

1686. O'Donnell Grimshaw, of Belfast, in the Kingdom of Ireland, Postmaster, for an invention of "improvements in safety letter boxes and bags."—Dated 16th July, 1859.

1695. William Horatio Harfield, of Fenchurch-street, in the city of London, for an invention of "improvements in apparatus employed in getting ships' anchors, and in shackling chains."—Dated 18th July, 1859.

In Chancery.—Master of the Rolls.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and in the Matter of Pile, Spence, and Company (Limited).

NOTICE is hereby given, that a petition for the winding up of the above-named Company by the Court of Chancery, was, on the 24th day of July, 1866, presented to the Master of the Rolls by John Anthony Woods, William Ord, John Dryden, Thomas Miller, Christopher Dove Barker, and Joseph Mather, all of the borough and county of Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Bankers, and carrying on business there under the style or firm of Woods and Company, creditors of the said Company; and that the said petition is directed to be heard before the Master of the Rolls, on the 3rd day of November, 1866; and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an Order for the winding up of the said Company under the above Act, should appear at the time of hearing by himself or his counsel for that purpose; and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or contributory of the said Company requiring the same, by the undersigned, on payment of the regulated charge for the same.—Dated this 25th day of July, 1866.

Torr, Janeway, and Tagart, Solicitors,
No. 38, Bedford-row, London; Agents for
Hodge and Harle, Solicitors, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.

In Chancery.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and in the Matter of the Alexandra Hall Company (Limited).

BY an Order made by the Vice-Chancellor Sir William Page Wood, in the above matters, dated the 14th day of July, 1866, on the petition of the Manchester Finance Corporation (Limited), a creditor of the Alexandra Hall Company (Limited), and of Hugh Irving, of No. 75, Dale-street, in the city of Manchester, Wharfinger, a contributory of the said last-named Company, and of James Bury, of New Cannon-street, in the said city of Manchester, Wholesale Stationer, a contributor, and also one of the Directors of the said Company, and of James Grindrod Law, of Oxford-street, in the said city of Manchester, Agent, a contributor, and also one of the Directors of the said Company, it was ordered that the Alexandra Hall Company (Limited) should be wound up under the supervision of this Court, under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1862; and it was ordered, that Hugh Fleming, of Manchester, in the county of Lancaster, Secretary to the Manchester Chamber of Commerce, should be appointed the Official Liquidator of the said Company.

Gregory and Rowcliffes, No. 1, Bedford-row, London; Agents for
Marsland and Adleshaw, of Manchester, Petitioners' Solicitors.

In Chancery.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and of the Oriental Commercial Bank (Limited).

BY an Order made by the Vice-Chancellor Sir William Page Wood in the above matter, dated the 16th day of July, 1866, on the three several petitions of James Burney, Miles Charles Seton, Edmund Clench, William Redfern Deykin, Angus McKenzie, and Henry Spicer, all of No. 31, Threadneedle-street, in the city of London, Esqrs., of Admiral James Burney, of Twickenham, in the county of Middlesex, a contributory, and of the Alliance Bank (Limited), creditors of the said Company, it was ordered that the said Oriental Commercial Bank (Limited) be wound up by this Court, under the provisions of the Companies Act, 1862; and that the Provisional Liquidator, appointed by the Order of the 5th day of July, 1866, be continued until an Official Liquidator be appointed; and that the said Official Liquidator do, out of any money in his hands, as such Official Liquidator, pay to the respective petitioners their costs of, and relating to, the said petitions and the costs of the said Alliance Bank (Limited), and the said James Burney, Miles Charles Seton, Edmund Clench, William Redfern Deykin, Angus McKenzie, and Henry Spicer their costs of appearing on the said first and third mentioned petitions and of this Order, and do also pay to Joseph Firth, Haworth, and Edward Lord their costs of appearing on the said petitions, to be taxed by the Taxing Master, and in such taxation it is ordered that only two counsel be allowed to the said petitioners, the Alliance Bank.

Crosley and Burn, of No. 25, Birch-in-lane, London, Agents for
Grundy and Coulson, of No. 69, Princes-street, Manchester, Solicitors for the said Alliance Bank (Limited).

In Chancery.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and of the European Bank (Limited).

BY an Order made by the Vice-Chancellor Sir Richard Torin Kindersley, in the above matter, dated the 16th day of July, 1866, on the petition of Richard West Elvery, of Saint Paul's-road, Canonbury, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of Matthew Henry Chaffin, of No. 531, New Oxford-street in the county of Middlesex, Upholsterer, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of Alfred Thomas Jay, of Homewood House, in the county of Kent, Esquire, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of Alfred Jacob, of No. 35, Gower-place, Euston-square, in the county of Middlesex, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of Archibald Kintrea, of No. 9, The Crescent, Clapham Common, in the county of Surrey, Gentleman, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of James William Muttlebury, of No. 18, Orsett-terrace, Gloucester-gardens, in the county of Middlesex, Esquire, a contributory of the said Company, on the petition of John Venables, of Whips-cross, Walthamstow, in the county of Essex, a contributory of the said Company, and on the petition of the Alhambra Company (Limited), of No. 2, Royal Exchange-buildings, in the city of London, creditors of the said Company, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the said European Bank (Limited) was to be continued, but subject to the supervision of that Court, and any of the proceedings under the voluntary winding up might be adopted as the