holford's office.
- Ward v. Biddles. The contingent account of the defendant, Frederick Biddles.
- Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown.
- Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown. In Master Harris's office.
- Williams v. Duke of Bolton, and Duke of Bolton v. Brown. The account of the creditors of Charles, Duke of Bolton, mentioned in the 7th Schedule to a Report, dated 27th January, 1781, made in these causes.
- Wray v. Brown.
- Wilding v. Bolden. The Dundas legacy account.
- White v. Bloxam.
- Waring v. Brammer. The plaintiff's indemnity account.
- Whitmore v. Bainbrigge, and Thompson v. Whitmore.
- Walker v. Clarke.
- Webb v. Chambre. The interest account.
- Walmsley v. Cardwell.
- Walmsley v. Cardwell. The testator's personal estate.
- Walker v. Clark.
- Woods v. Crowfoot.
- Williams v. Cannon.
- Wingfield v. Coates. In Master Borrett's office.
- Wentworth v. Chevell.
- Woodford v. Charnley. The account of the settlement of 1848.
- Wood v. Dulamee.
- Wood v. Denison.
- Wharton v. Denton, Styles v. Attorney-General, and Bedford v. Young. In Master Holford's office.
- Whitehead v. Dyer, Henckell v. Dyer, and Whitehead v. Dyer. In Master Lane's office.
- Wetherby v. Dixon.
- Williams v. Dowbiggen.
- White v. Duane. The account of the creditors of Edmund, otherwise Edward, Lynch.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Stephen Wedge, deceased. The account of the children of Rebecca Peters, deceased, and Hannah Farrel, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James Marsh Weldon, late of Brickden, in the county of Huntingdon, Gentleman, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of Webber's Trusts under the will of John Deane, deceased. The share of Edward Sutton.
- Ex parte the Company of Proprietors of the Wey and Arun Junction Canal.
- Ex parte the West End of London and Crystal Palace Railway Company. The account of Joshua Alexander and William Bradshaw.
- Ex parte the West End of London and Crystal Palace Railway Company. The account of Robert Henry Ashley and Ann Ashley, executors of Elizabeth Ashley, deceased.
- Ex parte the West Cornwall Railway Company. The account of John Allen, Esq.
- In the matter of Wenckenbach's Trust.
- Ex parte the Weymouth and Portland Railway Company. The account of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Burgesses of the borough of Weymouth and Melcombe Regis, in the county of Dorset, and the Reverend Henry Clarence Pigou.
- Wallen v. Eastleak, Elizabeth, the wife of Samuel Slade, and the defendant, Elizabeth Talmadge. The annuitant's account.

