

Royal North Gloucester Militia.

Alexander Willoughby Burcott, Gent. to be Lieutenant. Dated 14th January 1831.
 John Spier, Gent. to be ditto. Dated 21st January 1831.
 John Welch, Gent. to be ditto. Dated 25th January 1831.
 John Simpson, Gent. to be Ensign. Dated 7th February 1831.

Marshfield Troop of Yeomanry Cavalry.

Christopher William Codrington, Esq. to be Captain. Dated 1st January 1831.
 Isaac John Horlock, Gent. to be Lieutenant. Dated 2d February 1831.
 Knightly William Horlock, Gent. to be Cornet. Dated 2d February 1831.

Fairford and Cirencester Troop of Yeomanry Cavalry.

John Raymond Barker, Esq. to be Captain. Dated 10th February 1831.
 George Raymond Barker, Gent. to be Lieutenant. Dated 10th February 1831.
 Frederick Cripps, Gent. to be ditto. Dated 11th February 1831.
 George Atkyns Milward, Gent. to be Cornet. Dated 10th February 1831.

BOROUGH OF CARLOW.—RIGHT OF ELECTION,—NOTICE.

Lunæ, 21^o die Februarii, 1831.

Whereas the Select Committee appointed to try and determine the merits of the petition of Henry Cary Howard Moore and others, complaining of an undue election and return for the borough of Carlow, in the county of Carlow, in Ireland, have this day reported to the House of Commons,—That it appeared to the Committee, that the merits of the petition did depend upon the right of election, and that, therefore, the said Committee required the Counsel for the petitioners and the Counsel for the sitting Member, to deliver to the Clerk of the said Committee, statements in writing of the right of election for which they respectively contended; that in consequence thereof, the Counsel for the petitioners delivered in a statement as follows:—"That the right of election of Members to serve in Parliament for the said borough, is in the Freemen or Burgesses of the said borough."—That the Counsel for the sitting Member delivered in a statement as follows:—"That the right to choose a Burgess to represent the said borough in Parliament, is in the Sovereign and Free Burgesses of the said borough, and that the Freemen of the said borough have no voice or right to a vote in any such election."—That upon the statement delivered in by the Counsel for the petitioners, the said Committee have determined, that the right of election, as set forth in the said statement, is not the right of election for the said borough,—That upon the statement delivered in by the Counsel for the sitting Member, the said Committee have determined that the right of election, as set forth in the said statement, is the right of election for the said borough:

I do hereby give this notice, in pursuance of

the directions of the Act, made in the ninth year of the reign of His late Majesty, intituled "An Act to consolidate and amend the laws relating to the trial of controverted elections, or returns of Members to serve in Parliament."

Given under my hand the 21st day of February 1831,

CHARLES MANNERS SUTTON, Speaker.

BOROUGH OF WIGAN.—RIGHT OF ELECTION,—NOTICE.

Lunæ, 21^o die Februarii 1831.

Whereas the Select Committee appointed to try and determine the merits of the petition of James Hardcastle and Richard Potter, Esqrs. complaining of an undue election and return for the borough of Wigan, in the county of Lancaster, have this day reported to the House of Commons,—That the merits of the petition depended upon the right of election, and that, therefore, the said Committee required the Counsel for the parties to deliver to the Clerk of the said Committee, statements in writing of the right of election for which they respectively contended; that in consequence thereof, the Counsel for the petitioners delivered in a statement as follows:—"That the right of election for Members to serve in Parliament for the borough of Wigan, is in the Inhabitant Householders paying scot and bearing lot within the borough."—That the Counsel for James Lindsay, Esq. one of the sitting Members, delivered in a statement as follows:—"That the right of election for Members to represent the borough of Wigan in Parliament, is in the Mayor, Aldermen, Bailiffs, and Burgesses, duly admitted and sworn."—That the Counsel for John Hodson Kearsley, Esq. who was admitted a party to defend the return of James Alexander Hodson, Esq. delivered in a statement as follows:—"That the right of election for Members to represent the borough of Wigan, is in the In-Burgesses paying scot and lot, and the Honorary Burgesses duly admitted and sworn."—That upon the statement delivered in by the Counsel for the petitioners, the said Committee have determined, that the right of voting, as set forth in the said statement, is not the right of voting for the said borough:—That upon the statement delivered in by the Counsel for James Lindsay, Esq. the said Committee have determined, that the right of voting, as set forth in the said statement, is not the right of voting for the said borough:—That upon the statement delivered in by the Counsel for John Hodson Kearsley, Esq. the said Committee have determined, that the right of voting, as set forth in the said statement, is the right of voting for the said borough:

I do hereby give this notice, in pursuance of the directions of the Act, made in the ninth year of the reign of His late Majesty, intituled "An Act to consolidate and amend the laws relating to the trial of controverted elections, or returns of Members to serve in Parliament."

Given under my hand the 21st day of February 1831,

CHARLES MANNERS SUTTON, Speaker.