humbly lay before your Majesty in Council, the following representation as to the assignment of a district chapelry to the consecrated church of Saint James, situate within the limits of the township of Forest and Frith, in the parish of Middleton-in-Teesdale, in the county of Durham, and in the diocese of Durham.

"Whereas it appears to us to be expedient that a district chapelry should be assigned to the said church of Saint James, situate within the limits of the township of Forest and Frith as aforesaid.

"Now, therefore, with the consent of the Right Reverend Charles, Bishop of the said diocese of Durham (testified by his having signed and sealed this representation), we, the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners, humbly represent that it would, in our opinion, be expedient that all that part of the said parish of Middleton-in-Teesdale, which is comprised within, and is co-extensive with, the limits of the said township of Forest and Frith, all which part, together with the boundaries thereof, is delineated and set forth on the map or plan hereunto annexed, should be assigned as a district chapelry to the said church of Saint James, situate within the limits of the same township as aforesaid, and that the same should be named 'The District Chapelry of Saint James Forest and Frith.'

"And with the like consent of the said Charles, Bishop of the said diocese of Durham (testified as aforesaid), we, the said Ecclesiastical Commissioners, further represent that it appears to us to be expedient that banns of matrimony should be published, and that marriages, baptisms, churchings, and burials should be solemnized or performed at such church, and that the fees to be received in respect of the publication of such banus, and of the solemnization or performance of the said offices, should be paid and belong to the minister of the same church for the time being: Provided always, that nothing herein contained shall be construed as expressing any intention on the part of us, the said Commissioners, to concur in or approve the taking of any fee for the performance of the said office of baptism, or for the registration thereof.

"We, therefore, humbly pray that your Majesty will be graciously pleased to take the premises into your Royal consideration, and to make such Order with respect thereto as to your Majesty, in your Royal wisdom, shall seem meet."

And whereas the said representation has been approved by Her Majesty in Council: now, therefore, Her Majesty, by and with the advice of Her said Council, is pleased hereby to ratify the said representation, and to order and direct that the same and every part thereof shall be effectual in law immediately from and after the time when this Order shall have been duly published in the London Gazette pursuant to the said Acts; and Her Majesty, by and with the like advice, is pleased hereby to direct that this Order be forthwith registered by the Registrar of the said diocese of Durham.

C. L. Peel.

A T the Court at Windsor, the 28th day of June, 1875.

## PRESENT.

The QUEEN's Most Excellent Majesty in Council.

HEREAS the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England have, in pursuance of the Act of the sixth and seventh years of His Majesty

King William the Fourth, chapter seventy-seven; of the Act of the third and fourth years of Her Majesty, chapter one hundred and thirteen: and of the Act of the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth years of Her Majesty, chapter sixty-three; duly prepared and laid before Her Majesty in Council a scheme, bearing date the twenty-second day of April, in the year one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, in the words following, that is to say:

"We, the Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England, in pursuance of the Act of the sixth and seventh years of His Majesty King William the Fourth, chapter seventy-seven; of the Act of the third and fourth years of your Majesty, chapter one hundred and thirteen; and of the Act of the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth years of your Majesty, chapter sixty-three; have prepared and now humbly lay before your Majesty in Council the following scheme for dividing the Archdeaconry of Northampton, within the diocese of Peterborough, into two portions, and for constituting each of such portions a separate archdeaconry, and

for assigning a district thereto.

"Whereas the area of the said present Archdeaconry of Northampton is of great extent, and comprises and consists of the whole of the county of Northampton and the whole of the county of Rutland, and within the same area the rural deaneries of Brackley first deanery, Brackley second deanery, Brackley third deanery, Brackley fourth deanery, Daventry deanery, Haddon first deanery, Higham second deanery, Higham first deanery, Higham second deanery, Higham third deanery, Northampton deanery, Oundle first deanery, Peterborough first deanery, Peterborough second deanery, Preston first deanery, Preston second deanery, Rothwell first deanery, Rothwell second deanery, Weldon first deanery, Weldon first deanery, Weldon second deanery, Rutland first deanery, Rutland second deanery, Rutland first deanery, Rutland second deanery, and Rutland third deanery are wholly included.

"And whereas the Right Reverend William Connor, Bishop of the said diocese of Peterborough, has represented to us that it is, in his opinion, desirable that the said Archdeaconry of Northampton should be divided, and that such division should be made by creating the archdeaconry hereinafter recommended and proposed to be created, and by assigning thereto the district hereinafter recommended and proposed to be assigned thereto.

"And whereas the Venerable Francis Henry Thicknesse, M.A., Clerk in Holy Orders, now Archdeacon of the Archdeaconry of Northampton aforesaid, con urs in the said representation of the said bishop, and has become party to this scheme in order to testify such his concurrence in manner

hereinafter mentioned.

"And whereas we have considered the said representation of the said bishop, and it appears to us to be expedient to divide the said Archdeaconry of Northampton, and that the division so to be made of the same archdeaconry should be that which in the said representation of the same bishop is mentioned, and which is hereinafter recommended and proposed.

"Now, therefore, with the consent of the said William Connor, Bishop of the said diocese of Peterborough (in testimony of which consent he has set his hand and his episcopal seal to this scheme) and with the consent of the said Francis Henry Thicknesse, Archdeacon of the said Archdeaconry of Northampton (in testimony of which consent he has set his hand and seal to this scheme), we