

**I**NTIMATION is hereby given that DUNCAN CAMPBELL, of Lochnell, Esquire, Heir of Entail in possession of the Entailed Lands and Estate of LOCHNELL and Others, in the County of Argyll, has presented a Petition to the Lords of Council and Session (First Division, Junior Lord Ordinary,—Mr. Robertson, Clerk), in terms of the Acts 10th George III., cap. 51, 11th and 12th Victoria, cap. 36, 16th and 17th Victoria, cap. 94, 23d and 24th Victoria, cap. 95, 31st and 32d Victoria, cap. 84, and 38th and 39th Victoria, cap. 61, and relative Acts of Sederunt, for authority to constitute and charge the said Entailed Estate with £1246, 13s. 9d., expended in Improvements thereon, of the nature contemplated by the said Acts. Date of Interlocutor ordering intimation, the 21st day of January 1876.

RUSSELL & NICOLSON, C.S.,  
Agents of the Petitioner.

14 George Street, Edinburgh,  
25th January 1876.

**I**NTIMATION is hereby given that DUNCAN CAMPBELL, of Lochnell, Esquire, Heir of Entail in possession of the Entailed Lands and Estate of LOCHNELL and Others, in the County of Argyll, has presented a Petition to the Lords of Council and Session (First Division, Junior Lord Ordinary,—Mr. Robertson, Clerk), in terms of the Acts 11th and 12th Victoria, cap. 36, 16th and 17th Victoria, cap. 94, and 38th and 39th Victoria, cap. 61, and relative Acts of Sederunt, for authority to charge the said Entailed Lands and Estate of Lochnell and Others, with debts or incumbrances to an amount or extent not exceeding £5000, with interest and penalties. Date of Interlocutor ordering intimation, the 21st day of January 1876.

RUSSELL & NICOLSON, C.S.,  
Agents of the Petitioner.

14 George Street, Edinburgh,  
25th January 1876.

**I**NTIMATION is hereby given that ALEXANDER COCHRAN, Esquire, Heir of Entail in possession of the Entailed Lands and Estate of LINEFIELD and Others, in the County of Edinburgh, has presented a Petition to the Lords of Council and Session (First Division, Lord Rutherford Clark, Lord Ordinary,—Mr. Robertson, Clerk), in terms of the Acts 11th and 12th Victoria, chapter 36, and 38th and 39th Victoria, chapter 61, and relative Acts of Sederunt, for authority to charge the Lands specified in the Schedule appended to said Petition, with the sum of £2000 sterling. Date of Interlocutor ordering intimation, 21st day of January 1876.

W. J. SANDS, W.S.,  
Agent for Petitioner.

5 South Charlotte Street, Edinburgh,  
22d January 1876.

NOTICE to all concerned as Heirs of Entail of  
MILBANK.

**W**HEREAS a Petition for authority to execute an Instrument of Disentail of the said Lands, lying in the Parish of Erskine and Shire of Renfrew, has been presented to the Lords of Council and Session (First Division,

Junior Lord Ordinary,—Mr. Robertson, Clerk), in terms of the Acts 11th and 12th Victoria, chapter 36, 16th and 17th Victoria, chapter 94, and 38th and 39th Victoria, chapter 61, and relative Acts of Sederunt, at the instance of James King Cameron King, residing at Cobourg, Township of Hamilton, County of Northumberland, and Dominion of Canada, sometime Deputy-Registrar of said County, Heir of Entail in possession of the said Lands, and alleging himself to be the only Heir of Entail now in existence under the destination contained in the Deed of Entail, dated 22nd April 1777, and recorded in the Register of Tailzies 28th January 1778, executed by the deceased William King of Milbank :

And whereas, in respect of his being the only Heir of Entail now in existence as aforesaid, the said James King Cameron King claims to be entitled to execute said Instrument of Disentail without any of the consents of those Heirs of Entail next in the order of succession, which would otherwise have been requisite by the said Statutes had such other Heirs of Entail existed :

And whereas, under an Interlocutor of Lord Rutherford Clark, Ordinary, of date 19th November 1875, intimation and advertisement has already been given, conceived in the ordinary general terms in which such advertisements have been in use to be framed, where other Heirs of Entail, besides the heir actually in possession, are in existence :

But the said Lord Rutherford Clark, deeming it necessary that intimation and notice, conceived in more special terms, and more immediately adapted to the peculiar circumstances alleged in the present case, should be given, has pronounced the following Interlocutor :—

‘ 22d January 1876.—Lord Rutherford Clark—  
‘ Act. Kinnear.—The Lord Ordinary having considered the Petition and proceedings, and the ‘ Report by Mr. Dalziel, No. 19 of Process, and ‘ having heard Counsel thereon, appoints the ‘ Petition to be again intimated by public advertisement once in the Edinburgh Gazette, once ‘ weekly for three successive weeks in the Paisley ‘ and Renfrewshire Gazette and Glasgow Herald ‘ Newspaper, and once in the Cobourg Sentinel, ‘ Cobourg Star, or Cobourg World, Canada ‘ Newspapers, the said advertisement to bear ‘ special reference to the grounds upon which the ‘ present Petition is founded, and particularly ‘ to the fact that the Petitioner represents himself as the only Heir of Entail in existence ; ‘ further, allows the Petitioner a proof that there ‘ are no subsequent Heirs of Entail in existence ; ‘ grants diligence for citing Witnesses and ‘ Havers, and grants commission to Professor ‘ Robertson, Glasgow, whom failing, to Professor ‘ Berry, Glasgow, to take their depositions and ‘ receive their exhibits, and to report *quam* ‘ *primum*. (Signed) ‘ AND. R. CLARK.’

Notice, in terms of said Interlocutor, is hereby given to all parties who may claim to be Heirs of Entail, or to be otherwise interested under the Deed of Entail before mentioned, in order that they may now compare and assert and enforce such claim or interest before it be too late.

DAVIDSON & SYME, W.S.,  
Agents of the Petitioner.

22 Castle Street, Edinburgh,  
24th January 1876.