- Wagstaffs v. Everett. The defendant, Elizabeth Rain's account.
- Wilson v. Evans.
- Wallen v. Eastleak.
- Wilson v. Edmonson, and Holgate v. Edmonson.
- Walker v. Fisher. In Master Burrow's office.
- Wilson v. Fogg. The separate account of the plaintiff, Alfred Biddlecombe.
- Whittaker v. Finey.
- Wake v. Foster. In Master Bonner's office.
- Woodward v. Grainge.
- Wells v. Gendron.
- Woolley v. Gordon.
- Webb v. Grace, Webb v. Wilshin, and Grace v. Webb.
- West v. Greenway. In Master Lane's office.
- Witham v. Gilshanan, otherwise Rafferty. The account of Lawrence Gilsons.
- Worrall v. Guest. The account of the estate of the testator, Thomas Morgan, purchased by Susannah Adams.
- Wickham v. Gatrill.
- Whittingstall v. Grover. The produce of real estate account.
- Earl of Winchelsea and Nottingham v. Garrety the legatee's account.
- Ex parte the Whitby and Pickering Railway Company. The account of George Cholmeley, Esq.
- In the matter of the trusts of White's assignment to Sedgwick and others.
- The account of Amelia Sarah White, Spinster, Charlotte Edmonds, Widow, James Holbrooke the younger, an infant, Mark Cann and Harriett Charlotte, his wife, in her right, Charles Chauncey White, and George Nathaniel White, claiming to be interested in one-sixteenth part of and in all that piece or parcel of ground, and the meeting-house or chapel and dwelling-house thereupon erected, situate and being in Meetinghouse-court, Miles-lane, city of London.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of James White, deceased.
- Watkins v. Hall.
- Williams v. Hilton. The legacy account of Emma Henrietta Parsons, in the will called Emma Payne, free of legacy duty, under the testator's will.
- Wilkie v. Huddart. George Fordyce and Isabel, his wife, their account.
- Woodroffe v. Heamp.
- White v. How.
- Winbolt v. Hood.
- Ellis Westcott v. Wynn Hill, George Hill, and Richard Wescott Martyn.
- Watkins v. Horton. The separate account of the plaintiff, Mary Ann Watkins, the wife of the plaintiff, Philip Hodges Watkins.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Wilkin, late of Appleby, in the county of Westmoreland, Esq., deceased, and the children of the body of Mary Baillie lawfully begotten, and their legal representative or representatives.
- In the matter of the trust of the estate of Mary Wills, deceased. Ex parte Elizabeth Street.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Robert Winckworth, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the settlement made by William Willis the elder, dated 2nd August, 1816, in favour of Jane Rose and Frances Alexander and their issue. The share of George Alexander under the said settlement.
- In the matter of the trusts of the settlement of John Wilson, and Elizabeth, his wife, deceased, and also of the trusts of the settlement of John Wilson, deceased.
- Effy Wilson, a minor.
- Ex parte the Windsor, Staines, and South-Western Richmond to Windsor Railway Company. The account of John Taylor or other the owner or owners of one acre and one rood of land, in the parish of Wraybury, in the county of Buckingham.
- In the matter of Elizabeth Williams' trust.
- In the matter of the estate of Harriet Wilson, and Wilson v. Leyburn. The account of the settlement of Clara Julia West and her children.
- The estate of John Willoby, deceased, and Willoby v. Shirriff.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will and codicil of Thomas Wicks, deceased, as to the one-fifth share of the late Countess Bizezanski, in the proceeds of sale of part of the testator's real estate.
- Ex parte the Wimbledon and Dorking Railway Company. The account of Mary Eleanor Parkhurst, of Clifton, in the county of Somerset, Widow, and her mortgagees and all other persons interested in respect of 7 acres 2 perches of land, situate in the parish of Epsom, in the county of Surrey.
- Ex parte the Local Board of Health for the Borough of Wigan. The account of the settled estates of Charles Standish.
- In the matter of the trusts of the representative of Louisa Wills, a legatee, deceased.
- Edmund Wix, who is absent beyond the seas.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Susanna Wix, deceased.
- In the matter of trusts of the estate of Henry Wilson, deceased. Paul Dent's legacy.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Thomas Williams. The contingent account of Samuel George Medlen, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of a certain indenture dated 12th October, 1861, Wilson and Parsons. Samuel Thomas Wise, an infant.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of William Wildman, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of a legacy of £100 bequeathed by the will of Margaret Wilson, deceased, to Margaret Spittall, deceased.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of Charles Foley Wilmot, Esq., so far as relates to the legacy of £6,000, thereby bequeathed upon trust for Josephine de Lourme (the daughter) and otherwise, as therein mentioned.
- Webb v. English. The Reverend Samuel Harrison's legacy account.
- Watters v. Jones. The purchaser, Beriah Botfield's indemnity account under the eighth condition of sale.
- Williams v. Jones. The account of the estates devised to Edward Theophilus Morgan.
- Waters v. Jefferis.
- Wynch v. James.
- Webb v. Jones. In Master Holford's office.
- Webb v. English.
- Whitsed v. Jackson.
- Winter v. Innes, and Winter v. Edwards.
- Wollaston v. Jones.
- Wrench v. Jutting.
- Winter v. Kent. A fund to answer the unclaimed legacies given by the will of the testator, James Underhill.
- Williams v. Knight.
- Wright v. Lamb. The account of the legacy bequeathed to Mrs. Hewitson, the wife of Joshua Hewitson, subject to duty.
- Williams v. Llewellyn.
- White v. Countess Dowager of Lincoln, Duke of Newcastle v. Brudenell, and Duke of Newcastle v. Kinderley.
- White v. Lupton.

