

Bailiff to the Sheriff of Derbyshire, and resident near to the said defendant's dwelling-house; and that the said Thomas Jackson, on or about the 18th day of April last, wrote to the deponent, Thomas Parrott, and his Partner, saying the said defendant had not been taken under the attachment, and that he was regularly out of the way owing, as the said Thomas Jackson stated, he supposed from other matters against him; and that the deponents verily believe that the said defendant keeps out of the way in order to avoid being served with process of this Court:—and the said affidavit being read, it is ordered that the said defendant do appear to the plaintiffs' Bill on or before the 4th day of June 1835.

R. O. W.—Entd. J. R.

VICE-CHANCELLOR.—Wednesday the 13th day of May, in the fifth year of the reign of His Majesty King William the Fourth, 1835, between Thomas Shalcross and others Plaintiffs; Richard Dickson and others Defendants.

FORASMUCH as this Court was this day informed by Mr. Lovat, of Counsel for the plaintiffs, that it appears by the affidavit of Thomas Parrott and George Barber that the defendant, James Hibberson, not appearing to a subpoena requiring him to appear to and answer the plaintiffs' bill, an attachment was issued against him herein, and the Sheriff's warrant thereon was placed for execution in the hands of Thomas Jackson, a Bailiff to the Sheriff of Derbyshire, and resident near to the said defendant's dwelling-house; and that the said Thomas Jackson, on or about the 18th day of April last, wrote to the deponent, Thomas Parrott, and his Partner, saying the said defendant had not been taken under the attachment, and that he was regularly out of the way owing, as the said Thomas Jackson stated, he supposed from other matters against him; and the deponents verily believe that the said defendant keeps out of the way in order to avoid being served with process of this Court:—and the said affidavit being read, it is ordered that the said defendant do appear to the plaintiffs' Bill on or before the 4th day of June 1835.

R. O. W.—Entd. J. R.

WHEREAS by a Decretal Order of the High Court of Chancery, bearing date the 1st day of February 1834, made in a cause Phillips versus Lord Dacre, it was referred to Henry Martin, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, to take an account of what (if any thing) was due to such of the persons named in the second schedule to a report made in the said cause, bearing date the 4th day of August 1829, as had not proved any debts in the said cause, in respect of the several sums of money set opposite to their respective names in the schedules to a deed of trust, bearing date the 22d day of January 1821, made between the Honourable Edward Bouverie, since deceased, of the first part; the Right Honourable Thomas Lord Dacre, and the Right Honourable William Pleydell Bouverie, commonly called Viscount Folkestone, now Earl of Rainor, of the second part; and the persons named in the schedules thereto as Creditors of the Honourable Edward Bouverie of the third part; and whereas, among other persons named in the said second schedule to the said report of the 4th day of August 1829, is "John King, Trustee of Elizabeth Bates, deceased," opposite to whose name in the second schedule to the said deed of trust is set the sum of £307. 4s. 8d.:—therefore, the said John King, or any other person claiming any sum of money to be due to him or her in respect of the said sum of £307. 4s. 8d. is, on or before the 20th day of June 1835, to come in and make out his or her claim before the said Master, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof he or she will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Order; and the sum of money which might be payable in respect of the said sum of £307. 4s. 8d. will, pursuant to the same Order, be divided among the other Creditors of the said Honourable Edward Bouverie, deceased, who have proved their debts in the said cause.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Cracklow versus Norie, any person or persons claiming to be the Heir or Coheirs at Law of Mary Pennell, formerly of Horncastle, in the County of Lincoln, Widow, living at the time of her death (which happened in or about the year 1803), or any persons claiming to be the heir at law or devisees of such of them as are since dead, as to the estate of the said Mary Pennell, is or are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and establish such claim or

respective claims before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, on or before the 27th day of June 1835, or in default thereof such person or persons will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Cracklow versus Norie, any person or persons claiming to be the Next of Kin of Mary Pennell, formerly of Horncastle, in the County of Lincoln, Widow, living at the time of the respective deaths of the said Mary Pennell and Sarah Arnold, late of Woodford-bridge, in the County of Essex, Widow (and which said Mary Pennell died in or about the year 1803, and the said Sarah Arnold in or about the year 1827), or any person or persons claiming to be the personal representative or representatives of any such next of kin who have since died, is or are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and establish such claim or respective claims before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, on or before the 27th day of June 1835, or in default thereof such person or persons will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Freake versus Craefeldt, the Creditors of Charlotte Bradley, otherwise Charlotte Prior, late of Great Russell-Street, Bloomsbury, in the County of Middlesex, Widow, deceased (who died in the month of March 1825), are forthwith, by their Solicitors, to come in and prove their debts before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Raffe versus Tredgold, the Creditors of John Tredgold, late of the City of Winchester, in the County of Southampton, Gentleman (who died in or about the month of March 1810), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 10th day of June 1835, to come in before James Trower, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, and prove their debts, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Harris against Harris, the Creditors of Thomas Furlong, late of Mile-Town, in the Parish of Minster, in the Isle of Sheppy, in the County of Kent, Gentleman, deceased, (who died on or about the 22d day of September 1827), are, on or before the 14th day of June 1835, to come in and prove their debts before the Right Honourable Robert Lord Henley, one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in certain causes Johnson against George, and Poole against George, the Creditors of Thomas Davis, late of Whitehall, in the County of Gloucester, Esq. deceased (who died on or about the 15th day of October 1813), are forthwith to come in and prove their debts before the Right Honourable Robert Lord Henley, one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Kitson against Palmer,—George Kitson, Mary Kitson, and Ann Kitson (the three children of George Kitson), John Kitson, Charles Kitson, Thomas Ramsay, and Ann his wife, who are all named in the will of the testator, John Hartwell, late of the City of Litchfield, Woollen-Manufacturer (and who died in the year 1799), if living, or the legal personal representatives of the said George Kitson, Mary Kitson, and Ann Kitson, or the children of the said John Kitson, or their legal personal representatives, or the children of the said Ann Ramsay, or any person claiming under any conveyance or assignment of such last-mentioned children, or of the said Charles Kitson's interest under the said testator's will, are, on or before the 13th day of June 1835, to come in and make out their claims before William Brougham, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his