- 1629. Charles Henry Gardner, of the firm of Hughes and Kimber, of West Harding-street, Fetter-lane, in the city of London, Lithographic Press Manufacturers, for an invention of "improvements in lithographic and zincographic presses."—Dated 30th June, 1863.
- 1630. Alfred Silvester, of Clapham-road, in the county of Surrey, Photographer, for an invention of "improvements in apparatus to be used in the exhibition of dramatic and other like performances."—Dated 30th June, 1863.
- 1631. Samuel Cole, of Moseley, in the county of Worcester, Manufacturer, for an invention of "improvements in clasps or fastenings for securing brooches, solitaires, and other dress ornaments."—Dated 30th June, 1863.

LIST of the Letters Patent for Inventions which have become void by reason of the non-payment of the additional Stamp Duty of £100, before the expiration of the seventh year from the date of such Patents, pursuant to the Act of the 16th Vict., c. 5, sec. 2, for the week ending the 30th day of June, 1866.

- 1524. Thomas Howard, of King and Queen Iron Works, Rotherhithe, in the county of Surrey, Engineer, for an invention of "improvements in condensing steam in engines where superheated steam is used."—Dated 25th June, 1859.
- 1533. George Wrigley, of the firm of Messrs. Cryer, Lees, and Company, of Dukinfield, in the county of Chester, Machine Makers, and Thomas Hiram Wrigley, of Mossley, in the county of York, Overlooker, for an invention of "improvements in self-acting mules for spinning and doubling."—Dated 27th June, 1859.
- 1535. Bethel Burton, of Brooklyn, in the State of New York, United States of America, for an invention of "improvements in breech-loading fire arms."—Dated 27th June, 1859.
- 1538. George Dawes, of Milton Iron Works, in the parish of Hoyland, in the county of York, Ironmaster, and Charles John Carr, of Hoyland aforesaid, Engineer, for an invention of "certain improvements in atmospheric and vacuum hammers and stamps, part of which improvements is also applicable to steam and other engines."—Dated 28th June, 1859.
- 1540. Alfred Vincent Newton, of the Office for Patents, 66, Chancery-lane, in the county of Middlesex, Mechanical Draughtsman, for an invention of "improved machinery for cutting corks."—Communicated to him from abroad by Edward Conroy, of Boston, in the United States of America.—Dated 28th June, 1859.
- 1541. John Maria Joseph Baillie, of No. 15, St. Mary Axe, in the city of London, for an invention of "a new process for tanning hides and skins."—Communicated to him by Monsieur A. Paulmier, resident at Paris, in the Empire of France.—Dated 28th June, 1859.
- 1552. George Baker, of Birmingham, in the county of Warwick, for an invention of "improvements in the manufacture of metallic lattice or trellis work."—Communicated to him from abroad by Owen Carraway, late of New York.—Dated 29th June, 1859.
- In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and in the Matter of the Blakely Ordnance Company (Limited).

NOTICE is hereby given, that a petition for the winding up of the above-named Company by the Court of Chancery, was, on the 3rd

day of July, 1866, presented to his Lordship the Master of the Rolls, by Thomas Patrick, of Westminster Bridge-road, Lambeth, in the county of Surrey, Builder, carrying on business under the name or style of Mark Patrick and Son, a creditor of the said Company; and that the said petition is directed to be heard before the said Master of the Rolls, on Saturday, the 14th day of July, 1866; and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an Order for the winding up of the said Company, under the above Act, should appear at the time of hearing by himself or his counsel for that purpose; and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or contributory of the said Company requiring the same, by the undersigned, on payment of the regulated charge for the same.

Harrison and Lewis, of No. 24, Old Jewry, in the city of London, Solicitors for the Petitioner.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and of the Trinidad Petroleum Company (Limited).

OTICE is hereby given, that a petition for the winding up of the above-named Com-pany by the Court of Chancery, was, on the 4th day of July, 1866, presented to the Master of the Rolls by the said Company, and by Joseph George Churchward, of Kearsney Abbey, Dover, in the county of Kent, Esq., Alexander George Dixon, M.P., of Waldershere Park, Dover, in the said county of Kent, Frank Hudson, of the Vale of Heath, Hampstead, in the county of Middlesex, Clerk, Donald Nicoll, of Oakland's Hall, Kilburn, in the said county of Middlesex, Esq., and Antonie Louis Schimmelpennick, of No. 27, Chapel-street, Belgrave-square, in the said county of Middlesex, being five of the Directors and contributories of the said Company, and by Robert Booth, of No. 26, Cambridge-road, Kilburn aforesaid, a creditor of the said Company ; and that the said petition is directed to be heard before the Master of the Rolls, on the 14th day of July, 1866; and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an Order for the winding up of the said Company, under the above Act, should appear at the time of hearing, by himself or his counsel for that purpose; and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or contributory of the said Company requiring the same by the undersigned, on payment of the regulated charge for the same.

E. C. Morley, of No. 3, King's Benchwalk, Temple, Solicitor for the Petitioners.

In Chancery.

In the Matter of the Companies Act, 1862, and of the General Exchange Bank (Limited).

OTICE is hereby given, that a petition for the winding up of the above-named Company by the Court of Chancery, was, on the 4th day of July, 1866, presented to the Master of the Rolls by James Latham Hare, of No. 8, Sydney-street, Brompton, in the county of Middlesex, Gentleman, a contributory of the said Company; and that the said petition is directed to be heard by the Master of the Rolls, on Saturday, the 14th day of July, 1866; and any creditor or contributory of the said Company desirous to oppose the making of an Order for the winding up of the said Company under the above Act, should appear at the time of hearing by himself or his counsel for that purpose; and a copy of the petition will be furnished to any creditor or con-