- Webb v. Ledicott. The account of Mary Ann Ledicott, Widow, deceased.
- Westbrook v. McKie, and Westbrook v. Chaunter. The Rendezvous Bay Estate account.
- Ward v. Morris.
- Wilson v. Moore. The account of the representatives of Jean Tucker Crawford, deceased.
- Wheelwright v. Massey.
- Whittal v. Morgan.
- Williams v. Marsden.
- Wickliffe v. Mose. In Master Eld's office.
- Willes v. Morgan. In Master Wilmot's office.
- Wilkinson v. Moline.
- Winterton v. Mann. 1865, M., 121.
- Wilkin v. Nainby.
- Wagstaff v. Nicholls. In Master Thomas Bennet's office.
- Williamson v. Naylor.
- In the matter of the trusts of the Woking Commoners' Act, 1854, so far as relates to the sum of £20 3s. 6d. awarded thereunder in respect of lands and hereditaments.
- Ex parte the petitioners, Mary Wood, William Martin Carter, Joseph Wood, and Philip Pearce. The account of the infant George Wordsworth.
- In the matter of the trusts of the legacies to Eleanor Woodward, Philip Coultman, and Francis Nicholson, under the will of Dennet Milton Woodward.
- In the matter of the trusts of the will of John Woodyatt, deceased. The account of Cornelius John Jones, a Seaman.
- Ex parte the Worcester and Hereford Railway Company. The account of Ann Williams.
- Ex parte the Commissioners of Her Majesty's Woods and Forests, Land Revenues, Works and Buildings. The account of the Reverend Simon Hart Wynn and Sophia Sarah Wynn, his wife, George Lister the younger, Esq., John Shapter, Esq., and John Deverell, Esq., in respect of certain leasehold messuages or dwelling houses, Nos. 21 and 22, Duke-street, in the parish of St. George, Bloomsbury, Middlesex.
- Whitcomb v. Onslow.
- Wood v. Ordish.
- Wright v. Parkinson. The devised estates of Edward Wright, deceased.
- Wynne v. Price. The account of Hester Wainman, the annuitant.
- Wynne v. Price. The account of Elizabeth Wynne, the annuitant.
- Wynne v. Price. The account of Elizabeth Williams, the annuitant.
- Wynne v. Price. The account of Mary Williams.
- Winter v. Pulteney.
- Wigan v. Purnell.
- William v. Price.
- Woodforde v. Partridge, and Woodforde v. Moore.
- Whitcher v. Penley. The account of the infant plaintiffs, Elizabeth Catherine Astor, Sarah Astor, Katherine Astor, Esther Astor, Mary Astor, and John Jacob Astor.
- Ward v. Purvis.
- Williamson v. Parker, and Williamson v. Parker. The separate account of Thomas McKenzie, Elizabeth McKenzie, Jane McKenzie, and John McKenzie.
- In the matter of the trusts of an indenture of the 8th day of July, 1836, as regards the share of Charles Edward Wright in the proceeds arising from a policy of assurance on the life of Beeston Wright.
- Edward Ommaney Wrench, of Chester, Esq.
- Ex parte the Wrexham, Mold, and Connah's Quay Railway Company. The account of John Charles Lloyd.
- Wake v. Ridge.
- Willis v. Routledge.
- Warwick v. Richardson, Clarke v. Sewell, and others, Clark and another v. Sewell and others, and Clark and another v. Sewell and others.
- Westfield v. Skipworth, Jones v. Skipworth, and James v. Skipworth.
- Waldo v. Secker.
- Wrentmore v. Scudamore.
- Wright v. Sandford.
- Wright v. Samuda.
- Walkins v. Schneider.
- Wilson v. Squire.
- White v. Scofield.
- Wallis v. Sarel.
- Woodcock v. Tarbuck. Funds reserved to meet the defendant's costs (if any) of this suit.
- Watson v. Thomson.
- Waters v. Taylor. The general creditor's account.
- Wood v. Taylor, and Wood v. Lord.
- Woodcock v. Tarbuck.
- Williams v. Teale.
- Warburton v. Vaughan.
- Watts v. Vacher.
- Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Fosberry, Walcott v. Enraght, Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Walcott, Walcott v. Bridges. The Emmerson legacy duty account.
- Williams v. Williams. The timber account.
- Wade v. Wade. Thomas Troughton, the infant's account.
- Ward v. Walker.
- Joseph Septimus Ward v. John Ward and others.
- Warner v. Warner. The account of the life interest descended to the plaintiff.
- Webster v. Webster. The account of the legacy given to James David Webster Greenhill.
- Weyland v. Weyland. The defendant Ann Penny's annuity account.
- Stephen White and others v. Betty White and others. The account of the defendant, Elizabeth Seymour.
- Wyatt v. Wilkins.
- Winter v. Winter.
- Warren v. Whitworth.
- Warren v. Whitchurch, on account of the debts and legacies which are contingent. In Master Kinaston's office.
- Whitley v. Watson.
- Wren v. Wren.
- Webster v. Webster.
- Webster v. Webster. Thomas Webster's account.
- Walker and Wright.
- Warburton v. Wych. In Master Lane's office.
- Western v. Williams.
- Wynch v. Wynch. In Master Wilmot's office.
- Whytel v. Whytel.
- Walker v. Wingfield.
- Ward v. Ward, and Ward v. Ward.
- Williams v. Wace.
- Wickens v. Wickens.
- Woodward v. Woodward.
- Walcott v. Walcott.
- Wintle v. Wemyss. The real estate account.
- Wroughton v. Wroughton, and Wroughton v. Anderson. The plate and picture account.
- Whitaker v. Whitaker. The account of the testator's nephew, Francis Whitaker, and the person entitled after his decease.
- John Manning Watts v. John Watts.
- John Manning Watts v. John Watts. The contingent legacies account.
- Waller v. Westcott.
- In the matter of the Vicar of Wymering.

