

Notice is hereby given, that the Partnership heretofore subsisting between Edward Asling and Benjamin Hanson, of Bermondsey, in the County of Surrey, Cloth-Dressers and Scourers, and carried on there under the Firm of Asling and Company, was on the 21st Day of October last dissolved by mutual Consent: As witnesses our Hands,

*Edward Asling.
B. Hanson.*

Whereas the Partnership lately subsisting between P. Fall and Thomas Bannister, Coach-Smiths, was dissolved on the 7th Day of December last, all Claims or Demands on the said Partnership are requested to be sent to Mr. P. Fall, unto whom all Debts belonging to the said Partnership are requested to be paid.

*P. Fall.
His
Thos. + Bannister,
Mark.*

CITY OF DUBLIN TONTINE.

THE Subscribers to the Life-Annuities, granted by the Corporation of the City of Dublin the 24th Day of June 1776, who are to be paid their Interest in London, may receive Half-a-Year's Annuity due at Christmas last, by applying to Mr. William Coningham, No. 2, Great Saint Helens, every Wednesday from Ten o'Clock till Two.

The Subscribers to bring with them their Bonds, and a Certificate of the Life of the Nominee.

It is requested that, in case of Death, Notice may be given as above for the future Benefit and Regulation of each Class.

Next of Kin of Sir JOSEPH KNIGHT.

January 1808.
If any Descendants of the late Sir Joseph Knight, Rear-Admiral of the White, (who died in 1775,) shall make an Application and state their Relationship to Mr. Cafe, Attorney at Law, Bury St. Edmunds, Suffolk, they may hear of something possibly to their Advantage.

JOSEPH MORLEY AND JAMES MORLEY.

Whereas Mr. John Walker, late of Tottenham-Court-Road, in the County of Middlesex, Professor of Elocution, deceased, has by his last Will and Testament, bearing Date the 23th Day of June in the Year of our Lord 1805, bequeathed a Legacy to such Children and Grandchildren of his late Uncle, Joseph Morley, formerly of Radford, near Nottingham, as shall be living at the Time of his Decease; and also a Legacy to such Grandchildren of his late Uncle James Morley, formerly of Painswick, in the County of Gloucester, as shall be living at the Time of his Decease; all Persons entitled to either of the above Legacies are desired to state their Claims in Writing to Francis Dudley Fitzmaurice, Esq; Charlotte-Street, Portland-Place, London, or to Daniel Wright, Esq; Barrister at Law, Holborn-Court, Gray's-Inn, London, Two of the Executors of the said John Walker, within Three Months from the Date hereof.—January 30, 1808.

Camelford, January 30, 1808.
Whereas Mr. Richard Wood, late of Port Isaac, in the County of Cornwall, Shopkeeper, deceased, did, on the 24th Day of February 1807, and shortly before his Death, deliver up into the Hands of Mr. John Rosevear and Mr. Thomas Pearse, both of Camelford, in the aforesaid County, all his the said Richard Wood's Stock in Trade, Books and Accounts, Household Furniture, and all his Estate and Effects whatsoever, in Trust, for the Benefit of his Creditors, and to be applied and disposed of for and towards the Payment of his Debts, which said Disposition was, after the Death of the said Richard Wood, confirmed by his Widow and Administratrix; and whereas the said John Rosevear and Thomas Pearse, in compliance with such Request, proceeded to take Possession of the said Stock in Trade, Furniture, and Effects, and have since sold and disposed of the same to the best Advantage, also got in and collected the Debts of the said Deceased as far as they are able; this is to give Notice, that all Persons who have any Demand on the Estate of the said Richard Wood, are desired forthwith to send an Account of the same to the said John Rosevear and Thomas Pearse, in order that a just and fair Dividend of the Monies arising

from the Sale of such Effects may be legally paid and applied to and among the Creditors of the said Deceased; and that all Persons who shall refuse or neglect to send such Statement of their Demand before the 25th Day of March next, will be excluded from the Benefit of such Dividend.

Whereas by a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, bearing Date the 17th Day of July 1798, made in a Cause Hollingworth against Moulst, it was, among other Things, declared, that according to the Will of John Moulst, late of Rochdale, in the County Palatine of Lancaster, Surgeon, deceased, the Testator named in the Pleadings of the said Cause, Sarah Goodman, one of the Defendants therein, then residing at Eccles, in the Parish of Chapel-en-le-Frith, in the County of Derby, Widow, was entitled to One-Seventh Part of the Money which should arise from the Sale of the said Testator's Real Estates, and of the Rents and Profits thereof, and also to One-Seventh Part of the clear Residue of the said Testator's Personal Estate; that Emanuel Moulst, another Defendant in the said Cause, then and now residing at Chinley, in the Parish of Glossop, in the said County of Derby, Gentleman, was entitled to One other Seventh Part thereof; that William Moulst, another Defendant therein, then and now residing at Mellor, in the said Parish of Glossop, Gentleman, was entitled to One other Seventh Part thereof; that Sarah Hyde, (otherwise Hyde) who in her Lifetime resided at Tintwistle, in the Parish of Mottram, in Longendale, in the County of Chester, and was the Sister of Alexander Hollingworth, late of Old Hollingworth Hall, in the County of Chester, Gentleman, and who survived the said Testator, but was then deceased, was entitled to One other Seventh Part of the same; and whereas it was by the said Decree referred to John Ord, Esq; one of the Masters of the said Court, to inquire whether any and which of the Persons whose Rights were thereinbefore declared, had disposed of their several Interests, and in whom the same were then vested, all Persons therefore who may be interested in the said Inquiry are forthwith to lay their Claims before the said Master, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, and substantiate the same, or in Default thereof they will be excluded the benefit of the said Decree.

Whereas by a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, bearing Date the 17th Day of July 1798, made in a Cause Hollingworth against Moulst, it was, among other Things, referred to John Ord, Esq; one of the Masters of the said Court, to inquire who were the nearest Relations of James Grantham, of Manchester, the Grandfather of John Moulst, late of Rochdale, in the County Palatine of Lancaster, Surgeon, deceased, (who died in the Month of February 1788,) the Testator named in the Pleadings of the said Cause, and of his the said John Moulst's late Grandmother, the second Wife of the said James Grantham, and whose maiden Name was Pearson; the nearest Relations of the said John Moulst's said Grandfather and Grandmother are therefore to come in before the said Master, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, and make out their Kindred, or in Default thereof they will be excluded the Benefit of the said Decree.—It is believed that the said James Grantham was formerly a Merchant or Manufacturer at Manchester aforesaid, but had retired from Business, and died there in or about the Year 1758, and his Widow, — Grantham, (formerly Pearson) who survived her said Husband, died at Manchester aforesaid in or about the Year 1769.

Pursuant to a Decree and subsequent Orders of the High Court of Chancery, made in the Causes Hollingworth against Moulst, Paul against Moulst, and Goldman against Bancroft, and a Decree in a Cause Goodman against Moulst, the Creditors of John Moulst, late of Rochdale, in the County-Palatine of Lancaster, Surgeon, deceased, (who died in the Month of February 1788,) are forthwith to come in and prove their Debts before John Ord, Esq; one of the Masters of the said Court, at his Chambers, in Southampton-Buildings, Chancery-Lane, London, or in Default thereof they will be excluded the Benefit of the said Decree.

Pursuant to a Decree of the High Court of Chancery, made in a Cause Eyre against Haigh, the Creditors of Thomas Alkham, late of Thurlston, in the County of York, Gentleman, deceased, are to come in and prove their se-