1198

of the Masters of the said Court, at the Public Sale Room of the Court; in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane. Lon-don, on Tuesday the 29th day of May 1832, at Twelve o'Clock at Noon, in six lots :

Certain real estates, residue of the real estates of the Chillingworth family, consisting of freehold houses in the City of London.

Lot 1. Freehold premises, anciently called the King's Head Tavern and Hotel, now called the King's Head Tavern and New Excise Coffee House, in Great Tower-Street.

Ext 2. A freehold house, No. 4, Saint Mary at-Hill, Thames-Bot 3. A freehold house, No. 4, Saint Mary at-Hill, Thames-Street.

Lot 4. A freehold house, No. 6, Saint Mary-at-Hill. Lot 5. A freehold house, No. 7, Saint Mary-at-Hill. Lot 6. A freehold house, No. 4, Little Bush-Lane, Upper Thames-Street.

Printed particulars whereof may he had (gratis) at the Master's Chambers, Southampton-Buildings, Chambers, Journe ; of Mr. James Taylor, Solicitor, No. 15, Furnival's Inn; of Mr. Atkins, Solicitor, No. 1, Fox-Ordinary-Court, Nicholas-Lane; of Messrs. Dunn and Wordsworth, Solicitors, Threadneedle-Street; of Messis. Patten and Smith, Solicitors, Hatton-Garden ; and of Messrs. Blackstock and Bunce, Solicitors, No. 4, King's Bench-Walk, Temple.

To be peremptorily sold, pursuant to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in two causes of Bates v. Mickle, and Bates v. Mickle, with the approbation of James Trower, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at the Public Sale-Room, Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, on Thursday the 21st day of June 1832, between the hours of One and Two o'Clock in the Afternoon, in one lot;

Two undivided fourth parts of a valuable tarm, called Rash-field, in the Parish of Chieveley, in the County of Berks, about five miles distant from the two capital Market Towns of Newbury and Ilsley, containing about 113 acres of good arable land, in a high state of cultivation (the greater part tythe free), with farm-house and outbuildings, now in the occupation of Mr. Robert Hughes, under lease, at the yearly rent of $\pounds 165$ for the entirety. Printed particulars whereof and conditions of sale may be

rinced paracenars whereof and conditions of sale may be had (gratis) at the said Master's Chambers, Southampton-Buildings aforesaid; at the Three Tons, Newbury; of Messre: Sheppard, Thomas, Lepard, and Williams, Solicitors, Cloak-Lane, London, where a map of the estate may be seen; and of Messres Hall and Bishop, Solicitors, Serjeant's-Inn, Fleet-Street.

Murray v. Gregory.

WHEREAS Edward Fitzgerald, Esq. late Chief Justice of Sierra Leone, by his last will and testament, gave and bequeathed to his dear friend Frances Fleming the sum of \pounds_100 , and to his god-daughter, Louisa Law, $\pounds 50$; and whereas by an Order of the High Court of Chancery, bearing date the 9th day of June 1931, and made in a cause wherein Ference Murray is plaintiff, and George Gregory is defendant, it was ordered that it should be referred to James Trower, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, to enquire whether any and which of the pecuniary legacies given by the will of the said testator now remain unpaid; this is to give notice to the said Frances Fleening and Louisa Law (if living) or to their personal representatives (if dead), that they are forthwith to come in before the said Master, and make out their claims to such legacies, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Order.

HEREAS by a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause depending in the said Court, wherein Joseph Smith, Woolstapler; Enoch Smith, Administrator to the estate of Susannah Smith (his late wife), deceased; Smytheman Smith; John Woodhall, and Ann his wife [late Ann Smith, Spinster); James Goody, and Sarah his wife (late Sarah Smith, Spinster); John Dugard Smith; Henrietta Smith, Widow, Administratrix to the estate of James Smith Smith, Widow, Administratrix to the estate of James Smith, (her late hasband), deceased; Isaac Ostler, and Mary Sidney, his wife "(late Mary Sidney Smith, Spinster); Joseph Smith, Miller; Robert Whiffen, and Maria his wife (late Maria Smith, Spinster); and Mary Waring, Widow (late Mary Smith, Spinster); are the plaintiffs; and William Pricst is the de-fendant; by which Decree it was referred to William Wing-field, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, to enquire whether any and what mersues of the said court of an error of the said court. whether any and what person or persons, other than and except the said plaintiffs, come under the description of sons and

daughters of Joseph Smith and Abraham Smith, late of Haldaughters of Joseph Smith and Abraham Smith, late of Hal-stead, in the County of Essex, living at the time of the death of John Dugard, late of Norfolk-Street, Middlesex-Hospital. in the County of Middlesex, Gaiter-Maker (which happened in or about the month of January 1826), or representatives of sons and daughters of the said Joseph Smith and Abraham Smith dying since the said John Dügard; any person or per-sons therefore claiming to be such child or children, other that the plaintiffs aforesaid, or the representative or represen-tatives of any such child or children (if any) as have died tatives of any such child or children (if any) as have died since the said John Dugard, arc, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in before the said Master, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, and prove such kindred or representation, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Smith against Priest, any person or persons who, at the time of the death of John Dugard, late of Norfolk-Street, Middlesex-Hospital, in the County of Mid-dlesex, Gaiter-Maker (which happened in or about the month of January 1826), was not or were not paid and satisfied out of his estate by Isabella Carman, his Executrix and Legatee for life, are, by his or their Solicitors, to come in, on or before the 19th day of June 1832, before William Wingfield, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, and prove his or their debt or debts, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Malcolm and wife and others versus Dawes and another, the Creditors of Richard Harman, for-Dawes and aborner, the Creations of Richard Harman, for-merly of the Parish of Saint Sepulchre, in the City of Lon-don, and of Long-Acre, in the County of Middlesex, and also Servant to Mr. Alkins, of Bread-Street, and late of Henley-upon-Thames, in the County of Oxford (who died in the month of June 1808), are forthwith, by their Solicitors, to come in and prove their debts before James Trower, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in de-fault thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Malcolm and wife and others versus Dawes and another, the Creditors of Mary Harman, late of Henley-upon-Thames, in the County of Oxford, Widow (who died in the month of December 1821), are forthwith, by their Solicitors, to come in and prove their debts before James Trower, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, Lon-don, or in default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

DURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Clough v. Clough, the Creditors of Sarah Blakelock, late of Chapel Allerton, in the County of York, Widow, deceased (who died in the month of December 1825), are, by their Solicitors, forthwith to come in and prove their debts before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one-of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southamp-ton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof them will be accuded the horefs of the said they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree

URSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Robarts v. Robarts, the Creditors of James Thomas Robarts, late of Upper Berkeley-Street, in the Parish of Saint Mary-le-Bone, in the County of Middlesex, late in the Service of the United Company of Merchants.of. England trading to the East Indies, Esq. and formerly of Can-ton, in China, are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 16th. day of July 1832, to come in and prove their debts before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Office, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in default thereof they will be peremptorily ex-cluded the benefit of the said Decree.

PURSUANT to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, Dissociation of the device of the High Court of Chancery, made in a cause Lees v. Sanderson, the Creditors of Ephraim Sanderson, late of Aberford, in the County of York, Gentleman, deceased (who died in the month of August 1822), are, by their Solicitors, on or before the 30th day of June 1832, to come in and prove their debts before John Edmund Dowdeswell, Esq. one of the Masters of the said.