In the matter of the trusts of the wills of John Wyatt, James Wyatt, and Elizabeth Pimm, so far as the same trusts relate to the fourteenth share of Edward Templer Wyatt, in a sum of £595, and to his fifth share in a sum of £256 5s.

In the matter of the trusts of the will of Otwell Wylde, deceased. The legacy and share of residue given to his son, John Crompton Wylde.

Ex parte the Yarmouth and Haddiscoe Railway Company. In the matter of the Yarmouth and Haddiscoe Railway Act, 1856.

Ex parte the Yarmouth and Norwich Railway Company. The account of the purchase money of part of the estates devised to Ann Moy, of Postwich, in the county of Norfolk, Spinster, for her life, under the will of Francis Gostling, dated 24th July, 1840.

Yellowley v. Burgh.

Yea v. Frere, and Bowerbank v. Pickering. Rents and profits and produce of the trust estate.

Yerbury v. Head. Jemima Elizabeth Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Elizabeth Sarah Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Rachel Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Sarah Goldsborough's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Thomas Watson's account.

Yerbury v. Head. Eleanor Yerbury's annuity account.

Youde v. Jones.

Young v. Murray.

Yule v. Morrison.

The Duke of York v. Duke of Newcastle.

Ex parte the York and Newcastle Railway Company. The account of Samuel Chapman.

Ex parte the York and North Midland Railway Company. The account of the Cholmley settled estate.

Ex parte the York and North Midland Railway Company. In the matter of the York and North Midland Railway Bridlington Branch Act, 1845. The account of the devisees of the estates, in the county of York, devised by the will of Bertram Osbaldeston Mitford.

Yates v. Rawlings. The account of shareholders who have not come in to substantiate their claims.

Young v. Richardson. The account of the legacy of £500, bequeathed by the will of William Robins, deceased, dated the 8th day of November, 1831, to Sarah Robins, afterwards Sarah Fry, for her life, with remainder over.